

Table of Contents

About the European Survey Research Association (ESRA)	2
Local Organiser's Welcome	3
A Welcome from the President of ESRA	4
Conference Highlights	5
Short Courses	6
Conference Venue	10
Layout of the conference building	12
City Tours and Excursions	16
Local Information	17
European Survey Research Association (ESRA) 5th General Assembly	18
Minutes General Assembly Lausanne	19
Programme Overview	22
Short programme	23
Programme	28
Sponsors	69

About the European Survey Research Association (ESRA)

The European Survey Research Association was established in 2005 to provide a forum for discussion between European survey methodologists and users of survey research. Our broader objective is to build capacity and improve best practice in survey research through the promotion of methodological research and training and the encouragement of closer cooperation between survey researchers in Europe and worldwide. The association comprises over 600 individual members and is affiliated with a number of key academic departments, institutes and survey agencies around Europe and the rest of the world. The communication and dissemination objectives of ESRA are pursued through the organisation of conferences, the encouragement of scholarly publications, the exchange of information through electronic or other means, and the support, provision and promotion of courses in survey methodology and substantive applications of survey research. A key activity of ESRA is to edit the journal Survey Research Methods (SRM) which is a high quality scientific publication of interest to researchers in all disciplines involved in the design, implementation and analysis of surveys. The journal is edited by Rainer Schnell of the University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany and Jaak Billiet of the Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium.

Members of the Board of ESRA

Patrick Sturgis (President); Jaak Billiet (Vice President); Eldad Davidov (Chair of the Scientific Committee and Conference Chair); Nick Allum (General Secretary); Jon Burton (Treasurer); Rainer Schnell (Representative of the Survey Research Methods Journal); Bart Meuleman (Vice Chair of the Scientific Committee); Matthias Schonlau (Committee member); Johan H.L. Oud (Committee member); Frauke Kreuter (Committee member); Jelke Bethelehem (Committee member)

All members are invited to take part in the ESRA General Assembly on Tuesday evening, 16 July 2013, from 18:00 to 19:00 in big hall.

Local Organiser's Welcome

Dear Colleagues,

It is our great pleasure to welcome you to the 5th conference of the European Survey Research Association (ESRA). We are glad that in the meantime almost 700 scholars and researchers decided to participate at the conference in Ljubljana in order to share their research findings with other colleagues and gain knowledge from each other. You will all contribute to a high quality of the conference program.


The Faculty of Social Sciences at the University of Ljubljana kindly offered its facilities to organize this important international conference. This Faculty is the largest interdisciplinary institution for education and research in the social sciences in Slovenia. It is among the largest and most up-to-date of these organizations. The Faculty of Social Sciences aspires to be among the best social science institutions in Europe and has been recognized for this. Through its human resources, its in-house research institute, central social sciences library, and publishing house, it develops a range of disciplines representing an optimal synergy for contemporary social science. We would like to thank the dean of the Faculty, prof. Bojko Bučar, for his kind and unfailing support.

The organization of the conference wouldn't be possible without the enormous involvement and dedication of the president of ESRA, prof. Patrick Sturgis, and president of the program committee, prof. Eldad Davidov and member of the program committee prof. Bart Meuleman. We appreciated their advice as well as their organizing proposals. We would like to express our great gratitude to Jon Earley for his continuous, professional and dedicated support and to Stephanie Kernich for her support in the final preparing of the conference book.

We have done our best to provide you with a nice place for successful and productive work at the conference and we hope that the ESRA Conference in Ljubljana will stay in your memories as a wonderful place to meet your colleagues and strengthen your social networks.

Thank you for coming to Ljubljana, have a great time with us, and don't forget that we are here to make your stay comfortable, pleasant, and productive.

Anuška Ferligoj and Neli Dimc



Welcome from ESRA President, Patrick Sturgis

It gives me great pleasure to welcome delegates to the 5th Annual Conference of the European Survey Research Association in the beautiful city of Ljubljana. Our organization continues to flourish, with close to 600 attendees registered at the time of writing. Of course, size is not the only or even the best metric of success and the committee will monitor the quality of presentations and the overall conference experience as we plan for our next meeting in 2015.

We gather here at a time when survey research faces challenges on a number of fronts. Most obviously, we continue to encounter substantial difficulty in persuading members of the general public to take part in our surveys. Low and falling response rates, although not related in any simple way to accuracy, ultimately lead to a loss of confidence in the validity of survey evidence amongst funders, policy makers and citizens. We increasingly hear prophecies of doom regarding the viability of the conventional survey method in the complex and rapidly changing world of the twenty-first century. We should, some say, abandon our old-fashioned probability-based survey tools in favour of 'opt-in' internet panels and mining transactional, social media, and other forms of 'born-digital' data. Underlying all this is the pressing need to gather data more cost-effectively, as budgets to support research contract throughout the world.

The programme for this year's conference shows that ESRA's membership is addressing these issues, and many more, head-on. We have sessions on the very latest developments in survey research, such as web and mixed-mode surveys, using mobile devices for survey response, the collection of biological markers, and linking survey and administrative data. These are allied with sessions on longer standing methodological issues relating to measurement accuracy, nonresponse and attrition and weighting adjustment as well as a range of applications in substantively important and policy-relevant areas such as immigration and subjective well-being. Appropriately for a European survey association, we again welcome a large number of contributions which address issues of cross-national measurement equivalence.

I would like to take the opportunity of this welcome address to pay tribute to the people who have organised this year's conference, giving freely and generously of their time, energy and enthusiasm. First, I must mention Eldad Davidov, the Conference Chair, and Bart Meuleman, the vice-Chair, who have developed and coordinated the practical arrangements and compiled the scientific programme with dedication and skill. I also extend my sincere gratitude to the local organisers, Anuška Ferligoj and Neli Dimc, for the excellent job they have done and for their unfaltering kindness and hospitality during our preparation visits. Together, this conference team has put together a varied and stimulating programme and I hope you will join me in congratulating them for their success in achieving this.

Finally, I would like to thank you, the conference participants, for your contributions in presenting your research and coordinating sessions. I wish you an interesting and enjoyable conference.

Patrick Sturgis

President of ESRA

Conference Highlights

Welcome reception

All conference participants are warmly invited to the welcome reception of the ESRA Conference on Monday, July 15th at 18:00. Drinks and finger food will be served at the big hall of the Faculty of Social Sciences (2nd floor). A few words of welcome will be given by the Minister of Education, Science and Sport, mayor of the city of Ljubljana and the Chair of the scientific committee. Registration for this event is not necessary, everyone is welcome!

The registration and information desk will be open before and during the welcome reception.

Official Opening of the Conference

Please join us for the official opening of the ESRA 2013 conference on Tuesday morning, July 16th, at 9:00, in the big hall of the Faculty of Social Sciences (2nd floor).

Short welcome speeches will be given by

Prof. Bojko Bučar, Dean of the Faculty of Social Sciences,

Prof. Patrick Sturgis, President of ESRA,

Prof. Anuška Ferligoj, Local Organiser.

Keynotes

Keynote 1: Tuesday, July 16th 2013, 9:30 – 10:30 in big hall

Willem E. Saris:

“Correction for measurement error in the Social Sciences and Business research using SQP 2.0”

Survey research is the most frequently used data collection method in the following disciplines: Sociology, Political Science, Communication, Opinion research and Marketing (Saris and Gallhofer 2007). Nearly everybody agrees that such data contains serious measurement errors, but only very few researchers try to correct for these errors.

Alwin (2007) suggests that 50% of the variance of the observed variables in survey research is error. In that case the correlations between the variables of interest will be underestimated by 50%. If the measurement errors in the different variables are not the same, the comparison of the sizes of effects of variables on each other will be wrong. If the sizes of the measurement errors are different across countries, cross national comparisons of relationships between variables cannot be made. There is ample evidence for these differences in measurements errors across variables, methods and countries (Alwin 2007, Saris and Gallhofer 2007).

There are two ways to improve the situation. The first is to try to improve the quality of the measures so that the errors will be minimal. The second is to correct for measurement errors. While many people have tried to improve the measurement in survey research one has to conclude that considerable errors will remain.

So correction for measurement errors is essential for the social sciences. The correction for measurement errors can be done in a simple way but it requires that the sizes of the error variances are known for all observed variables. So the major problem is to get good estimates of the error variances for all observed variables.

In my presentation I will show how my research groups have tried to solve this problem through the years with as a last result the program SQP2.0 which provides predictions of the quality of survey questions.

Keynote 2: Thursday, July 18th 2013, 18:00 – 19:00 in big hall

Mick P. Couper:

“Is the Sky Falling? New Technology, Changing Media, and the Future of Surveys”

In this talk I will review three recent technology-related trends: big data, non-probability sampling (especially on the Internet), and mobile data collection. I focus on the implications of these related trends for survey research and the profession, and offer some suggestions about how to react to these apparent threats to traditional probability-based sample surveys.

General Assembly

Tuesday, July 16th 2013, 18:00 – 19:00 in big hall

Conference Dinner

Wednesday, July 17th 2013, 20:00 in Hotel Union, Miklošičeva 1, Ljubljana

Short Courses

Monday July 15th 2013; 9:00 to 12:30

Course #1:

“Survey network measurement”, Valentina Hlebec, Tina Kogovsek, University of Ljubljana, room 18

Collection of survey network data is a very complex process as information about network members (name generators) and their characteristics and ties (name interpreters) are needed. The course will present the following topics. Measurement approaches (i.e., emotional, exchange, interactional approach) with related measurement techniques (name generator, role generator, position generator, event-related networks) will be presented. Applications of these techniques with typical survey questionnaires will be demonstrated. Key network indices that can be derived out of such data (e.g., network size, network composition and structure) will be overviewed. Advantages and shortcomings of different data collection modes will be discussed.

Course #2:

“Harmonising Demographic and Socio-Economic Variables for Cross-National Comparative Survey Research”, Juergen H.P. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, University of Giessen and Uwe Warner, CEPS/INSTEAD, room 15

The course provides an introduction to the comparative measurement of socio-demographic variables both from a theoretical as well as a practical point of view.

(1) Rules for national standardisation and international harmonisation are introduced.

- From translation to harmonisation
- From national concepts to comparative measurements

(2) Then the harmonization of a number of socio-demographic variables and concepts commonly used in cross-national research will be discussed:

- Measuring education cross-national
- Measuring income cross-national
- Measuring private household cross-national
- Measuring race and ethnicity cross-national

Education is one of the basic social facts setting up social stratification and inequality. The course will illustrate the process from concepts of national educational structures to a harmonized categorical system which may allow comparing education across countries.

For income and private household, we propose a set of survey questions that take into account the national income distributions and the national cultures that determine the household definitions and compositions across European countries.

Finally, studying respondents' race, ethnicity, and migration background becomes increasingly important in Europe. In comparative surveys, we need to identify nationality, citizenship, ethnicity, and the migration experience of survey respondents for the comparison of European countries.

It is necessary to standardize national socio-demographic measures to be able to compare across various national surveys. But socio-demographic variables are influenced by the national and cultural settings; therefore, the measurement differs across the countries. To achieve comparability, researchers need to harmonize the underlying concepts of the measures that one wants to compare. The knowledge about harmonization allows researchers to carry out comparative surveys in international research networks.

These skills increase the researchers' capability to judge the comparative potential of surveys and to evaluate the quality of survey data for comparative analyses.

Course #3:

"Choosing the right software tool for implementing web surveys", Katja Lozar Manfreda, Andraž Petrovčič, University of Ljubljana, room 24

Researchers have different requirements regarding the features of a software tool they use for implementing web surveys. The needs of researchers differ regarding the frequency of web survey projects, the required number of respondents, length of the survey period, the complexity of the survey questionnaire, the way they sample and approach respondents, the availability of the technical infrastructure and support, and nevertheless the availability of the methodological knowledge. Respondents also use a variety of devices to complete web questionnaires; while personal computers are still predominant, mobile devices like smart phones and tablets are becoming increasingly common. The quality of presentation of web questionnaires on these devices also depends on the software used for survey implementation. There are many software tools on the market that differently meet these demands and researchers are often in dilemma which one to choose. Ideally, the software tool would support the whole process of the web survey implementation (from sample management, recruitment, through questionnaire design, data collection and monitoring the survey process) at affordable costs. Usually, however, several compromises between ease of use, powerfulness and costs have to be made, further aggravating the difficulty of decision. Users also have to consider a range of additional factors like security, reliability, availability of technical resources, knowledge and potential requirements for additional services. The aim of this workshop is to present and discuss this variety of factors to be taken into account when deciding for a specific software solution. We will systematically review features and characteristics of modern software tools for implementing web surveys, present differences in pricing and costs for different patterns of use and provide advice for choosing the right tool for various specific research needs. In addition, using one of the tools (i.e. 1ka.si, free of charge tool developed at the Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ljubljana) we will guide the participant through the process of preparing a web survey, focusing on different implementation procedures possible, giving also sound methodological advice on their usage.

Monday July 15th 2013; 14:00 - 17:30

Course #4:

“The Essentials of Questionnaire Design and Testing”, Annelies Blom, University of Mannheim, room 22

A well-designed questionnaire lies at the core of any successful survey research project. We need to ensure that all our questions are understood, cognitively processed and answered by all respondents as intended. However, survey respondents typically show a variety of characteristics, such as their age, level of education and cultural background, which may influence how questions are understood and the effort put into answering questionnaires conscientiously. This short course will introduce participants to the principles of designing high-quality questionnaires. We will look into the cognitive processes involved in a survey situation, consider different question and answer types, investigate the relevance of the survey mode (self-completion vs. interviewer-mediated modes), cover techniques for testing survey questions and consider interaction effects of different questions in the same questionnaire. Finally, we will consider when it is appropriate to adopt and adapt questions from existing surveys. The course will include practical exercises in questionnaire writing and testing during which participants will have the opportunity to develop questions for their own research projects.

Course #5:

“Publishing in survey research: Venues, opportunities and a survey of the current outlets”, Mario Callegaro, Google London, room 20

All survey research involves writing reports of findings. A report can simply be written for the people who conducted the survey, but most often reports are written for external consumption. Publication of reports in academic journals is vital for our work to have constructive impact on society and on the profession of survey research. This short course is designed to help professionals to improve their abilities to write effective reports that will be accepted for publication. Survey research papers have the potential to be published in the journals of many different disciplines. This course will provide practical guidance addressing the following issues:

How to decide whether a piece of research is ready for publication.

What a paper needs to achieve in order to be publishable.

How to write an introduction, method, results and discussion section.

- How to revise a manuscript for resubmission after an initial review.
- How to deal with the ego blow of rejection.
- How to revise a manuscript after it has been rejected.
- How to resist the urge to say that reviewers don't know what they're talking about.

The instructor will present the results of a survey of editors of peer reviewed journals publishing survey research papers, together with bibliometrics, data and other information generally not readily available. The findings from this survey will explain what journal editors say are the most common mistakes they encounter in submitted papers and how to avoid making them. A section of the short course will be dedicated to writing when English is not the first language and suggestions for international writers.

Course #6:**“New Developments in Latent Variable Modeling using Mplus”, Bengt Muthén, UCLA and Mplus, room 21**

The use of latent variables is a common theme in many statistical analyses. Continuous latent variables appear not only as factors measured with errors in factor analysis, item response theory, and structural equation modeling, but also appear in the form of random effects in growth modeling, components of variation in complex survey data analysis and multilevel modeling, frailties and liabilities in survival and genetic analyses, latent response variables with missing data, priors in Bayesian analysis, and as counterfactuals and potential outcomes in causal analysis. In addition, categorical latent variables appear as latent classes in finite mixture analysis and latent transition analysis (Hidden Markov modeling), latent trajectory classes in growth mixture modeling, and latent response variables with missing data on categorical variables. All these features are covered by the general latent variable modeling framework of Mplus.

Understanding the unifying theme of latent variable modeling provides a way to break down barriers between seemingly disparate types of analyses. Researchers need to be able to move freely between analysis types to more easily answer their research questions. To provide answers to the often complex substantive questions, it is also fruitful to use latent variable techniques to combine different analysis types. This half-day workshop discusses examples that use combinations of multilevel, latent class, and longitudinal modeling features in the new Mplus Version 7.

A topic of special interest to the ESRA conference is multiple-group analysis of measurement invariance and latent variable comparisons across many groups such as in cross-cultural studies. Four novel approaches are covered: Multiple-group analysis using an exploratory factor analysis model, Bayesian analysis with approximate measurement invariance, two-level analysis with random intercepts/slopes, and exploratory measurement invariance analysis.

Course #7:**“Multilevel modeling for survey data”, Bart Meuleman, University of Leuven, room 17**

Survey researchers are – more often than not – confronted with nested or clustered data. Nesting arises because social reality is layered (individuals are educated within classes, are employed within companies, pass their free-time within organizations, live within countries,...) or is caused by the research design (e.g. two-sample designs, allocation of respondents to interviewers). Multilevel models are becoming an increasingly popular technique to analyze clustered survey data. This course provides an introduction to the basics of multilevel modeling. Participants are made familiar with the conceptual logic as well as the statistical background of multilevel models. Concretely, we discuss two-level regression models with a random intercept and random slopes. Strong emphasis is put on the substantive interpretation of multilevel parameters. Advantages as well as drawbacks of the multilevel model are discussed.

Conference Venue

Accessibility: The conference will take place at the Faculty of Social Sciences, Kardeljeva pl. 5, Ljubljana. The Faculty can be easily reached from the city centre by city bus. The closest bus stop to the conference venue is on line nr. 6, 8 and 11 (direction from the city centre to Bežigrad – bus stop Mercator). City buses have an electronic payment system based on a no-contact smart card called Urbana. Urbana cards are electronic ticket carriers. A single journey fare is €1.20. It covers an up to 90-minute journey regardless of the number of buses needed to be changed to reach the destination.

For more information, please visit: <http://english.jhl.si/en/lpp/city-public-transport>

Locals and visitors to Ljubljana can now ride around the capital using new bicycles from Bikelj, a self-service rent-a-bike system for which you also use Urbana. 300 bicycles and 600 parking spots are available all year round at 31 stations in the wider city centre area as well as close to the conference venue. For more information, please visit: <http://en.bikelj.si/>

Suggested taxi company: Metro, phone nr. 080 11 90 or 041 240 200. (Our experience is that the price for the fare from the city centre to the Faculty of Social Sciences is around 4 to 5 Euro)

Venue regulations: Please bear in mind the following regulations regarding the university buildings: Smoking is not allowed inside any of the university buildings. It is not allowed to bring food or drinks in the seminar rooms.

Registration and information desk: The registration and information desk is located at the main entrance of the Faculty of Social Sciences and will be open during the entire conference to assist you with any questions you might have. Furthermore, our conference student assistants (wearing conference t-shirts) are always happy to answer your questions.

Opening hours:

Monday: 8:00 to 20:00;

Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday: 8:00 to 18:00;

Friday: 8:00 to 13:00

Lost and found: The lost and found is located at the registration and information desk at the Faculty of Social Sciences.

Luggage deposit: If you need to store your luggage during the day at the conference venue, please contact the registration and information desk.

Message board: A message board can be found close to the registration and information desk.

Food and beverages: During the conference breaks coffee, tea and water as well as a small snack will be served in front of the big hall at the Faculty of Social Sciences. Lunch (at own costs) is served at: Cafeteria Šumi at the Faculty of Social Sciences,


Bistro Barbados, Dunajska street 106

Restaurant Piap, Dunajska sr. 106,

Restaurant Glažuta, Dunajska str. 119,

Spagettaria/pizzeria Favola, Dunajska str. 129

(see map below).



Internet: During the conference all participants have free access to the Internet (wireless). Password will be provided upon arrival.

Exhibitions: There are a number of organisations and sponsors that are presenting their field of expertise in the exhibition area in the main hall of the Faculty of Social Sciences. The exhibition will be open during the conference from 9:00 to 17:30 and on Friday until 12:00.

The exhibitors are:


- NEPS University of Bamberg
- SAGE
- CentERdata
- DIW Berlin /SOEP
- German Record Linkage Center, Research Data Centre (FDZ) of the German Federal Employment Agency (BA) at the Institute for Employment Research (IAB)
- GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences.

The sponsors who will not have an exhibition are:


- Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ljubljana
- FORS
- GWI Berlin
- Jon Willey&Sons
- Pivovarna Laško
- Slovenian Research Agency
- Surveybe, Economic Development Initiatives
- Statistical Office of the Republic Slovenia
- Centre for Comparative Social Surveys, City University London

Layout of the conference building:

GROUND FLOOR
Faculty of Social Sciences




LOWER LEVEL
Faculty of Social Sciences




Layout of the conference building:

FIRST FLOOR Faculty of Social Sciences



➡ Stairs

SECOND FLOOR Faculty of Social Sciences



More than Just Dining Out

Ljubljana provides a wide choice of restaurants and inns serving exquisite meals, both in the downtown area and the city suburbs. Their cuisine can be defined as national, ethnic (from different countries of origin), specialised (seafood, grill...) or international. The price range includes all budget categories, from low to upmarket, the latter for fine dining or gourmet restaurants. Some restaurants that would be regarded as rather expensive for an à la carte meal also offer daily lunch menus at affordable prices.

The restaurants in Ljubljana give a full portrayal of the national cuisine, consisting of the culinary heritage on one hand, and on the other, the search for modern orientations and the striving to recreate specialities from around the world with a tendency towards light, healthy food.

A selection of restaurants and inns in Ljubljana, most of which have facilities large enough to welcome groups, is presented below. They are divided by location criteria (central, city, surroundings), and by price range (moderate, medium, upmarket). Major credit cards are widely accepted.

Several restaurants are willing to open for larger group bookings outside their regular opening schedule. As many are concentrated in the old town area, at a short distance one from another, Ljubljana is ideal for dine around dinners.

Data presented

Price range (€ - moderate; €€ - medium; €€€ - upmarket)
Opening time
Seating capacity
Cuisine, specialities
Parking

Downtown

As Restaurant €€€

Čopova 5, (Knaflijev prehod), Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 425 88 22
www.gostilnaas.si

9.00 - 01.00, daily
Indoors 80 and 160 in the Lounge
Seafood, international, slow food

Dvorni bar €€

Dvorni trg 2, D5
Phone: +386 (0)1 251 12 57
www.dvornibar.net

Monday to Saturday 8.00 - 1.00,
Sundays and holidays 9.00-24.00
Wine bar with an array of Slovenian labels and tapas.
An indoor section can be privatised for up to 100 guests

Figovec Inn €€

Gospodsvetska 1, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 426 50 00
www.figovec.si

Monday to Friday 9.00 - 24.00, Saturdays, Sundays
and public holidays 12.00 - 16.00
Indoors 72 / outdoors 130
Slovenian, international

JB Restaurant €€€

Miklošičeva 17 - 19, Ljubljana
Phone +386 (0)1 433 13 58
www.jb-slo.com

Monday to Friday 12.00 - 23.00, Saturdays 18.00 -
23.00, Sundays and public holidays closed
Indoors 75 / outdoors 30
Slovenian (modernised), Mediterranean and French
cuisine; slow food, fine dining

Manna Restaurant €€€

Eipprova 1, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 283 52 94
www.kulinarika-manna.com

Monday to Friday 12.00 - 22.00, Saturdays 18.00-
23.00, Sundays and public holidays closed (can open
on request for groups of at least 40 persons)
Indoors 55 / outdoors 30
Slovenian (modernised), international; slow food

Maxim Restaurant €€€

Trg republike 1, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 476 68 90
www.maxi.si/maxim

Monday to Friday 12.00 - 18.00, 19.00 - 23.00, Saturdays
12.00 - 16.00, Sundays and public holidays closed
Indoors 100 / outdoors 30
International, fine dining

Most Restaurant €€

Petkovškovo nabrežje 21, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 232 81 83

www.restavracija-most.si

Monday to Saturday 11.00-23.00, Sunday 12.00-17.00
Indoors 40 / outdoors 60
Mediterranean, Slovenian (modernised)

Gostilna Na gradu €€ / €€€

Grajska planota 1, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)8 205 19 30

www.nagradu.si

Monday to Saturday 9.00 - 24.00, Sundays 9.00-18.00
Modernised Slovenian

Pri Vitezu Restaurant €€ / €€€

Breg 20, Ljubljana
Phone : +386 (0)1 426 60 58

Monday to Saturday 12.00 - 23.00, Sundays and public holidays closed
Indoors 80 / outdoors 30
Mediterranean, modernised Slovenian

Pekorino Restaurant €€

Nazorjeva 5, Ljubljana
Phone : +386 (0)8 205 56 30

www.pekorino.si

Monday to Saturday 10.00 - 23.00, Sundays and public holidays 12.00-23.00
Mediterranean, modernised Slovenian

River House €€

Gallusovo nabrežje 31, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 425 40 90

www.riverhouse.si

Indoors 50 / outdoors 40
Mondays to Saturdays 11.00-24.00,
Sunday 10.00-24.00
Mediterranean

Shambala €€

Križevniška ulica 12,
Phone: +386 031 843 833

www.shambala.si

Monday to Saturday 12.00-24.00, Sundays and public holidays closed.
Fusion Asian

Šestica Inn €€

Slovenska 40, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 242 08 55

www.sestica.si

Monday to Friday 10.00-23.00, Saturdays 12.00 - 23.00, Sundays 12.00-17.00
Indoors 170 / outdoors 180
Slovenian, international cuisine

Špajza Restaurant €€ / €€€

Gornji trg 28, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 425 30 94

www.spajza-restaurant.si

Monday to Saturday 12.00 - 24.00, Sunday 12.00-22.00
Indoors 60 / outdoors 60
Slovenian, Mediterranean, international

Valvas'or €€€

Stari trg 7, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 425 04 55

www.valvasor.net

Monday to Saturday 12.00-22.30, Sundays and public holidays closed
Indoors 34 / outdoors 20
Fine dining, modern international

City area**Argentino** €€

Šmartinska 152, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 523 36 30

www.argentino.si

Monday to Thursday 8.00-23.00, Friday to Saturday 8.00-24.00, Sundays and public holidays 10.00-17.00
Indoors 210 / outdoors 200
Argentinean

Cubo Restaurant €€ / €€€

Šmartinska 55, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 521 15 15

www.cubo-ljubljana.com

Monday to Saturday 11.00 - 22.00, Sundays and public holidays closed
Indoors 130
Mediterranean

Pod Rožnikom Inn €€

Cesta na Rožnik 18, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 251 34 46

www.gostilna-cad.si

Daily 11.00 - 23.00
Indoors 220 / outdoors 220
Grilled meats

Pr' Noni Restaurant €€ / €€€

Cesta v Gorice 1, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 242 58 20

www.copia.si/nona

Monday to Friday 7.00 - 22.00, Saturdays and public holidays 12.00 - 22.00, Sundays 12.00 - 18.00
Indoors 90 / outdoors 140
Slovenian, Mediterranean (picnic barbecue for groups in summer)

Sofra Restaurant €€

Dunajska 145, Ljubljana
Phone: +386 (0)1 565 68 00

Monday to Friday 11.00 - 23.00, Saturdays 12.00-23.00, Sundays 12.00-16.00, public holidays closed
Indoors 75
Bosnian - Sarajevo cuisine

City Tour and Excursions

City Tour

Two city tours will be organised for the conference participants (free of charge)

First on Monday, July 15th at 15:00

Second on Friday, July 19th at 14:00

Gathering place: Hotel Union (entrance to the grand hall – Nazorjeva street)

Excursions:

Accompanying the congress a selection of excursions that will introduce our beautiful country, Slovenia will be offered. You can choose among different itineraries that will show you the diversity of our part of the world. Be it in the Alps, the Mediterranean, visiting medieval towns or exploring the undergroundworld, there is something for everybody's taste. Information about the excursions can be found on the congress's website, detailed information will be available at the Albatros Desk on the congress venue.

Booking of excursions can be done via website:

<http://europeansurveyresearch.org>

before the conference or at the Albatros Desk at the conference venue.

The suggested excursions are:

Wednesday, July 17th: (for the conference participants's spouses)

Kropa, Radovljica

Thursday, July 18th: (for the conference participants's spouses)

Škofja Loka, Idrija

Friday, July 19th:

Bled, the jewel of the Julian Alps

Postojna cave (fascinating underground)

Saturday, July 20th:

Julian Alps (Vršič, Trenta Valley)

Slovenian Coastline

Venice

Local Information

Important telephone numbers, websites:

Police: 113; Ambulance and other emergency situations: 112.

Currency, Exchange Rates, and Credit Card Services

Slovenian currency as of January 2007 is Euro.

Eurocard, VISA, Diners Club and American Express, and other cards are widely accepted in shops, hotels and restaurants.

Slovenia

Slovenia is a small and picturesque country and lies in the heart of Europe, where the Alps and the Pannonian plains meet the Mediterranean and the Karst. When in Slovenia, all of its many attractions are in the palm of your hand. It is just 210 km to the Slovenian border from Vienna, 230 km from Budapest, 430 km from Milan and 190 km from Venice.

Ljubljana

Ljubljana lies in a basin between the Karst and the Alps, some 298 m above sea level. With its 280,000 inhabitants, it is one of the smaller European capitals yet it is big enough to encompass everything expected of a capital city while being small enough to allow people to retain their individuality.

In Ljubljana you will feel a mixture of Slavic charm and sincerity, as well as Mediterranean temperament and a touch of Nordic reserve. You will also be able to enjoy the city's architecture, museums, archaeological sites, monuments, many theatres and one of the oldest philharmonic orchestras in the world.

The city's increasingly vibrant commercial and trading life and rapid growth of foreign diplomatic representatives give it an ever greater cosmopolitan touch. Ljubljana offers a wide variety of cafes, pubs, bars and restaurants. Relief from the hustle and bustle of life in the capital can be found in the many parks and woodland areas which in some places extend almost right into the city centre.

Tourist information – events and activities

<http://www.visitljubljana.com/en/>

European Survey Research Association (ESRA) 5th General Assembly

Tuesday, 16 July 2013, Ljubljana Slovenia, 18.00 in the big room

Agenda

1. Minutes of the previous meeting
2. Report from the President (Patrick Sturgis)
3. Report from the Treasurer (Jon Burton)
4. Report from the editor of Survey Research Methods (Rainer Schnell)
5. Planning for 2015 conference (Bart Meuleman)
6. Elections to the committee

election to committee membership – Gerry Nicolaas (NatCen Social Research, UK) – unopposed.
7. Any Other Business

Minutes - General Assembly Lausanne

Date: 19 July 2011

Number of members present: 78

1. President opens the meeting

2. The minutes of the third assembly (2009) are accepted without changes

(<http://web.archive.org/web/20110720173417/http://surveymethodology.eu/minutes/general-assembly-warsaw/>)

3. Sent and received mail:

The members were addressed to develop the inventory of courses on survey research, to invite the ESRA members to the ESRA course on questionnaire design in December 2009 and to inform them about the candidates the board suggested for the new board and to ask about further suggestions. (<http://web.archive.org/web/20110830074355/http://surveymethodology.eu/courses/>)

The institutional members were contacted whether they want to renew their institutional membership. Three institutions did so: City University London, University of Essex and GESIS. Furthermore, ESRA got three new institutional members: InterFusion Services Ltd., Cyprus; German institute for economic research (DIW Berlin) and Research and Expertise Centre for Survey Methodology (RECSM, Barcelona).

4. Report of the financial situation of ESRA

Daniel Oberski gave an overview of the revenues and expenses of the ESRA for the years 2009 to 2011 which was approved by the General Assembly (<http://media.surveymethodology.eu/files/Accounts.htm>)

6. Plan for the 5th conference:

Change in the organising committee, it will be formed by the former, the current and the future chair of the conference.

So far no concrete suggestions for the venue of the next conference; members are welcome to make proposal.

In the next conference each presenter is only allowed to present one paper but can be co-author of others. This shall stimulate a self-selection of the presentation in order to assure a high quality.

Peter Farago, director of FORS, says that the size of the current conference should be the limit in terms of numbers of participants. Otherwise a professional organization should be contracted to organize the conference or alternatively he is suggesting to make conference on specific topics.

Peter Sturgis explains that ESRA does not make profit as all fees are very low.

A session coordinator comments that registration fees should be announced before the papers are accepted, recommends a waiting list rather than several sessions, and says that it would be nice for session coordinators to be able to change the presentation within their sessions themselves.

Patrick Sturgis replies that the bugs in the system are well-known and will be taken care of. Moreover, that there will be a post-conference survey among coordinators and participants in order to estimate the quality of the conference.

7. Report about the journal SRM

Rainer Schnell presents the report about SRM and explains that new Associate Editors for non-response, sampling and websurveys are needed. He gives an overview of the visits of the journal. These are many which shows the success of the journal.

Furthermore, in 2013 a replacement for Rainer Schnell as Editor will be needed.

8. ESRA prizes

Report of Jaak Billiet, the chairman of the commission of the ESRA prizes, about the selection of the prize winners. There is one award for outstanding methodological work which was based on suggestions of the board (board members were excluded for nomination). The second new prize is the early career award. The commission received 16 submission, each article got scores (between 15-37 out of maximal 38) and then a final shortlist of 4 articles was handed to the members of the commission (Han Oud, Vasja Vehovar, Joan Manuel Batista). If someone from the own institution was on the final shortlist, then the commission member had to resign. Everybody who submitted an article can get the detailed comments from the commission upon request. Furthermore, for the next time, submission will only be accepted who have not yet been published or submitted to another journal, in order to guarantee that the winning paper(s) can be published in SRM

9. Budget for the next period of two years was approved by the General Assembly. (<http://web.archive.org/web/20110918075806/http://media.surveymethodology.eu/files/Budgets.htm>)

10. Board election

The General Assembly votes unanimously the suggested candidates into their respective positions:

Position	New candidate	Previous
President	Patrick Sturgis	Willem Saris
Chair of the Scientific Committee	Eldad Davidov	Patrick Sturgis
General Secretary	Nick Allum	Wiebke Weber
Treasurer		Daniel Oberski
Representative of Survey Research Methods	Jaak Billiet	Rainer Schnell
Members of the committee	Frauke Kreuter, Jelke G. Bethlehem, Matthias Schonlau	Joan Manuel Batista, Peter Lynn

As there was no candidate for the position of treasurer, the General Assembly assigns the election of the treasurer to the board.

The board also highlights that the gender composition of the board does not reflect the composition of the members and encourages the members to propose in the following election more female candidates.

11. Other issues? None.

12. Closing of the meeting

Time	Monday, 15. July	Tuesday, 16. July	Wednesday, 17. July	Thursday, 18. July	Friday, 19. July
09:00-09:30	Short Courses	Conference Opening Keynote Speech	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions
09:30-10:30	Short Courses	Coffee Break	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions
10:30-11:00	Coffee Break	Coffee Break	Coffee Break	Coffee Break	Coffee Break
11:00-12:30	Short Courses	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions
12:30-14:00	Lunch	Lunch	Lunch	Lunch	
14:00-15:30	Short Courses	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	
15:30-16:00	Coffee Break	Coffee Break	Coffee Break	Coffee Break	
16:00-17:30	Short Courses	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	Parallel Sessions	End of Conference
17:45-18:15			Reception given by CCSS		
18:00-19:00	Welcome Reception	General Assembly		Keynote Speech	
20:00-			Conference Dinner		

Short programme

Monday 15th July

Time	Short Course	Room
9:00-12:30	1. Valentina Hlebec, Tina Kogovsek: Survey network measurement	18
9:00-12:30	2. Juergen H.P. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, Uwe Warner: Harmonising Demographic and Socio-Economic Variables for Cross-National Comparative Survey Research	15
9:00-12:30	3. Katja Lozar Manfreda, Nejc Berzelak: Choosing the right software tool for implementing web surveys	24
14:00-17:30	4. Annelies Blom: The Essentials of Questionnaire Design and Testing	22
14:00-17:30	5. Mario Callegaro: Publishing in survey research	20
14:00-17:30	6. Bengt Muthen: New Developments in Latent Variable Modeling using Mplus	21
14:00-17:30	7. Bart Meuleman: Multilevel modeling for survey data	17
18:00-19:00	Welcome reception	big hall

Tuesday 16th July

Time	Sesion name	Room
9:00-9:30	Conference opening	big hall
9:30-10:30	Keynote Williem E. Saris	big hall
10:30-11:00	Coffee break	
11:00-12:30	Day 1 – session slot 1	
	Measurement Invariance	big hall
	Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 1	1
	Educational attainment in cross-national surveys: instrument design, data collection, harmonisation and analysis	7
	Do pretesting methods identify 'real' problems and help us develop 'better' questions? 1	20
	The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 1	21
	European Values Study - methodological and substantive applications 1	22
	Advancing the field of questionnaire translation - identifying problems, discussing methods, pushing the research agenda. A tribute to Janet Harkness 1	12
	Is it Worth Mixing Modes?	13
	Using Paradata to Improve Survey Data Quality 1	14
	Faith, values and moral attitudes: problems and perspectives of standardized survey measurements 1	15
	Use of Eye Tracking in Survey Research	16
	Estimation and Imputation Under Informative Sampling and Nonresponse 1	18
12:30-14:00	Lunch	
14:00-15:30	Day 1 – session slot 2	
	Assessing the Quality of Survey Data 1	big hall
	Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 2	1
	EU-SILC: Some key methodological outcomes of the Net-SILC2 EU project	7
	Advancing the field of questionnaire translation - identifying problems, discussing methods, pushing the research agenda. A tribute to Janet Harkness 2	12
	Do pretesting methods identify 'real' problems and help us develop 'better' questions? 2	20
	The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 2	21
	European Values Study - methodological and substantive applications 2	22
	Collection and analysis of biological data in health surveys: developing best practice 1	13
	Using Paradata to Improve Survey Data Quality 2	14
	Faith, values and moral attitudes: problems and perspectives of standardized survey measurements 2	15
	Mixed Mode or Mixed Device? Surveying in a new technological era	16
	Substantive studies using cross-national data	17
	Sampling for cross-national surveys 2	18
15:30-16:00	Coffee break	

Time	Session name	Room
16:00-17:30	Day 1 – session slot 3	
	Assessing the Quality of Survey Data 2	big hall
	Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 3	1
	Measuring Occupation Cross-nationally	7
	Quality prediction and improvement of survey question using SQP	20
	The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 3	21
	Estimation and Imputation Under Informative Sampling and Nonresponse 2	22
	Advancing the field of questionnaire translation - identifying problems, discussing methods, pushing the research agenda. A tribute to Janet Harkness 3	12
	Not able to participate: a neglected cause of nonresponse 1	13
	Assessing the cross-cultural equivalence of Well-Being approaches	14
	Problems and Applications with Longitudinal Data Collection and Analyses	15
	Methodological Aspects of PIAAC, the Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies	16
	Use of Paradata for Production Survey Management	17
	Surveys in Developing Countries	18
18:00-19:00	ESRA General Assembly	

Wednesday 17th July

Time	Session name	Room
9:00-10:30	Day 2 – session slot 4	
	Assessing the Quality of Survey Data 3	big hall
	Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 4	1
	Measuring Occupation Cross-nationally	7
	Open-ended Questions: Methodological Aspects, Use and Analysis 1	20
	The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 4	21
	Continuous Time Modeling in Panel Research (N large) and Time-Series Analysis (N = 1 or small) 2	22
	The growth of social capital: Longitudinal measures and findings	12
	Not able to participate: a neglected cause of nonresponse 2	13
	Surveying Children and Young People 1	14
	Language-related aspects of surveys	15
	Research Data Management for Re-use: Bringing Researchers and Archivists closer 1	16
	Collecting and Analysing Physical Measure and Biomarker Data in Surveys	17
	Issues in Cross-national Data Collection and Analysis	18
10:30-11:00	Coffee break	
11:00-12:30	Day 2 – session slot 5	
	Innovations in measurement instrument construction for web-based surveys 1	big hall
	Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 1	1
	Data Quality Management in Cross-National Surveys 1	7
	Open-ended Questions: Methodological Aspects, Use and Analysis 2	20
	Basic Human Values 1	21
	Weighting issues in panel surveys 1	22
	Construction of Response Scales in Questionnaires 1	12
	Mixed Methods in Migration Research: Challenges, Innovations and Applications 1	13
	Surveying Children and Young People 2	14
	Research Data Management for Re-use: Bringing Researchers and Archivists closer 2	16
	Collecting and Analysing Physical Measure and Biomarker Data in Surveys	17
	Advances in measurement of egocentered networks	18
12:30-14:00	Lunch	

14:00-15:30	Day 2 – session slot 6	
	Innovations in measurement instrument construction for web-based surveys 2	big hall
	Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 2	1
	Data Quality Management in Cross-National Surveys 2	7
	Errors in social networks research designs	20
	Basic Human Values 2	21
	Weighting issues in panel surveys 2	22
	Construction of Response Scales in Questionnaires 2	12
	Mixed Methods in Migration Research: Challenges, Innovations and Applications 2	13
	Surveying Children and Young People 3	14
	Methodological challenges in the study of attitudes toward immigration	16
	Investigating Non Respondents: How to Get Reliable Data and How to Use Them	17
	Attrition in Panel Surveys - Prevention and Correction 1	18
15:30-16:00	Coffee break	
16:00-17:30	Day 2 – session slot 7	
	Innovations in measurement instrument construction for web-based surveys 3	big hall
	Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 3	1
	Problems and perspectives of piloting and measuring attitudes in survey research	7
	The Total Survey Error Paradigm: Design, Implementation and Evaluation	20
	Basic Human Values 3	21
	Household panel surveys: recent developments and new challenges	22
	Construction of Response Scales in Questionnaires 3	12
	Considerations in Choosing Between Different Pretesting Methods	13
	Investigating non respondents: how to get reliable data and how to use them	14
	Measurement in panel surveys: methodological issues 1	15
	Collection and analysis of biological data in health surveys: developing best practice 2	17
	Survey methodology and online community research	18
17:45-18:15	Reception given by CCSS	
20:00	Conference dinner	

Thursday 18th July

Time	Sesion name	Room
9:00-10:30	Day 3 – session slot 8	
	Weighting: approach and sources 1	big hall
	Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 4	1
	Mixed Methods in Migration Research: Challenges, Innovations and Applications 3	7
	Combining cross-nation and longitudinal perspectives in substantial social research	20
	Cognition in surveys 1	21
	Measurement in panel surveys: methodological issues 2	22
	Attitudes: Methodology Ontology Impact 1	13
	The evaluation of interviewer effects on different components of the total survey error	14
	Generalized Latent Variable Modeling	15
	Data Archiving	16
	Surveys and compositional data	17
	Surveying immigrants in the absence of a sample frame 1	18
10:30-11:00	Coffee break	

11:00-12:30	Day 3 – session slot 9	
	Weighting: approach and sources 2	big hall
	Measuring values in society 1	1
	Methodological advances in Latent Class Models for Surveys	7
	Combining cross-nation and longitudinal perspectives in substantial social research 2	20
	Quality of Life, Quality of Society	21
	Measurement in panel surveys: methodological issues 3	22
	Multi-level Relationships and Social mechanisms	19
	Attitudes: Methodology Ontology Impact 2	13
	Use of Paradata for Production Survey Management	14
	Privacy Preserving Record-Linkage Techniques	15
	Natural Experiments in Survey Research	16
	Mixed Mode Surveys - Reports from the Field Work 1	17
	Data Management and Data Analysis in Quantitative Historical Social Research	18
12:30-14:00	Lunch	
14:00-15:30	Day 3 – session slot 10	
	Web data collection for probability-based general population surveys 1	big hall
	Measuring values in society 2	1
	The Use of Probing Questions to Evaluate Items in Intercultural Research	7
	Fieldwork in interview surveys - professional guidelines and field Observations	20
	Multi-Level, Multi-Source Survey Designs	21
	Attrition in Panel Surveys - Prevention and Correction 2	22
	Envisioning the "survey" of the future: the role of smartphones and other technologies in advancing the practice of survey research 1	12
	Survey research in developing countries 1	13
	The Contribution of Paradata in Analysing Unit Nonresponse Processes and Nonresponse Bias	14
	Psychological short scales for survey research - advantages and potential limitations	15
	Surveying immigrants in the absence of a sample frame 2	16
	Mixed Mode Surveys - Reports from the Field Work 2	17
	Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys	18
15:30-16:00	Coffee break	
16:00-17:30	Day 3 – session slot 11	
	Web data collection for probability-based general population surveys 2	big hall
	Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys 1	1
	Multilevel analysis in comparative research	7
	The use of respondent incentives in face-to-face surveys: Effects on response rates, survey error and survey costs	20
	Hierarchical data, what to do? Comparing multi-level modelling, cluster-robust standard errors, and two-step approaches	21
	Longitudinal surveys – Field logistics in panel studies	22
	Envisioning the "survey" of the future: the role of smartphones and other technologies in advancing the practice of survey research 2	12
	Survey research in developing countries 2	13
	Latent variable modeling of survey (measurement) errors and multiple groups	14
	Hierarchical data analysis with few upper level units: Solutions and applications beyond multi-level modeling	15
	Using Paradata to Improve Survey Data Quality	17
	Survey effects in secondary analysis of pooled data	18
18:00-19:00	Keynote Mick P. Couper	big hall

Time	Session name	Room
9:00-10:30	Day 4 – session slot 12	
	Web data collection for probability-based general population surveys 3	big hall
	Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys 2	1
	Measuring trust and quality of society in cross national surveys 1	7
	Effect of nonresponse on results of statistical models 1	20
	Public Attitudes Towards Science and Technology 1	21
	Longitudinal surveys – Tracking in panel studies	22
	Explanatory and independent variables in social surveys across countries 1	12
	Survey research in developing countries 3	13
	Explaining Interviewer Effects in Interviewer-Mediated Surveys 1	14
	Linking Survey and Administrative Records: Processes and selectivities of consent 1	15
	Continuous Time Modeling in Panel Research (N large) and Time-Series Analysis (N 0 1 or small) 2	16
	Field work in Interview Surveys - Professional Guidelines and Field Observations	17
10:30-11:00	Coffee break	
11:00-12:30	Day 4 – session slot 13	
	The Trouble with Logit and Probit: Teaching and Presenting Nonlinear Probability Models	big hall
	Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys 4	1
	Effect of nonresponse on results of statistical models 2	20
	Public Attitudes Towards Science and Technology 2	21
	Longitudinal surveys – Special challenges and innovative solutions in panel studies	22
	Explanatory and independent variables in social surveys across countries 2	12
	Survey research in developing countries 4	13
	Explaining Interviewer Effects in Interviewer-Mediated Surveys 2	14
	Linking Survey and Administrative Records: Processes and selectivities of consent 2	15
	Data Collection and Analysis – Other	16
	Innovations in Measurement Instrument Construction for Web-based Surveys	17
	Participation rates and recruitment methods for health examination surveys	18

Programme

Tuesday 16th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
Measurement Invariance Convenor: Professor Bengt Muthen (University of California)	Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 1 Convenor: Professor Edith De Leeuw (Utrecht University) Coordinator 1: Professor Don Dillman (Washington State University) Coordinator 2: Dr Barry Schouten (Statistics Netherlands)	Educational attainment in cross-national surveys: instrument design, data collection, harmonisation and analysis Convenor: Dr Silke Schneider (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)	Do pretesting methods identify 'real' problems and help us develop 'better' questions? 1 Convenor: Ms Jo D'ardenne (NatCen Social Research) Coordinator 1: Sally Widdop (City University, London)
1. BSEM Measurement Invariance Analysis <i>Professor Bengt Muthen (University of California)</i>	1. Error Prevention through Interviewer Emulation? Introducing questionnaire dialogues in the Norwegian LFS questionnaire <i>Mr Dag F Gravem (Statistics Norway)</i>	1. Validity of Measuring Educational Attainment - Education and Value Orientation in the European Values Study 2008 <i>Ms Verena Ortmanns (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i>	1. Exploring the results of pre-testing questions developed for the European Social Survey: Comparing the merits of findings from omnibus surveys, SQP coding and cognitive interviewing. <i>Miss Sally Widdop (City University London)</i>
2. Multiple Group Confirmatory and Exploratory Factor Analysis: Exploring Measurement Invariance by Rotational Alignment <i>Dr Tihomir Asparouhov (Statmodel)</i>	2. Measuring the same concepts in several modes in the "BIBB/BAuA-Employee-Survey 2011/12" <i>Mrs Miriam Gensicke (no)</i> <i>Mr Nikolai Tschersich (no)</i> <i>Dr Josef Hartmann (yes)</i>	2. International vs. national classifications of education: Advantages and limitations in explaining values and attitudes <i>Professor Zbigniew Sawinski (Educational Research Institute, Poland)</i>	2. A mixed methods approach to investigate how Cognitive Interviewing findings can improve the validity of survey estimates <i>Dr Jose-luis Padilla (University of Granada)</i> <i>Dr Kristen Miller (National Center for Health Statistics)</i> <i>Mr Mitch Loeb (National Center for Health Statistics)</i>
	3. The comparability of Don't Know answers between CATI and CAWI modes <i>Mrs Kirsti Pohjanpää (Statistics Finland)</i> <i>Mrs Marjaana Järvensivu (Statistics Finland)</i>	3. Measuring educational attainment in the case of disadvantaged groups: the example of the Roma <i>Dr Sabine Springer (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</i> <i>Dr Vida Beresneviute (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</i>	3. Mixed Methods in Cognitive Interviewing: An analysis of the Russian version of the Schwartz Value Survey (SVS) using cognitive interviewing, Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) and Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) <i>Ms Maria Efremova (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i> <i>Ms Tatiana Panyusheva (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i>
		4. Measuring education in official labour market statistics <i>Mr Ralf Dorau (University of Bonn)</i>	4. Evaluating the middle category in the left-right self-placement question: Category Selection Probing conducted in an online access panel and a CATI-Survey <i>Mr Volker Hüfken (Universität Düsseldorf)</i> <i>Mr Bastian Rottinghaus (Universität Düsseldorf)</i>
		5. Computer-Assisted Measurement and Coding of Educational Qualifications in Surveys (CAMCES) <i>Dr Silke Schneider (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i>	5. Comparing Questionnaire Pretesting Methods for the 2015 Population and Housing Census of Korea <i>Miss Youngshil Park (Statistics Korea)</i>

Tuesday 16th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 21	Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14
<p>The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Hermann Duellmer (University of Cologne) Coordinator 1: Dr Malina Voicu (GESIS)</p>	<p>Advancing the field of questionnaire translation - identifying problems, discussing methods, pushing the research agenda. A tribute to Janet Harkness 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Dorothee Behr (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Ms Brita Dorer (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Dr Gijs Van Houten (Eurofound)</p>	<p>Is it Worth Mixing Modes?</p> <p>Chair: Dr Teresio Poggio (University of Bozen-Bolzano)</p>	<p>Using Paradata to Improve Survey Data Quality 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Oliver Lipps (FORS, Lausanne) Coordinator 1: Professor Volker Stocke (University of Kassel) Coordinator 2: Professor Annelies Blom (University of Mannheim)</p>
<p>1. Trends in Dutch egalitarian attitudes, 1975-2010: An age-period-cohort analysis <i>Professor Bart Meuleman (University of Leuven)</i> <i>Professor Wim Van Oorschot (University of Leuven)</i></p>	<p>1. Translatability Assessment of Draft Questionnaire Items <i>Mr Steve Dept (cApStAn)</i></p>	<p>1. Data Collection Method Comparisons for the 2011 Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation Survey <i>Mr Matthew Herbstritt (United States Census Bureau)</i> <i>Mr David Hornick (United States Census Bureau)</i></p>	<p>1. Comparison of quality of web survey and CATI data using unobtrusive response latencies. <i>Dr Jochen Mayerl (University of Stuttgart, Institute for Social Sciences)</i></p>
<p>2. Do negative life events equally deter social trust, no matter the cultural context? The case of divorce <i>Dr Bogdan Voicu (Romanian Academy of Science)</i></p>	<p>2. Source questionnaire and the quality of translation in comparative surveys - example of shared languages <i>Dr Anna Andreenkova (CESSI (Institute for comparative social research))</i></p>	<p>2. Designing a Pilot to Introduce Web Collection in the UK Labour Force Survey <i>Dr Salah Merad (OFFICE for NATIONAL STATISTICS)</i></p>	<p>2. Identifying Satisficing Respondents in Web Surveys: A Comparison of Different Response Time-Based Approaches <i>Mr Joss Rossmann (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>
<p>3. Cultures of trust and cultures of distrust <i>Dr Claudiu Tufis (University of Bucharest)</i></p>	<p>3. Translation problems in adaptation a measurement in cross-cultural studies <i>Ms Anna Lipatova (Trainee-Researcher in International Scientific-Educational Laboratory for Socio-Cultural Research)</i></p>	<p>3. Comparability of substantive results between modes and incentive conditions in a probability-based telephone / web survey experiment <i>Mr Nicolas Pekari (FORS / University of Lausanne, Switzerland)</i></p>	<p>3. The use of Behavior Coding to Analyze Data Quality in the SOEP Establishment Survey 2012 <i>Ms Alexia Meyermann (Bielefeld University)</i> <i>Mr Michael Weinhardt (DIW Berlin)</i> <i>Professor Stefan Liebig (Bielefeld University)</i> <i>Professor Jürgen Schupp (DIW Berlin)</i></p>
<p>4. What's driving the public? Political attitudes, human values and political articulation <i>Dr Joakim Kulin (Department of Sociology, Umeå University)</i> <i>Mr Alex Seymer (Salzburg Centre of European Union Studies, University of Salzburg)</i></p>	<p>4. What types of adaptation are there in cross-cultural survey research? <i>Dr Dorothee Behr (GESIS)</i></p>	<p>4. A walking exercise on a tightrope: trying to balance coverage, probabilistic sampling and the costs of data collection <i>Dr Teresio Poggio (Free University of Bozen-Bolzano)</i></p>	<p>4. Using Sequence Analysis to Better Understand Interviewer Calling Behaviours: An Example from the UK Understanding Society Survey <i>Dr Gabriele Durrant (University of Southampton)</i> <i>Dr Olga Maslovskaya</i> <i>Professor Peter Smith</i> <i>Mrs Julia D'arrigo</i></p>

Tuesday 16th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 15	Room No. 16	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Faith, values and moral attitudes: problems and perspectives of standardized survey measurements 1</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Pascal Siegers (University of Duesseldorf) Coordinator 1: Dr Tilo Beckers (University of Duesseldorf)</p>	<p>Use of Eye Tracking in Survey Research</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Timo Lenzner (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Estimation and Imputation Under Informative Sampling and Nonresponse 1</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Danny Pfeffermann (University of Southampton)</p>	<p>European Values Study - methodological and substantive applications 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ruud Luijckx (Tilburg University) Coordinator 1: Professor Wolfgang Jagodzinski (University of Cologne)</p>
<p>1. Facets of religiosity and spirituality in their relation to personal values and societal resources in the cross-cultural Bertelsmann Stiftung's "RELIGION MONITOR 2012" Professor Carsten Gennerich (gennerich@eh-darmstadt.de) Dr Micha Strack (mstrack@uni-goettingen.de) Professor Stefan Huber (stefan.huber@theol.unibe.ch)</p>	<p>1. Using Eye Tracking Data to Understand Respondent's Processing of Rating Scales Miss Tanja Kunz (Darmstadt University of Technology) Mr Marek Fuchs (Darmstadt University of Technology)</p>	<p>1. Dealing with non-ignorable nonresponse in the German job vacancy survey Dr Hans Kiesl (Regensburg University of Applied Sciences)</p>	<p>1. Does measurement stop at borders? A latent class IRT scale analyses of political participation items from EVS 2008 Dr Markus Quandt (GESIS Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>
<p>2. Latent legitimacy: joint effects of religious orientation on the association between values and acceptance of euthanasia Ms Anne-katrin Henseler (Universitaet Duesseldorf) Dr Pascal Siegers (Universitaet Duesseldorf) Dr Tilo Beckers (Universitaet Duesseldorf)</p>	<p>2. Combining eye tracking and cognitive interviewing to pretest survey questions Ms Cornelia Neuert (GESIS) Dr Timo Lenzner (GESIS)</p>	<p>2. Does balancing survey response reduce nonresponse bias? Dr Barry Schouten (Statistics Netherlands, Methodology Department) Fannie Cobben (Statistics Netherlands, Methodology Department) Peter Lundquist (Statistics Sweden, Methodology Department)</p>	<p>2. Contextual determinants of perceived immigration related threat. A cross-cultural comparison in and outside Europe. Miss Marie-sophie Callens (University of Leuven & CEPS/INSTEAD)</p>
<p>3. Self-assessment of religiosity in survey research. Bias through forced choice? Miss Yasemin El-menouar (Federal Office for Migration and Refugees, Germany) Mrs Inna Becher (Federal Office for Migration and Refugees, Germany)</p>	<p>3. Optimizing Factorial Surveys using Eye-Tracking Mr Stefan Friedhoff (Bielefeld University)</p>	<p>3. Testing and adjusting for informativeness in analytic inference Professor Jean Opsomer (Colorado State University) Professor Jay Breidt (Colorado State University) Mr Wade Herndeon (Colorado State University)</p>	<p>3. Religiosity and Traditional Values in East Asia: A Data Analysis of Multi-National Comparative Surveys Professor Kazufumi Manabe (Aoyama Gakuin University)</p>
	<p>4. Does left still feel right? The optimal position of answer boxes in Web surveys - revisited Dr Timo Lenzner (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Dr Lars Kaczmirek (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Dr Mirta Galesic (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)</p>	<p>4. Accounting for Informative Sampling and NMAR Nonresponse when Fitting Models to Survey Data Professor Danny Pfeffermann (Southampton Statistical Sciences Research Institute) Moshe Feder</p>	

Tuesday 16th July, 14:00 – 15:30				
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20	Room No. 21
Assessing the Quality of Survey Data 1 Convenor: Professor Jörg Blasius (University of Bonn)	Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 2 Convenor: Professor Edith De Leeuw (Utrecht University) Coordinator 1: Professor Don Dillman (Washington State University) Coordinator 2: Dr Barry Schouten (Statistics Netherlands)	EU-SILC: Some key methodological outcomes of the Net-SILC2 EU project Convenor: Mr Eric Marlier (International Scientific Coordinator of the CEPS/INSTEAD Research Centre) Coordinator 1: Mr Guillaume Osier (Luxembourg Income Study and STATEC)	Do pretesting methods identify 'real' problems and help us develop 'better' questions? 2 Convenor: Ms Jo D'ardenne (NatCen Social Research) Coordinator 1: Sally Widdop (City University, London)	The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 2 Convenor: Dr Hermann Duellmer (University of Cologne) Coordinator 1: Dr Malina Voicu (GESIS)
1. Quality Standards for Survey Data Collection in the European Social Survey (ESS). <i>Mr Joost Kappelhof (The Netherlands institute for Social Research)</i> <i>Dr Ineke Stoop (The Netherlands institute for Social Research)</i> <i>Mrs Verena Halbherr (GESIS)</i>	1. Effects of Sponsorship on Response: Mixed-Mode Web and Mail Surveying <i>Mrs Michelle Edwards (Washington State University)</i> <i>Dr Don Dillman (Washington State University)</i> <i>Dr Jolene Smyth (University of Nebraska-Lincoln)</i>	1. The importance of nonresponse for the consistency of longitudinal and cross-sectional EU-SILC data <i>Mr Thomas Glaser (Statistics Austria)</i> <i>Mr Matthias Till (Statistics Austria)</i>	1. Horses for courses: Why different question testing methods uncover different findings and implications for selecting methods <i>Ms Joanna D'ardenne (NatCen Social Research)</i>	1. Factors Affecting Welfare Attitudes in Different Types of Welfare State: Personal Interests and Values <i>Dr Olga Gryaznova (Institute of Sociology of Russian Academy of Sciences, State University Higher School of Economics)</i>
2. The consistency of straightlining and speeding over time and personality correlates <i>Dr Natalia Kieruj (CentERdata)</i> <i>Ms Corrie Vis (CentERdata)</i>	2. Survey Mode Effects on Income inequality Measurement <i>Mr Pirmin Fessler (Oesterreichische Nationalbank)</i> <i>Mr Maximilian Kasy (Harvard University)</i> <i>Mr Peter Lindner (Oesterreichische Nationalbank)</i>	2. Standard error estimation and other related sampling issues in EU-SILC <i>Dr Yves Berger (University of Southampton)</i> <i>Mr Tim Goedemé (University of Antwerp)</i> <i>Mr Guillaume Osier (Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques (STATEC))</i>	2. From Concept to Question: Using Early-Stage Scoping Interviews to Develop Effective Survey Questions to Measure Innovation in Businesses <i>Mr Alfred Tuttle (United States Census Bureau)</i>	2. Materialism, Welfare and Values of Parents: Cross-National Analysis. <i>Mrs Julia Zelikova (Higher School of Economics, Laboratory for Comparative Social Research)</i>
3. Identifying and Mitigating Satisficing in Web Surveys: Some Experimental Evidence <i>Mr Joss Rossmann (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i>	3. Mode effects in Labour Force Surveys - do they really matter? <i>Mr Thomas Koerner (Federal Statistical Office Germany (Destatis))</i>	3. Combined use of register- and interview-based data in a cross-national survey: the case of EU-SILC <i>Mr Veli-matti Törmälehto (Statistics Finland)</i>	3. Web questionnaires in official population surveys: Do's and don'ts First experiments and impacts on ESSnet Project on Data Collection for Social Surveys using Multi Modes (DCSS) <i>Ms Karen Blanke (Federal Statistical Office Germany (Destatis))</i>	3. Do post-materialists justify bribe-taking? <i>Mrs Maria Kravtsova (Higher School of Economics)</i> <i>Mr Alexey Oshchepkov (Higher School of Economics)</i>
4. Can we Trust Survey Data? The Case of PISA <i>Professor Jörg Blasius (University of Bonn, Department of Political Science and Sociology)</i> <i>Professor Victor Thiessen (Dalhousie University, Halifax)</i>		4. Implications of the EU-SILC "following rules" for longitudinal analysis <i>Dr Maria Iacovou (Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER))</i> <i>Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex)</i>	4. Do pretesting methods identify 'real' problems and help us develop 'better' questions? <i>Miss Sara Grant-vest (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Miss Ruth Lightfoot (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Dr Hayk Gyuzalyan (Ipsos MORI)</i>	4. Work Values and Attitudes in Switzerland: Stratified of Individualized? <i>Dr Ernest Albert (University of Zurich, Institute of Sociology)</i>
		5. Statistical matching of EU-SILC and Household Budget Survey data <i>Dr Richard Tonkin (Office for National Statistics)</i> <i>Mr Dominic Webber (Office for National Statistics)</i>		

Tuesday 16th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 15
<p>Advancing the field of questionnaire translation - identifying problems, discussing methods, pushing the research agenda. A tribute to Janet Harkness 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Dorothee Behr (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Ms Brita Dorer (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Dr Gijs Van Houten (Eurofound)</p>	<p>Collection and analysis of biological data in health surveys: developing best practice 1</p> <p>Convenor: Miss Samantha Clemens (Head of the Health and Wellbeing Team) Coordinator 1: Miss Barbara Schaan (Munich Center for the Economics of Aging, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Coordinator 2: Mrs Heidi Guyer (Survey Research Center, University of Michigan)</p>	<p>Using Paradata to Improve Survey Data Quality 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Oliver Lipps (FORS, Lausanne) Coordinator 1: Professor Volker Stocke (University of Kassel) Coordinator 2: Professor Annelies Blom (University of Mannheim)</p>	<p>Faith, values and moral attitudes: problems and perspectives of standardized survey measurements 2</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Pascal Siegers (University of Duesseldorf) Coordinator 1: Dr Tilo Beckers (University of Duesseldorf)</p>
<p>1. Backtranslation vs. Committee Approach: an Experiment Comparing how they Perform <i>Dr Alisu Schoua-glusberg (Research Support Services)</i> <i>Dr Ana Villar (City University, London)</i></p>	<p>1. 24-hour urine collection to measure dietary salt intake <i>Miss Katharine Sadler (NatCen Social Research)</i> <i>Dr Sonja Nicholson (MRC Human Nutrition Research)</i> <i>Mrs Lorna Cox (MRC Human Nutrition Research)</i> <i>Miss Valdeep Gill (NatCen Social Research)</i></p>	<p>1. The Relation of Survey Topic and Participation Behavior. Analyzing Unit Nonresponse using web-generated Process Data <i>Ms Doreen Zillmann (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Mr Andreas Schmitz (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Professor Hans-peter Blossfeld (European University Institute)</i></p>	<p>1. Beyond church religiosity: How alternative spiritualities, atheism and self-determination values foster acceptance of euthanasia in Europe. <i>Ms Mira Hassan (Universität Düsseldorf)</i> <i>Dr Tilo Beckers (Universität Düsseldorf)</i> <i>Dr Pascal Siegers (Universität Düsseldorf)</i></p>
<p>2. Questionnaire translation in the 3rd European Company Survey. Conditions conducive for the effective implementation of the TRAPD approach <i>Dr Gijs Van Houten (Eurofound)</i> <i>Dr Maurizio Curtarelli (Eurofound)</i></p>	<p>2. Optimising blood response among children in the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) <i>Miss Rosie Sutton (NatCen Social Research)</i> <i>Miss Katharine Sadler (NatCen Social Research)</i> <i>Miss Sonja Nicholson (MRC Human Nutrition Research)</i></p>	<p>2. Sequence Analysis of Call Record Data: Are Sequence Typologies useful for Nonresponse Adjustment? <i>Mr Mark Hanly (University of Bristol)</i> <i>Dr Paul Clarke (University of Bristol)</i> <i>Professor Fiona Steele (University of Bristol)</i></p>	<p>2. "Orthodox Monitor": 3 waves of an all-Russian survey <i>Miss Daria Oreshina (St.Tikhon's Orthodox University (OSTHU))</i> <i>Mrs Elena Prutskova (St.Tikhon's Orthodox University (OSTHU))</i> <i>Mr Ivan Zabaev (St.Tikhon's Orthodox University (OSTHU))</i></p>
<p>3. Translation in PIAAC Germany <i>Ms Anouk Zabal (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>3. Measuring Salivary Testosterone in a national population survey <i>Mrs Soazig Clifton (UCL and NatCen social research)</i> <i>Ms Wendy Macdowall (LSHTM)</i> <i>Professor Fred Wu (manchester)</i> <i>Mr Brian Keevil (University Hospital South Manchester)</i></p>	<p>3. Do microgeographic data provide useful auxiliary variables to assess and adjust for nonresponse bias? <i>Mr Michael Blohm (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Mr Achim Koch (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>3. Measurement of Atheism <i>Ms Jara Kampmann (GESIS)</i></p>
<p>4. Sharing a common language. The constraints of joint questionnaire translation. <i>Dr Theoni Stathopoulou (National Centre for social Research)</i></p>	<p>4. Testing for STIs in population-based sexual health surveys without returning results: development of an ethical and pragmatic approach <i>Mrs Soazig Clifton (UCL and NatCen social research)</i> <i>Dr Nigel Field (UCL)</i> <i>Dr Clare Tanton (UCL)</i> <i>Dr Catherine Mercer (UCL)</i></p>	<p>4. Paradata and Its Application to Building Model-based Response Propensity in the U.S. Census <i>Dr Asaph Chun (US Census Bureau)</i></p>	
		<p>5. What comfort matters? Impact of interviewer behavior on quality of paradata from their burden perspective <i>Dr Hideko Matsuo (KULeuven)</i> <i>Professor Geert Loosveldt (Departement of Sociology, K.U.Leuven)</i></p>	

Tuesday 16th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Mixed Mode or Mixed Device? Surveying in a new technological era</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Mario Callegaro (Google) Coordinator 1: Professor Edith De Leeuw (Utrecht University)</p>	<p>Substantive studies using cross-national data</p> <p>Chair: Mr Dominik Becker (Technical University of Dortmund)</p>	<p>Sampling for cross-national surveys</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Matthias Ganninger (GESIS)</p>	<p>European Values Study - methodological and substantive applications 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ruud Luijkx (Tilburg University) Coordinator 1: Professor Wolfgang Jagodzinski (University of Cologne)</p>
<p>1. Mobile devices a way to recruit hard-to-reach groups? Results from a pilot study comparing desk top and mobile device surveys. <i>Dr Vera Toepoel (Utrecht University) Dr Peter Lugtig (utrecht university)</i></p>	<p>1. Cross-national Perspective on European Identity Content Markers <i>Ms Maria Chayinska (University of Milan Bicocca)</i></p>	<p>1. ENHANCING DATA SHARING VIA "SAFE DESIGNS" <i>Dr Kristine Witkowski (University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research, ICPSR)</i></p>	<p>1. "When in Rome ...": Contextual determinants of international migrants' confidence in political institutions <i>Dr Bogdan Voicu (Romanian Academy of Science) Dr Claudiu Tufis (University of Bucharest)</i></p>
<p>2. Mobility and Smartphones: a pilot study of travel data collection among experienced and inexperienced users <i>Dr Salima Douhou (CentERdata) Dr Annette Scherpenzeel (CentERdata)</i></p>	<p>2. The increase in support of Right ideology: assessing the Social and Economical Context in Ukraine <i>Ms Natalya Kharchenko (Kiev International Institute of Sociology) Professor Volodymyr Paniotto (Kiev International Institute of Sociology) Mr Anton Grushetsky (Kiev International Institute of Sociology)</i></p>	<p>2. Sampling and non-response in public opinion surveys in Russia and Ukraine <i>Dr Vadim Volos (GfK)</i></p>	<p>2. Exploring patterns of academic usage: A Google Scholar based study of ESS, EVS, WVS and ISSP academic publications <i>Dr Brina Malnar (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences)</i></p>
<p>3. Online Survey Participation via Mobile Devices: implications for nonresponse <i>Dr Teresio Poggio (Free University of Bozen-Bolzano) Professor Michael Bosnjak (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>3. Human Empowerment and Paradoxes of Trust: A Multi-level Analysis <i>Miss Anna Almakaeva (Samara State University, Russia) Professor Christian Welzel (Leuphana University Lueneburg, Germany) Professor Eduard Ponarin (Higher School of Economics St. Petersburg, Russia)</i></p>	<p>3. Sampling frame of ELIPA <i>Mr Gérard Bouvier (Secrétariat)</i></p>	<p>3. The use of standardized and unstandardized coefficients in comparative survey research. How much difference does it make? The case of the relationship between value orientations and party choice <i>Professor Oddbjørn Knutsen (Department of Political Science, University of Oslo)</i></p>
<p>4. Comparing text and voice survey modes on smartphones <i>Professor Frederick Conrad (University of Michigan) Professor Michael Schober (New School for Social Research)</i></p>		<p>4. Generating Pseudo-Populations - A Basic Tool of Statistical Surveys <i>Dr Andreas Quatember (Johannes Kepler University Linz)</i></p>	<p>4. The Value Heterogeneity of the European Countries Population: Typology based on Ronald Inglehart indicators <i>Dr Maksim Rudnev (National Research University Higher School of Economics) Dr Vladimir Magun (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i></p>
		<p>5. Conservative sample allocation using branch and bound approach <i>Dr Wojciech Gamrot (University of Economics in Katowice)</i></p>	

Tuesday 16th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Assessing the Quality of Survey Data 2</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Jörg Blasius (University of Bonn)</p>	<p>Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 3</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Edith De Leeuw (Utrecht University) Coordinator 1: Professor Don Dillman (Washington State University) Coordinator 2: Dr Barry Schouten (Statistics Netherlands)</p>	<p>Measurements of immigration status in cross-national surveys</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Bogdan Voicu (Romania Academy of Science & University L.Bлага of Sibiu)</p>	<p>Quality prediction and improvement of survey question using SQP</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Willem Saris (RECSM)</p>
<p>1. Requirements of the sampling design file <i>Professor Seppo Laaksonen (University Helsinki)</i></p>	<p>1. Impact of mode design on reliability in longitudinal data <i>Mr Alexandru Cernat (Institute for Social and Economic Research, Essex University)</i></p>	<p>1. The impact of migrants' operationalization on evaluating intra-group relations in Sweden and Greece <i>Dr Stefania Kalogeraki (DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, GREECE)</i></p>	<p>1. A systematic monitoring of survey properties in translated items using SQP <i>Miss Diana Zavala-rojas (RECSM-UPF)</i></p>
<p>2. Personality traits, item non response and guessing in ideological placements <i>Dr Eva Zeglovits (University of Vienna)</i> <i>Dr David Johann (University of Vienna)</i> <i>Dr Thomas Meyer (University of Vienna)</i></p>	<p>2. Random versus Systematic Error in a Mixed Mode Online-Telephone Survey <i>Professor Joop Hox (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Dr Annette Scherpenzeel (CentERdata)</i> <i>Ms Anja Boeve (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Professor Edith De Leeuw (Utrecht University)</i></p>	<p>2. How national context shapes international comparison of 'mixed' people. The example of German, French and British large-scale survey datasets <i>Dr Anne Unterreiner (ERIS (CMH))</i></p>	<p>2. Social Inequality revised: The quality of questions in the ISSP Social Inequality IV module <i>Professor Cinzia Meraviglia (University of Eastern Piedmont)</i></p>
<p>3. A Latent Variable Model to Adjust for Relative Response Style Bias in Personality Scales <i>Mr Julian Aichholzer (Department of Methods in the Social Sciences, University of Vienna)</i></p>	<p>3. Investigating the Bias of Alternative Statistical Inference Methods in Sequential Mixed-Mode Surveys <i>Mrs Zeynep Suzer-gurtekin (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Dr Steven Heeringa (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Dr Richard Valliant (University of Michigan and University of Maryland)</i></p>	<p>3. Attitudes towards gender equality among immigrants: exposure or transferability? <i>Dr Malina Voicu (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Miss Andreea Constantin (University of Cologne)</i></p>	<p>3. Political Participation and Life Satisfaction: correcting for measurement error with the SQP <i>Mr Andre Pirralha (RECSM - Universitat Pompeu Fabra)</i></p>
<p>4. The Self-Administered Questionnaire of the French Homeless Survey (SD2012). Assessing the quality of an 15 Languages Survey <i>Mrs Efi Markou (INED)</i> <i>Mrs Françoise Courtel (INED)</i> <i>Mr Bernard De Clédat (INED)</i> <i>Mrs Fannie Plessis (INED)</i></p>	<p>4. An imputation approach for analyzing mixed-mode surveys <i>Dr Jae-kwang Kim (Iowa State University)</i> <i>Dr Sangun Park (Yonsei University)</i> <i>Dr Seo-young Kim (Statistics Korea)</i> <i>Mr Seunghwan Park (Seoul National University)</i></p>	<p>4. Identifying immigrants and their descendants in the National Educational Panel Study (NEPS) <i>Mrs Melanie Olczyk (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Ms Gisela Will (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Professor Cornelia Kristen (University of Bamberg)</i></p>	<p>4. Developing a Societal Wellbeing Index: A Case Study of the Use of SQP <i>Dr Ana Villar (City University London)</i> <i>Dr Eric Harrison (City University London)</i></p>
<p>5. The Self-Assessment as a Method for Survey Quality Assessment <i>Ms Darina Peycheva (ISSK, BAS)</i></p>		<p>5. Integration or Ethnic Stratification? The need for comprehensive measures of immigrants' generational status to determine their success of intergenerational integration <i>Ms Konstanze Jacob (Mannheim Center for European Social Research)</i> <i>Dr Joerg Dollmann (Mannheim Center for European Social Research)</i> <i>Professor Frank Kalter (University of Mannheim)</i></p>	

Tuesday 16th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 15
<p>Advancing the field of questionnaire translation - identifying problems, discussing methods, pushing the research agenda. A tribute to Janet Harkness 3</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Dorothee Behr (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Ms Brita Dorer (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Dr Gijs Van Houten (Eurofound)</p>	<p>Not able to participate: a neglected cause of nonresponse 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ineke Stoop (SCP) Coordinator 1: Mr Achim Koch (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Dr Hideko Matsuo (KU Leuven)</p>	<p>Assessing the cross-cultural equivalence of Well-Being approaches</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Wolfgang Aschauer (University of Salzburg) Coordinator 1: Professor Martin Weichbold (University of Salzburg) Coordinator 2: Professor Reinhard Bachleitner (University of Salzburg)</p>	<p>Problems and Applications with Longitudinal Data Collection and Analyses</p> <p>Chair: Dr Aviad Tur-sinai (Israel Central Bureau of Statistics and Ben-Gurion University of the Negev)</p>
<p>1. Seeking equivalence in translating ends of survey scales: A corpus-based approach <i>Mrs Danuta Przepiórkowska (University of Warsaw)</i> <i>Mrs Teresa Zmijewska-jedrzejczyk (Polish Academy of Sciences)</i></p>	<p>1. Comparing reasons for nonresponse across countries: are they not willing, not able or not at home? <i>Dr Ineke Stoop (SCP, The Netherlands)</i></p>	<p>1. An exploratory study of the cross-cultural equivalence of well-being measures in the Gallup World Poll <i>Dr Femke De Keulenaer (Gallup Europe)</i> <i>Dr Conal Smith (OECD)</i> <i>Dr Romina Boarini (OECD)</i> <i>Mr Robert Manchin (Gallup Europe)</i></p>	<p>1. Household Survey Data for Research on Wellbeing <i>Mr Damir Esenaliev (DIW Berlin)</i> <i>Professor Tilman Brueck (SIPRI)</i> <i>Dr Susan Steiner (DIW Berlin)</i></p>
<p>2. COMPETENCIES REQUIRED FOR ADAPTATION OF SURVEY INSTRUMENTS AS PREMISE FOR METHODOLOGICAL QUALITY <i>Ms Zemyna Pauliukaite (none)</i></p>	<p>2. Specific mixed-mode methodologies to include sensory disabled people in quantitative surveys. <i>Mr Fontaine Sébastien (University of liege (BELGIUM))</i> <i>Professor Jacquemain Marc (University of liege (BELGIUM))</i> <i>Mr Italiano Patrick (University of liege (BELGIUM))</i></p>	<p>2. Comparing subjective well-being across countries: Measurement equivalence and the question of multiple dimensions <i>Dr Joakim Kulin (Department of Sociology, Umeå University)</i> <i>Dr Filip Fors (Department of Sociology, Umeå University)</i></p>	<p>2. Intergeneration reallocations of resources: directions and motives <i>Miss Anna Mironova (National Research University - Higher School of Economics)</i></p>
<p>3. Can we survey migrants by questionnaires developed for the population of the respective source countries? <i>Mr Patrick Brzoska (University of Bielefeld, School of Public Health, Dept. of Epidemiology and International Public Health)</i> <i>Mrs Yuce Yilmaz-aslan (University of Bielefeld, School of Public Health, Dept. of Epidemiology and International Public Health)</i> <i>Professor Oliver Razum (University of Bielefeld, School of Public Health, Dept. of Epidemiology and International Public Health)</i></p>	<p>3. An Equal Chance for All Respondents <i>Ms Helen Moore (Office for National Statistics)</i> <i>Ms Helen Tam (Office for National Statistics)</i></p>	<p>3. Well-Being in Post-Socialist Urban Areas: ReNewYown Cases of Velenje and Nova Gorica <i>Professor Irena Ograjensek (University of Ljubljana)</i> <i>Professor Tjaša Redek (University of Ljubljana)</i></p>	<p>3. Couple as a unit of analysis in longitudinal household surveys - auxiliary approach or methodological insight? <i>Dr Violetta Khabibulina (Saint-Petersburg State Medical, University named after I.P.Pavlova)</i> <i>Dr Nataliya Petrova (Saint-Petersburg State Medical, University named after I.P.Pavlova)</i></p>
<p>4. Translation Verification in the European Social Survey - a means for achieving equivalent translations in a cross-national survey? <i>Ms Brita Dorer (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences, Mannheim, Germany)</i> <i>Ms Sally Widdop (Centre for Comparative Social Surveys, City University London)</i> <i>Mr Rory Fitzgerald (Centre for Comparative Social Surveys, City University London)</i></p>	<p>4. Pragmatic Practices to Cover the Typical Not-Able-to Participate People in the Census: Merits and Challenges <i>Dr Asaph Chun (US Census Bureau)</i></p>	<p>4. A methodological critique of child material well-being measures <i>Dr Stefania Kalogeraki (DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, GREECE)</i></p>	<p>4. The french longitudinal survey on the integration of first-time arrivals <i>Mr Gérard Bouvier (Mr)</i></p>
			<p>5. "We'll Gladly Hire Anyone Who Wants to Work"--Is It So? The Story of Persons with Disabilities in the Labor Market <i>Dr Aviad Tur-sinai (Israel Central Bureau of Statistics and Ben-Gurion University of the Negev)</i></p>

Tuesday 16th July, 16:00 – 17:30				
Room No. 21	Room No. 22	Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18
<p>The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 3</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Hermann Duellmer (University of Cologne) Coordinator 1: Dr Malina Voicu (GESIS)</p>	<p>Estimation and Imputation Under Informative Sampling and Nonresponse 2</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Danny Pfeffermann (University of Southampton)</p>	<p>Methodological Aspects of PIAAC, the Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Beatrice Rammstedt (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Leyla Mohadjer (Westat)</p>	<p>Use of Paradata for Production Survey Management</p> <p>Chair: Mr Kyle Fennell (NORC)</p>	<p>Surveys in Developing Countries</p> <p>Chair: Ms Deniz Karci Korfali (Koc University)</p>
<p>1. Political legitimacy in Ireland, Portugal and Spain during economic crisis: A comparative analysis using European Social Survey data Dr Amy Healy (MIC, University of Limerick) Professor Michael Breen (MIC, University of Limerick) Dr Siobhan O'sullivan (University College Cork)</p>	<p>1. Multiple imputation for a large complex household survey data - the German Panel on Household Finances (PHF) Mr Junyi Zhu (Deutsche Bundesbank) Mr Martin Eisele (Deutsche Bundesbank)</p>	<p>1. Assessment of Survey Data Quality and Comparability in an International Study of Adult Competencies Dr Leyla Mohadjer (Westat Inc.)</p>	<p>1. Methodological, legal and technical perspectives on the feasibility of web survey paradata in German official statistics Ms Sabine Sattelberger (Federal Statistical Office of Germany (Destatis), Institute for Research and Development in Federal Statistics, Section "Questionnaire Testing, Survey Methodology")</p>	<p>1. Notes from the EUMAGINE Survey in Turkey Challenges and Heterogenous experiences in four research areas Ms Deniz Karca Korfali (Koc University) Ms Aysen Ustubici (Koc University)</p>
<p>2. Influence of the EU's economic and cultural instruments on the attitude of the EU-10 peoples towards national authorities in 1990-2004 Mr Aleksey Domanov (LCSR HSE)</p>	<p>2. Variance estimation methods for EU-SILC under imputation Mr Christian Bruch (University of Trier) Professor Ralf Muennich (University of Trier)</p>	<p>2. Meeting international standards within national constraints: PIAAC fieldwork in Germany Ms Anouk Zabal (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences) Ms Silke Martin (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>2. An attempt to consider paradata to improve the calibration process in a telephone survey Dr Stéphane Legleye (Ined, Inserm U669) Dr Nicolas Razafindratsima (Ined)</p>	<p>2. Interviewer Effects in Survey Questions: Evidence from Timor-Leste Ms Kristen Himelein (World Bank)</p>
<p>3. Gender attitudes in the world of work: cross-cultural comparison Miss Natalia Soboleva (Laboratory for Comparative Social Research, Higher School of Economics)</p>	<p>3. Estimating the proportion of in-hospital infections under biased sampling and censoring Dr Micha Mandel (Hebrew University of Jerusalem)</p>	<p>3. Nonresponse bias in PIAAC Germany Ms Susanne Helmschrott (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Mrs Silke Martin (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>3. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AND SURVEY RESEARCH IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA Mr Lukman Raimi (Centre for Entrepreneurship Development, Yaba College of Technology, Lagos, Nigeria) Dr Wasiu Gabadeen (Department of Educational Management, University of Abuja, FCT Abuja, Nigeria) Dr Moruf Adebakin (Department of Business Administration, Yaba College of Technology, Lagos, Nigeria)</p>	<p>3. A Look at Cultural Intelligence in Developing Survey Wisdom Mr Türknur Brand (Central Bank of Turkey)</p>
	<p>4. Two-phase sampling experiment for propensity score estimation in voluntary samples Dr Jae-kwang Kim (Iowa State University) Dr Sixia Chen</p>	<p>4. Interviewer Characteristics and Interviewer Effects in PIAAC Germany Ms Natascha Massing (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Ms Daniela Ackermann (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>4. Using paradata to track and improve interviewer quality across projects and over time Mr Kyle Fennell (NORC) Dr Fritz Scheuren (NORC)</p>	<p>4. Creating sampling frames with good coverage Miss Anu Abraham (Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, IIT Madras)</p>
		<p>5. Construct validation of competency assessment through 360° questionnaires (informant views) and behavioral observation from critical incident interviews Professor Joan Manuel Batista (Professor) Professor Richard Boyatzis (Professor) Mr Ricard Serlavos (Professor) Ms Basak Canboy (PhD Candidate)</p>		

Wednesday 17th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Assessing the Quality of Survey Data 3</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Jörg Blasius (University of Bonn)</p>	<p>Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys: Prevention, Diagnostics, and Adjustment 4</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Edith De Leeuw (Utrecht University) Coordinator 1: Professor Don Dillman (Washington State University) Coordinator 2: Dr Barry Schouten (Statistics Netherlands)</p>	<p>Measuring Occupation Cross-nationally</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Eric Harrison (City University London) Coordinator 1: Dr Kea Tijdens (University of Amsterdam)</p>	<p>Open-ended Questions: Methodological Aspects, Use and Analysis 1</p> <p>Convenor: Mrs Cornelia Zuell (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Dr Evi Scholz (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Professor Matthias Schonlau (University of Waterloo)</p>
<p>1. Statistical methods for detection of falsified interview in surveys: experience from election polls in Ukraine <i>Mr Eugen Bolshov (Kiyv International Institute of Sociology)</i> <i>Miss Marina Schpiker (Kiyv International Institute of Sociology)</i></p>	<p>1. Evaluating Mode Effects in Mixed-Mode Data through the Back-Door and Front-Door <i>Mr Jorre Vannieuwenhuyze (KU Leuven)</i></p>	<p>1. Ora et non labora? A test of the impact of religion on female labor supply <i>Mrs Simona Tenaglia (isfol)</i> <i>Professor Francesco Pastore (Seconda Università di Napoli)</i></p>	<p>1. The inclusion of open-ended questions on quantitative surveys of children: Dealing with unanticipated and potentially sensitive responses <i>Dr Katrina Lloyd (Queen's University Belfast)</i> <i>Dr Paula Devine (Queen's University Belfast)</i></p>
<p>2. Quality of cross-country data: Assessing through Interviewer Impressions (Case of the Caucasus Barometer survey) <i>Dr Tinatin Zurabishvili (CRRG-Georgia)</i> <i>Dr Heghine Manasyan (CRRG-Armenia)</i></p>	<p>2. Two New Methods to Disentangle Measurement and Selection Effects in Mixed-Mode Surveys <i>Mr Thomas Klausch (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Professor Joop Hox (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Dr Barry Schouten (Utrecht University / Statistics Netherlands)</i></p>	<p>2. Doing Cross-national class analysis using the fifth European Working Conditions Survey <i>Dr Eric Harrison (City University London)</i></p>	<p>2. Response behavior on open-ended questions: Who took the burden to answer on the meaning of left and right? <i>Mrs Cornelia Zuell (GESIS)</i> <i>Dr Evi Scholz</i></p>
<p>3. The Validity of Scenario-Techniques in the Analysis of Prosocial Behaviors - Effects of Vignette Form and Social Desirability <i>Professor Stefanie Eifler (University of Halle)</i></p>	<p>3. Investigating Mode Effect and possible Adjustments in Mixed-Mode Surveys <i>Dr Annamaria Bianchi (University of Bergamo)</i> <i>Professor Silvia Biffignandi (University of Bergamo)</i></p>	<p>3. The last occupation as a measure of social position <i>Professor Zbigniew Sawinski (Educational Research Institute, Poland)</i> <i>Professor Henryk Domanski (Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland)</i></p>	<p>3. The benefit of the GABEK method for processing and analysis of data from open-ended questions in standardized interviews <i>Mr Tobias Schmies (University of Bonn Institute for Political Science and Sociology)</i></p>
	<p>4. Calibrating measurement errors in mixed-mode sample surveys <i>Dr Bart Buelens (Statistics Netherlands)</i> <i>Professor Jan Van Den Brakel (Statistics Netherlands and Maastricht University)</i></p>	<p>4. Measuring the very long, fuzzy tail in the occupational distribution <i>Professor K.g. Tijdens (University of Amsterdam/AIAS)</i></p>	

Wednesday 17th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 15
<p>The growth of social capital: Longitudinal measures and findings</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Jon Miller (University of Michigan)</p>	<p>Not able to participate: a neglected cause of nonresponse 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ineke Stoop (SCP) Coordinator 1: Mr Achim Koch (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Dr Hideko Matsuo (KU Leuven)</p>	<p>Surveying Children and Young People 1</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies)</p>	<p>Language-related aspects of surveys</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Isabelle Renschler (FORS) Coordinator 1: Dr Brian Kleiner (FORS) Coordinator 2: Mr Stefan Buerli (FORS)</p>
<p>1. Developments in social capital in The Netherlands, 1974-2012 Professor Hans Schmeets (Statistics Netherlands / Maastricht University) Dr Saskia Te Riele (Statistics Netherlands)</p>	<p>1. A qualitative perspective on non-response Dr Lina Fjelkegard (Statistics Sweden) Miss Jennica Wallenborg (Statistics Sweden) Dr Andreas Persson (Statistics Sweden)</p>	<p>1. The development of protocols for the collection of sensitive data from adolescents in The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children. Ms Joanne Corey (Australian Bureau of Statistics) Ms Karen Mornement (Australian Bureau of Statistics)</p>	<p>1. Measurement of language abilities of preschool children of immigrants in Germany: parents- and interviewer-assessment versus test score measures Dr Nicole Biedinger (GESIS-Leibniz-Institute for the Social Science) Dr Jette Schroeder (GESIS-Leibniz-Institute for the Social Science)</p>
<p>2. The growth of social capital in Generation X in the United States Dr Jon Miller (University of Michigan, USA)</p>	<p>2. I don't have time to participate but I can tell you something about our live Dr Eija Sevón (University of Jyväskylä)</p>	<p>2. Development of an ethical approach to interviewing children in the UK Millennium Cohort Study Ms Emma Wallace (Ipsos MORI) Ms Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, University of London) Ms Kate Smith (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, University of London) Professor Lucinda Platt (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, University of London)</p>	<p>2. Language in different cultural and political context - how to choose the language of interview Dr Anna Andreenkova (CESSI (Institute for comparative social research))</p>
<p>3. Can your parents help? A study of the role of parental social capital in the intergenerational transmission of unemployment Mr Wouter Zwysen (University of Essex) Dr Ioana Salagean (CEPS/INSTEAD)</p>	<p>3. How workload affects accessibility in surveys: the example of ESS 5 and 6 in Poland Professor Pawel B. Sztabinski (Polish Academy of Sciences) Professor Franciszek Sztabinski (Polish Academy of Sciences)</p>	<p>3. How to collect longitudinal data among children and young people - Designing operational questions, getting reliable answers Mrs Mette Lausten (SFI - Danish National Centre for Social Research) Mrs Mai Heide Ottosen (SFI - Danish National Centre for Social Research)</p>	<p>3. Lost without translation? Respondents' reasons for using translated questionnaires and their relevance for data quality. Mrs Inna Becher (Federal Office for Migration and Refugees, Germany) Miss Yasemin El-menouar (Federal Office for Migration and Refugees, Germany)</p>
	<p>4. Analysing the representation of immigrants in surveys in Spain: an examination with data from ISSP surveys Ms Monica Mendez-Iago (CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIONES SOCIOLOGICAS)</p>	<p>4. Involving Children and Young People in Survey Design Ms Joanna Lea (NCB) Ms Helena Jelcic (NCB)</p>	

Wednesday 17th July, 09:00 – 10:30				
Room No. 21	Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>The Impact of Culture and Economy on Values and Attitudes 4</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Hermann Duellmer (University of Cologne) Coordinator 1: Dr Malina Voicu (GESIS)</p>	<p>Research Data Management for Re-use: Bringing Researchers and Archivists closer 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Alexia Katsanidou (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Mr Laurence Horton (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 2: Dr Christina Eder (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Collecting and Analysing Physical Measure and Biomarker Data in Surveys</p> <p>Chair: Dr Roberto Lillini (San Raffaele University, Milan)</p>	<p>Issues in Cross-national Data Collection and Analysis</p> <p>Chair: Dr Catalina Lomos (CEPS/INSTEAD)</p>	<p>Continuous Time Modeling in Panel Research (N large) and Time-Series Analysis (N = 1 or small) 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Johan Oud (Radboud University Nijmegen) Coordinator 1: Dr Manuel Voelkle (Max Planck Institute for Human Development Berlin)</p>
<p>1. Economic and Religious Factors of Post-Communist Social Solidarity <i>Ms Anna Shirokanova (Belarusian State University)</i></p>	<p>1. From the survey design to the data archive - supporting the survey workflow with open standards and tools <i>Mr Ingo Barkow (DIPF)</i> <i>Mr David Schiller (IAB)</i></p>	<p>1. Training for Bio-Marker Collection: Mumbai India <i>Ms Esther Ullman (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Mr Grant Benson (University of Michigan)</i></p>	<p>1. Holistic approach to quality management in multi-country research <i>Mrs Kim De Cuyper (GfK EU3C)</i> <i>Mrs Sara Gysen (GfK EU3C)</i></p>	<p>1. The Relationships between Individualism, Nationalism, Ethnocentrism, and Authoritarianism in Flanders: A Continuous Time - Structural Equation Modeling Approach <i>Dr Toni Toharudin (Statistics Department, University of Padjadjaran, Indonesia)</i> <i>Professor Henk Folmer (Faculty of Spatial Sciences, University of Groningen, The Netherlands)</i> <i>Dr Johan HI Oud (Radboud University of Nijmegen)</i></p>
<p>2. Anomie and Anomia: Economical, Political, Religious and Cultural Predictors <i>Miss Ekaterina Lytkina (Laboratory for Comparative Social Research Higher School of Economics)</i></p>	<p>2. INCLUSION OF DATA ARCHIVES IN DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN <i>Ms Irena Vipavc Brvar (Slovene Social Science Data Archives, Faculty of Social Sciences, UL, Slovenia)</i></p>	<p>2. METHODS TO STUDY THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEPRIVATION AND CANCER INCIDENCE RELATIONSHIPS IN A SMALL AREA <i>Dr Roberto Lillini ("Vita e Salute" San Raffaele University, Milan)</i> <i>Dr Georgia Casanova (INRCA, Ancona, Italy)</i> <i>Dr Alberto Quaglia (Descriptive Epidemiology Unit, IRCSS AOU San Martino - IST, Genoa)</i> <i>Professor Marina Vercelli (DISSAL - Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa)</i></p>	<p>2. Testing the measurement equivalence of the latent concept of professional community <i>Dr Catalina Lomos (CEPS/INSTEAD Luxembourg)</i></p>	<p>2. From Value Claims on Politics to the Recognition of Political Constraints The development of political values among former German high school students at the age of 16, 30, 43 and 56 <i>Professor Heiner Meulemann (Institut für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie, Universität zu Köln)</i></p>
<p>3. What makes people feel free: Subjective freedom in comparative perspective <i>Mrs Natalia Firsova (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i></p>	<p>3. Integrating User Feedback to improve Data Management <i>Mr Stefan Friedhoff (Bielefeld University)</i></p>		<p>3. Cross-country Comparability of a sensitive construct on a global scale - Transparency International's Global Corruption Barometer 2013 <i>Mrs Deborah Hardoon (Transparency International)</i></p>	<p>3. MODELLING INTERVENTION IMPACTED VARIABLES BY MEANS OF A CONTINUOUS-TIME CONSTRAINED-AUTOREGRESION STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODEL: THE CASE OF THE IMPUTED PRICES OF HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS IN RURAL INDONESIA <i>Mr Yusep Suparman (Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia)</i> <i>Professor Henk Folmer (Groningen University, the Netherlands)</i> <i>Mr Johan Oud (Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands)</i></p>
<p>4. More State, More Equality. The Evolution of Economic Attitudes in the European Union between 1990 and 2008. <i>Professor Frédéric Gonthier (Sciences po Grenoble - University of Grenoble - France)</i></p>	<p>4. Academic writing and Data Management <i>Dr Alexia Katsanidou (GESIS-Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Laurence Horton (GESIS-Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences,)</i> <i>Uwe Jensen (GESIS-Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>			<p>4. A continuous time model of Nationalism, Patriotism and Anti-Immigrant Attitudes in Germany <i>Professor Peter Schmidt (State Research University Higher School of Economics Moscow and University of Giessennd)</i> <i>Professor Han Oud (Radboud University Nijmegen)</i> <i>Dr Manuel Voelkle (Max Planck Institute for Human Development Berlin)</i></p>

Wednesday 17th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Innovations in measurement instrument construction for web-based surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Simon Munzert (University of Konstanz, Germany)</p>	<p>Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ivar Krumpal (University of Leipzig) Coordinator 1: Professor Ben Jann (University of Bern) Coordinator 2: Professor Mark Trappmann (Institute for Employment Research Nürnberg)</p>	<p>Data Quality Management in Cross-National Surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Jessica Fortin-rittberger (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Dr Christina Eder (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Dr Manuela Kulick (GESIS)</p>	<p>Open-ended Questions: Methodological Aspects, Use and Analysis 2</p> <p>Convenor: Mrs Cornelia Zuell (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Dr Evi Scholz (GESIS) Coordinator 2: Professor Matthias Schonlau (University of Waterloo)</p>
<p>1. Measuring political knowledge in web-based surveys <i>Mr Simon Munzert (University of Konstanz)</i> <i>Mr Peter Selb</i></p>	<p>1. Validating Sensitive Questions in Labor Market Surveys: A Comparison of Survey and Register Data <i>Miss Antje Kirchner (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i></p>	<p>1. Data and Metadata Processing over time,- the case of the European Social Survey <i>Mrs Kirstine Kolsrud (Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD))</i></p>	<p>1. Analyzing the correlational structure of value-systems by means of open ended questions <i>Mr Florian M. Bader (Zeppelin University)</i></p>
<p>2. Differentiated Measurement of Political Knowledge in Web Surveys: Evidence from Two Online Experiments <i>Ms Elena Wiegand (University of Mannheim)</i></p>	<p>2. A discrete choice model based assessment of privacy protecting survey methods <i>Miss Lisiane Cardoso Jacobs (Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg)</i> <i>Professor Claudia Becker (Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg)</i></p>	<p>2. Data quality management in the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES) <i>Dr Jessica Fortin-rittberger (GESIS)</i> <i>Mr David Howell (University of Michigan)</i></p>	<p>2. Analyzing open-ended questions by means of text analysis procedures <i>Dr Roel Popping (University of Groningen)</i></p>
<p>3. Hearing Voices: Supporting online questionnaires with Text-to-Speech technology <i>Mr Joris Mulder (CentERdata, Tilburg University)</i> <i>Dr Natalia Kieruj (CentERdata, Tilburg University)</i> <i>Mr Arnaud Wijnant (CentERdata, Tilburg University)</i> <i>Dr Salima Douhou (CentERdata, Tilburg University)</i></p>	<p>3. A Comparison Of Randomised Response And Indirect Questioning Methods In Measuring Corruption And Tax Evasion: A Study Of Companies In Nigeria <i>Mr Fola Malomo (University Of Sussex)</i></p>	<p>3. Civil partnership as a category of marital status in international comparative surveys <i>Ms Insa Bechert (GESIS - Data Archive for the Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>3. Semi-automatic coding of open-ended questions <i>Professor Matthias Schonlau (University of Waterloo)</i></p>
<p>4. Improving Cheater Detection in Web Based Randomized Response Using Client-Side Paradata <i>Ms Kristin Dombrowski (Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg)</i> <i>Professor Claudia Becker (Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg)</i></p>	<p>4. The Factorial Survey as a Method for Measuring Sensitive Issues <i>Professor Thomas Hinz (University of Konstanz)</i> <i>Dr Katrin Auspurg (University of Konstanz)</i></p>		

Wednesday 17th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 21
<p>Construction of Response Scales in Questionnaires 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Natalja Menold (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Kathrin Bogner (GESIS)</p>	<p>Mixed Methods in Migration Research: Challenges, Innovations and Applications 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Rossalina Latcheva (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</p>	<p>Surveying Children and Young People 2</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies)</p>	<p>Basic Human Values 1</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Eldad Davidov (University of Zurich) Coordinator 1: Dr Constanze Beierlein (Goethe University of Frankfurt) Coordinator 2: Professor Peter Schmidt (Higher School of Economics Moscow)</p>
<p>1. Does the polarity of rating scales matter? How unipolar, bipolar and mixed rating scales affect response sets and factorial validity. <i>Dr Natalja Menold (GESIS)</i></p>	<p>1. Researching the interplay of citizenship regimes and labour market segmentation in the EU: the case for an adapted ethno-survey design <i>Dr Kenneth Horvath (University of Education Karlsruhe)</i></p>	<p>1. Young Life and Times: Reflecting on a decade of monitoring social attitudes among 16-year olds in a society coming out of conflict <i>Dr Dirk Schubotz (ARK, Queen's University Belfast)</i> <i>Dr Paula Devine (ARK, Queen's University Belfast)</i></p>	<p>1. Within And Between-Country Value Diversity in Europe: Latent Class Analysis <i>Dr Maksim Rudnev (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i> <i>Dr Vladimir Magun (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i> <i>Professor Peter Schmidt (Institute of Political Science University of Giessen)</i></p>
<p>2. Impact of Different Response Format on Measurement Quality <i>Professor Dagmar Krebs (University of Giessen)</i></p>	<p>2. The geography of transnationalism - mixed methods approach for the study of transnational professionals' socio-spatial patterns and their impact on the urban landscape in Tokyo <i>Ms Sakura Yamamura (University of Hamburg, Institute of Geography)</i></p>	<p>2. Measuring Teenagers' Perception towards Youth Violence using Vignettes <i>Dr Christiane Atzmüller (University of Applied Sciences - Vienna)</i> <i>Dr Peter Steiner (University of Wisconsin--Madison)</i></p>	<p>2. Common factor in measurement of values - pure method or social desirability factor? <i>Dr Jan Cieciuch (University of Zurich, Institute of Sociology)</i> <i>Professor Eldad Davidov (University of Zurich, Institute of Sociology)</i> <i>Professor Henryk Gasiul (Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński)</i> <i>Dr Włodzimierz Strus (Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński)</i></p>
<p>3. Verbal labels for rating scales: A scaling study <i>Dr Fanney Thorsdottir (University of Iceland)</i></p>	<p>3. Applied Mixed-Methods - Researching new Facets of Cross-Border Labour Mobility in Central Europe <i>Ms Laura Wiesböck (University of Vienna)</i> <i>Mr Raimund Haindorfer (University of Vienna)</i></p>	<p>3. Challenges in Assessing Parent-Child Interaction in the Early Childhood Cohort of the National Educational Panel Study <i>Ms Anja Sommer</i> <i>(Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg)</i></p>	<p>3. Using Schwartz value items for missing value imputation of left-right positions <i>Dr Angelika Scheuer (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>
<p>4. The effects of the visual presentation of rating scales on middle, extreme and don't know responses <i>Mrs Kathrin Bogner (GESIS)</i></p>		<p>4. Children's Skills and the Implications for Interview Research <i>Dr Susanne Vogl (Catholic University Eichstaett-Ingolstadt)</i></p>	<p>4. Congruence of Beliefs and Values: Religiosity, Spirituality and Basic Human Values <i>Dr Pascal Siegers (University of Duesseldorf)</i></p>
		<p>5. ELAP - A Longitudinal Survey among Young People in Care <i>Dr Geraldine Vivier (INED)</i> <i>Dr Isabelle Frechon (CNRS / INED)</i></p>	

Wednesday 17th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Research Data Management for Re-use: Bringing Researchers and Archivists closer 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Alexia Katsanidou (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Mr Laurence Horton (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 2: Dr Christina Eder (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Collecting and Analysing Physical Measure and Biomarker Data in Surveys</p> <p>Chair: Dr Stephanie Mcfall (University of Essex)</p>	<p>Advances in measurement of egocentered networks</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Tina Kogovsek (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Arts/Faculty of Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Valentina Hlebec (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Weighting issues in panel surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Nicole Watson (University of Melbourne) Coordinator 1: Dr Olena Kaminska (University of Essex)</p>
<p>1. ENHANCING DATA SHARING VIA "SAFE DESIGNS" <i>Dr Kristine Witkowski (University of Michigan)</i></p>	<p>1. Training and accreditation of interviewers to carry out physical measurements on the Millennium Cohort Study Age 11 Survey <i>Dr Rachel Williams (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Ms Nickie Rose (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Ms Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies at University of London)</i> <i>Ms Kate Smith (Centre for Longitudinal Studies at University of London)</i></p>	<p>1. Different approaches for ego-centered network measurement: a meta-analysis <i>Professor Valentina Hlebec (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences)</i> <i>Professor Tina Kogovšek (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Arts/Faculty of Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>1. Development of a cross-sectional weighting scheme for children in the Swiss Household Panel <i>Ms Martina Rothenbühler (FORS/University of Lausanne)</i> <i>Mr Eric Graf (University of Neuchatel)</i> <i>Dr Alina Matei (University of Neuchatel)</i></p>
<p>2. Research Data Management with the Data Sharing Repository DATORIUM - the various Ways Scientists benefit from Data Sharing <i>Ms Monika Linne (GESIS-Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>2. Feasibility considerations in a pilot study of interviewer collection of biomeasures <i>Dr Stephanie Mcfall (University of Essex)</i> <i>Ms Anne Conolly</i> <i>Dr Jon Burton (University of Essex)</i></p>	<p>2. "The status bias" in social networks research design <i>Dr Maria Safonova (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i> <i>Professor Mikhail Sokolov (European University at St. Petersburg)</i></p>	<p>2. Generating stand-alone weights for a sub-population with time-varying characteristics: a SOEP case study <i>Dr Mathis Schroeder (DIW, Berlin)</i> <i>Mr Rainer Siegers (DIW, Berlin)</i></p>
<p>3. Sharing qualitative Data of Business and Organizational Research - Problems and Solutions <i>Mr Tobias Gebel (Data Service Center for Business and Organizational Data (DSC-BO), Bielefeld University)</i> <i>Mrs Iris Nopper (Data Service Center for Business and Organizational Data (DSC-BO), Bielefeld University)</i></p>	<p>3. A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD AND EMPIRICAL BAYES OF DISEASE RISK MAPPING WITH APPLICATION TO DENGUE HEMORRHAGIC FEVER DISEASE MAPPING IN BOGOR, INDONESIA <i>Mr I Gede Nyoman Mindra Jaya (Padjajaran University)</i> <i>Mr Henk Folmer (Groningen University)</i> <i>Mrs Budi Nurani Ruchjana (Padjajaran University)</i></p>	<p>3. Measuring egocentered networks from Italian multipurpose survey <i>Professor Susanna Zaccarin (Department of Economics, Business, Mathematics and Statistic - University of Trieste - s)</i> <i>Professor Giulia Rivellini (Department of Statistical Science, Catholic University of Milan)</i></p>	<p>3. Evaluation of weighting methods to integrate a new top-up sample with an ongoing longitudinal sample <i>Ms Nicole Watson (University of Melbourne)</i></p>
<p>4. Search engines as Key Brokers of Scientific Data <i>Mr Christoph Thewes (University of Potsdam)</i> <i>Mr Denis Huschka (German Data Forum & GWI Science Policy and Infrastructure Development GmbH)</i> <i>Mr Gert G. Wagner (Data Forum, German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin) & University of Technology Berlin)</i></p>			

Wednesday 17th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Innovations in measurement instrument construction for web-based surveys 2</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Simon Munzert (University of Konstanz, Germany)</p>	<p>Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ivar Krumpal (University of Leipzig)</p> <p>Coordinator 1: Professor Ben Jann (University of Bern)</p> <p>Coordinator 2: Professor Mark Trappmann (Institute for Employment Research Nürnberg)</p>	<p>Data Quality Management in Cross-National Surveys 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Jessica Fortin-rittberger (GESIS)</p> <p>Coordinator 1: Dr Christina Eder (GESIS)</p> <p>Coordinator 2: Dr Manuela Kulick (GESIS)</p>	<p>Errors in social networks research designs</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Anja Znidarsic (Faculty of Organizational Sciences, University of Maribor)</p>
<p>1. Innovative online surveys: the power of association Mr Raymond Elferink (RayCom BV)</p>	<p>1. Vote buying and voter intimidation in Romania: estimations based on crosswise and item count techniques Dr Mircea Comsa (Babes-Bolyai University) Mr Camil Postelnicu (Babes-Bolyai University)</p>	<p>1. Data coding and harmonization: How DataCoH and Charmstats are transforming social science data Dr Kristi Winters (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Mr Martin Friedrichs (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>1. Can structural equivalence reveal regularly connected cohesive subgroups? Dr Anja Znidarsic (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences) Dr Anuska Ferligoj (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences) Dr Patrick Doreian (University of Pittsburgh, Department of Sociology and University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences)</p>
<p>2. Comparing the quality of different scales of an online Survey using an MTMM approach Dr Melanie Revilla (RECSM, UPF)</p>	<p>2. The item count method for sensitive survey questions: Modelling criminal behaviour Dr Jouni Kuha (London School of Economics) Dr Jonathan Jackson (London School of Economics)</p>	<p>2. Manufacturing content? Principles for cross-sectional data processing in the European Social Survey: The case of ESS5 Mr Ole-petter Øvrebo (Norwegian Social Science Data Services)</p>	<p>2. Actor non-response treatments in case of signed networks Professor Patrick Doreian (University of Pittsburgh, Department of Sociology and University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences) Professor Anuska Ferligoj (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences) Dr Anja Žnidaršič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences)</p>
<p>3. Effects of Header Images in a Web Survey Miss Alice Barth (University of Bonn, Department of Sociology)</p>	<p>3. "Opportunity makes the tax thief" - A study using the Crosswise Model to determine predictors of tax evasion Mr Martin Korndoerfer (University of Leipzig) Dr Ivar Krumpal (University of Leipzig) Professor Stefan Schmukle (University of Leipzig)</p>	<p>3. Do you know your data? Some observations of hidden stumbling stones in compartive analysis Professor Peter Ph Mohler (Mannheim University & COMPASS)</p>	<p>3. On collecting the sport networks data from the web Mr Kristijan Breznik (Mfdps) Professor Vladimir Batagelj (FMF)</p>
			<p>4. The effects of measurement error on the structural properties of the citation networks Miss Nuša Erman (UL, Faculty of Administration) Mr Ljupco Todorovski (UL, Faculty of Administration)</p>

Wednesday 17th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 21
<p>Construction of Response Scales in Questionnaires 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Natalja Menold (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Kathrin Bogner (GESIS)</p>	<p>Mixed Methods in Migration Research: Challenges, Innovations and Applications 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Rossalina Latcheva (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</p>	<p>Surveying Children and Young People 3</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Lisa Calderwood ()</p>	<p>Basic Human Values 2</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Eldad Davidov (University of Zurich) Coordinator 1: Dr Constanze Beierlein (Goethe University of Frankfurt) Coordinator 2: Professor Peter Schmidt (Higher School of Economics Moscow)</p>
<p>1. Are Branching Questions Always Better than Rating Scales for Measuring Policy Preferences? Effects of Survey Question Format on Respondent Satisficing and Attitude Strength Dr Alexander Glantz (Ipsos Public Affairs) Mr Jan Eric Blumenstiel (University of Mannheim)</p>	<p>1. A mixed methods approach to understanding the integration of migrants in German Mr Sascha Riedel (University of Cologne)</p>	<p>1. Engaging children as respondents in the Growing up in Scotland study (GUS) Ms Judith Mabelis (ScotCen Social Research) Mr Paul Bradshaw (ScotCen Social Research)</p>	<p>1. Values and Motivation as predictors of creative behavior and attitudes toward innovation Professor Nadezhda Lebedeva (International scientific educational laboratory for socio-cultural research, Higher School of Economics) Dr Ekaterina Bushina (International scientific educational laboratory for socio-cultural research, Higher School of Economics)</p>
<p>2. Measuring political placement on a left-right scale: radio buttons, sliders, midpoints and question order effects Professor Annelies Blom (Mannheim University) Ms Franziska Gebhard (Mannheim University) Professor Thomas Gschwend (Mannheim University)</p>	<p>2. Inter-marriage: Population Structures and Meeting Places Dr Julia Schroedter (University of Zurich, Institute of Sociology) Professor Jörg Rössel (University of Zurich, Institute of Sociology)</p>	<p>2. Interviewing children and young people in the Growing Up in Ireland project Professor James Williams (Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin, Ireland) Dr Aisling Murray (ESRI)</p>	<p>2. Value change during life course in 21 ESS countries Mr Henrik Dobewall (University of Tartu)</p>
<p>3. Effect of slider scales in Web surveys: Lower data quality and biased sample composition compared to measurement with visual analogue scales or radio button scales Dr Frederik Funke (University of Mannheim, Germany, Research Center (SFB) 884)</p>	<p>3. In-between Method: Understanding Intercultural Relationships and Social Inclusion at School Dr Liana Maria Daher (University of Catania)</p>	<p>3. Collecting Data on Children in the PSID Child Development Supplement: Past Results and Future Plans Dr Narayan Sastry (University of Michigan)</p>	<p>3. Human values and disapproval of homosexuality: A cross-country comparison Mrs Anabel Kuntz (University of Cologne)</p>
<p>4. Response Scales in CATI Surveys. Interviewers' Experience Mr Wojciech Jablonski (University of Lodz)</p>		<p>4. Improving the accessibility of self-completion questionnaires for respondents with limited literacy skills: an example of using targeted audio support for a child self-completion survey in Sweep 5 of the Millennium Cohort Study (Age 11 Survey) Miss Julia Pye (Ipsos MORI) Emma Wallace (Ipsos MORI) Kate Smith (University of London)</p>	<p>4. Basic human values quasi-circular model reconsidered: do MDS with dimensions over two matters? Dr Indrek Tart (Tallinn University)</p>

Wednesday 17th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Methodological challenges in the study of attitudes toward immigration</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Oriane Sarrasin (Social Science Research Center Berlin) Coordinator 1: Dr Eva G. T. Green (University of Lausanne)</p>	<p>Investigating Non Respondents: How to Get Reliable Data and How to Use Them</p> <p>Chair: Dr Michele Ernst Staehli (FORS)</p>	<p>Attrition in Panel Surveys - Prevention and Correction 1</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Ulrich Krieger (MEA, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Coordinator 1: Dr Peter Lugtig (Department of Methods and Statistics, Utrecht) Coordinator 2: Dr Galit Gordon (Israel Central Bureau of Statistics)</p>	<p>Weighting issues in panel surveys 2</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Nicole Watson (University of Melbourne) Coordinator 1: Dr Olena Kaminska (University of Essex)</p>
<p>1. Perceived threat, contact and attitudes towards the integration of immigrants. Evidence from Luxembourg. <i>Miss Marie-sophie Callens (University of Leuven & CEPS/INSTEAD)</i> <i>Dr Marie Valentova (CEPS/INSTEAD)</i></p>	<p>1. Non-response of Immigrant Parents in School-Based Surveys: Results from the National Educational Panel Study in Germany <i>Mr Benjamin Schulz (Mannheim Centre for European Social Research)</i></p>	<p>1. Attrition control in a longitudinal survey and prevention on "potentially non-respondents" <i>Mr Florent Domergue (Ministère de l'Intérieur, Département des Statistiques)</i></p>	<p>1. Handling attrition and non-response in the 1970 British Birth Cohort Study (BCS70) <i>Dr Tarek Mostafa (Institute of Education - University of London)</i> <i>Dr Richard Wiggins (Institute of Education - University of London)</i></p>
<p>2. Impact of respondents' migration background on the measurement and prediction of immigration attitudes in surveys <i>Dr Oriane Sarrasin (Social Science Research Center Berlin)</i> <i>Dr Eva G. T. Green (University of Lausanne)</i> <i>Ms Nicole Fasel (University of Lausanne)</i></p>	<p>2. Can Microtargeting Improve Survey Sampling? An Assessment of Accuracy and Bias in Consumer File Marketing Data <i>Professor Josh Pasek (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Mr S. Mo Jang (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Dr Curtiss Cobb (GfK)</i> <i>Dr J. Michael Dennis (GfK)</i></p>	<p>2. Are 'better' interviewers more successful at engaging reluctant respondents?: Evidence from an experiment conducted on the 1970 British Cohort Study <i>Miss Hannah Carpenter (TNS BMRB)</i> <i>Miss Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies)</i> <i>Mr Matt Brown (Centre for Longitudinal Studies)</i></p>	<p>2. From PISA to LSAY: Weighting the Australian Longitudinal Surveys of Australian Youth <i>Mr Patrick Lim (National Centre for Vocational Education Research)</i></p>
<p>3. Decomposing the Determinants of (Dis)Trust in Outgroups in Germany and Spain: Results from an Experimental Design using the Factorial Survey <i>Dr Edurne Bartolome Peral (University of Deusto)</i> <i>Dr Hermann Duellmer (GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences and University of Cologne)</i></p>	<p>3. Survey Participation and Item Non-response in SHARE <i>Ms Johanna Bristle (Munich Center for the Economics of Aging (MEA), Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy)</i> <i>Dr Martina Celidoni (University of Padua - Department of Economics)</i> <i>Ms Chiara Dal Bianco (University of Padua - Department of Economics)</i> <i>Professor Guglielmo Weber (University of Padua - Department of Economics)</i></p>	<p>3. 30 Waves of Participation: The most loyal panel members <i>Dr Simone Bartsch (DIW Berlin - SOEP)</i> <i>Dr Denise Saßenroth (DIW Berlin - SOEP)</i></p>	<p>3. What is the extent and impact of population dynamics we desperately try to adjust for? <i>Mr Tobias Gummer (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>
<p>4. Migrant in-work poverty: How much does discrimination explain? <i>Dr Romana Careja (Institute for Sociology and Socialpsychology)</i> <i>Professor Hans-jürgen Andreß</i> <i>Dr Marco Giesselmann (DIW Berlin)</i> <i>Mr Joscha Dick</i></p>		<p>4. Saturation effect on over-surveyed people versus willingness to participate in online panels: experimental results. <i>Dr Roberto Artaz (University of Bergamo)</i> <i>Professor Silvia Biffignandi (University of Bergamo)</i></p>	

Wednesday 17th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
Innovations in measurement instrument construction for web-based surveys 3	Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 3	Problems and perspectives of piloting and measuring attitudes in survey research	The Total Survey Error Paradigm: Design, Implementation and Evaluation
Convenor: Mr Simon Munzert (University of Konstanz, Germany)	Convenor: Dr Ivar Krumpal (University of Leipzig) Coordinator 1: Professor Ben Jann (University of Bern) Coordinator 2: Professor Mark Trappmann (Institute for Employment Research Nürnberg)	Convenor: Dr Tilo Beckers (Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf) Coordinator 1: Dr Pascal Siegers (Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf) Coordinator 2: Dr Eva Jaspers (Universiteit Utrecht)	Chair: Ms Amanda Wilmot (Applied Research Centre/University College Dublin/RTI International)
1. Developing an online calendar for life event histories Mr Nicholas Howat (TNS BMRB) Miss Emily Pickering (TNS BMRB) Miss Carrie Harding (TNS BMRB) Mr Matthew Brown (Institute of Education)	1. Mode Differences in Socially Desirable Answers to Sensitive Questions Professor Michael Traugott (University of Michigan) Ms Ashley Jardina (University of Michigan)	1. Efficiently-linked designs for very long attitude scales using structurally missing data Dr Iasonas Lampranou (University of Cyprus)	1. Group Discussion Dr Paul Biemer (RTI International)
2. Modular Online Time Use Survey (MOTUS): A New Tool For Time-Diary Data Collection Mr Joeri Minnen (Free University of Brussels (VUB)) Mr Ignace Glorieux (Free University of Brussels (VUB)) Mr Theun Pieter Van Tienoven (Free University of Brussels (VUB)) Mr Djiwo Weenas (Free University of Brussels (VUB))	2. Social desirability bias caused by image management in social position variables Miss Ave Roots (University of Tartu)	2. Uncovering attitudes and non attitudes on a complex topic: Illustrations from the development of a module on democracy in the European Social Survey Ms Lizzy Gatrell (City University London) Mr Rory Fitzgerald (City University London)	2. Group Discussion Professor Mick Couper (University of Michigan)
3. Quality improvements using CAWI - a paper on measuring working hours in the Danish LFS Ms Sofie Valentin Weiskopf (Statistics Denmark)	3. Factors of social desirability across modes: evidence from eye-tracking Dr Olena Kaminska (University of Essex) Dr Tom Foulsham (University of Essex)	3. Disentangling the Effects of Ethnicity and Question in Prejudice Surveys. Mr Adrian Wojcik (Faculty of Psychology, University of Finance and Management in Warsaw & Center for Research on Prejudice, University of Warsaw) Dr Michal Bilewicz (Center for Research on Prejudice, University of Warsaw)	3. Group Discussion Dr Ineke Stoop (European Social Survey/The Netherlands Institute for Social Research/SCP)
4. Exploring Use of Web Surveys for Multi-Generational Studies Dr Pamela Giustinelli (University of Michigan, ISR-SRC) Professor Robert Willis (University of Michigan, ISR-SRC) Mr Michael Zabek (University of Michigan, Economics and ISR-SRC)	4. Surveying violence against men: comparing results from CATI (with and without advance letter) and mail questionnaires Dr Susanne Vogl (Catholic University Eichstaett-Ingolstadt)	4. Methodical Strategies to reduce invalid response in Telephone Surveys concerning the Center Category of the Left-Right-Dimension Mr Bastian Rottinghaus (Researcher) Mr Volker Hüfken (Researcher)	4. Group Discussion Dr Fannie Cobben (Methodology and Quality, Statistics Netherlands)
			5. Group Discussion Professor Lynn (Institute for Social and Economic Research)

Wednesday 17th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 21
Construction of Response Scales in Questionnaires 3	Considerations in Choosing Between Different Pretesting Methods	Investigating non respondents: how to get reliable data and how to use them	Basic Human Values 3
Convenor: Dr Natalja Menold (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Kathrin Bogner (GESIS)	Convenor: Dr Timo Lenzner (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Ms Astrid Schuessler (Justus-Liebig-University Giessen)	Convenor: Mrs Michele Ernst Staehli (FORS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Hideko Matsuo (KU Leuven) Coordinator 2: Mr Dominique Joye (UNIL)	Convenor: Professor Eldad Davidov (University of Zurich) Coordinator 1: Dr Constanze Beierlein (Goethe University of Frankfurt) Coordinator 2: Professor Peter Schmidt (Higher School of Economics Moscow)
1. A response scale experiment for items about attitudes towards abortion in the German General Social Survey Mrs Jessica Walter (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)	1. The Use of Expert Knowledge in Combination with Cognitive Interviews Ms Sophia Nebel (Federal Statistical Office of Germany, Institute for Research and Development, Section Questionnaire Pretesting)	1. Assessing non-responses biases in the French "End of life" survey Dr Nicolas Razafindratsima (INED) Dr Sophie Pennec (INED) Dr Stephane Legleye (INED, Inserm)	1. Self- other agreement in personal values Mr Henrik Dobewall (University of Tartu) Dr Anu Realo (University of Tartu) Dr Toivo Aavik Dr Kenn Konstabel
2. Measuring Ideology: Advantages and Disadvantages of a Multi-Item Ideology Measure Dr Levente Littvay (Central European University) Dr Peter Hatemi (Pennsylvania State University)	2. Pre-testing a questionnaire on violence against women - do different testing methods succeed in revealing different issues that need to be addressed in survey development? Mr Sami Nevala (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights - FRA) Dr Markku Heiskanen (European Institute for Crime Prevention and Control, affiliated with the United Nations - HEUNI)	2. Building a final response set Dr Peter Lundquist (Statistician Stats Sweden) Professor Carl-erik Särmdal	2. Factors of socio-cultural adaptation of young people in Yakutia Dr Mariya Abramova (Institute philosophy and law SB RAS Department of ethno-social research, Russia, Novosibirsk)
3. Rating Scale Design in Developing Countries: Qualitative Insights from Ethiopia Dr Charles Lau (RTI International) Miss Ashley Richards (RTI International)	3. Making sense of a cross-national pre-test: applying the Cross-national Error Source Typology (CNEST) to quantitative and qualitative pilot data Mr Rory Fitzgerald (City University London) Miss Lizzy Gatrell (City University London) Miss Yvette Prestage (City University London)	3. Use of a non-response follow-up survey to assess the validity of R-indicators as a measure of the risk of bias Dr Caroline Vandenplas (UNIL) Dr Caroline Roberts (UNIL)	3. Basic values of Russian Orthodox Church members: an application of Schwartz Portrait Value Questionnaire Mrs Elena Prutskova (St. Tikhon's Orthodox University)
4. Surveying the frequencies of parent-child-activities - challenges to scale construction and analysis Dr Thomas Baeumer (NEPS)	4. The evaluation of different procedures for pretesting survey questions Dr Willem Saris (RECSM)	4. Can we make better use of non-response surveys? A case study from ESS Round 5 Swiss data Ms Ahu Alanya (KU Leuven) Professor Joye Dominique Dr Michelle Stähli Professor Jaak Billiet (KU Leuven)	4. Locating individuals on Schwartz value dimensions Mr Marko Somer (Tallinn University)
	5. (Pre)Testing the Use of the 'Don't Know' Option on Public Opinion Survey Questions Miss Jannine Van De Maat (Leiden University)		

Wednesday 17th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Room No. 15	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
Measurement in panel surveys: methodological issues 1	Collection and analysis of biological data in health surveys: developing best practice 2	Survey methodology and online community research	Household panel surveys: recent developments and new challenges
Convenor: Ms Nicole Watson (University of Melbourne) Coordinator 1: Dr Noah Uhrig (University of Essex)	Convenor: Miss Samantha Clemens (Head of the Health and Wellbeing Team) Coordinator 1: Miss Barbara Schaan (Munich Center for the Economics of Aging, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Coordinator 2: Mrs Heidi Guyer (Survey Research Center, University of Michigan)	Convenor: Dr Andraž Petrovčič (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Gregor Petrič (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences) Coordinator 2: Dr Katja Lozar Manfreda (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences)	Convenor: Dr Emanuela Sala (Dipartimento di Sociologia e Ricerca Sociale, Università di Milano Bicocca) Coordinator 1: Dr Jonathan Burton (ISER, University of Essex)
1. A general approach to account for heaping patterns <i>Dr Sabine Zinn (University Bamberg, National Educational Panel Study)</i> <i>Ariane Würbach (University Bamberg, National Educational Panel Study)</i>	1. Collecting physical measures and biomarkers over the years: longitudinal experiences. <i>Ms Heidi Guyer (University of Michigan, Survey Research Center)</i> <i>Ms Mary Beth Ofstedal (University of Michigan, Survey Research Center)</i>	1. Graph partitioning or supervised web crawling? Advantages and disadvantages for online communities sampling. <i>Mr Yoann Veny (Université Libre de Bruxelles)</i>	1. The Challenges and Successes in Developing the new Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) <i>Dr Jason Fields (US Census Bureau)</i> <i>Dr David Johnson (US Census Bureau)</i> <i>Dr Judith Eargle (US Census Bureau)</i> <i>Dr Matthew Marlay (US Census Bureau)</i>
2. The use of preloads to stimulate the memory in a life course panel survey <i>Mrs Annette Trahms (Institute for Employment Research, Nuremberg)</i> <i>Dr Britta Matthes (Institute for Employment Research, Nuremberg)</i>	2. The Links Between Physical Performance and Self-Rated Health and Functioning among Older Adults in the US, England and Europe <i>Dr Mary Beth Ofstedal (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Dr John Bound (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Ms Min-hee Kim (University of Michigan)</i>	2. Beyond Probability Sampling: Philosophical and Empirical Considerations for Population Inference in a World Without Benchmarks <i>Professor Josh Pasek (University of Michigan)</i>	2. When Money is Tight and Requirements are High: Using Nonprobability Samples in Longitudinal Household Studies. <i>Dr Michela Coppola (Max-Planck-Institute for Social Law and Social Policy)</i>
3. Using a visual calendar to improve the accuracy of event histories: Evidence from the 1970 British Cohort Study <i>Mr Matt Brown (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education)</i> <i>Mr Nick Howat (TNS BMRB)</i> <i>Ms Emily Pickering (TNS BMRB)</i>	3. Self-performed objective measures of activity in an online panel survey: feasibility, response and data quality. <i>Mr Joris Mulder (CentERdata (Tilburg University))</i>	3. European LGBT people's online survey: methodological challenges and outcomes <i>Dr Sabine Springer (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</i> <i>Dr Vida Beresneviciute (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</i>	3. Comparing register and survey data in EU-SILC 2010 in Austria <i>Mr Richard Heuberger (Statistics Austria)</i>
4. Quantifying the development of agreement among experts in Delphi studies <i>Mr Jurian Meijering (Wageningen University)</i> <i>Dr Jarl Kampen (Wageningen University)</i> <i>Dr Hilde Tobi (Wageningen University)</i>	4. Making the transition to using non-medically trained fieldworkers in biological data collection: findings from a Scottish Health Survey validation study <i>Mrs Lisa Rutherford (ScotCen Social Research)</i> <i>Ms Susan Purdon (Bryson Purdon Social Research)</i>	4. The effect of email invitation characteristics and response reluctance on non-response in web forum surveys <i>Dr Andraž Petrovčič (University of Ljubljana)</i> <i>Dr Katja Manfreda (University of Ljubljana)</i> <i>Dr Gregor Petrič (University of Ljubljana)</i>	4. Use of Cognitive Measures and the Day Reconstruction Method in Face-to-Face-Interviews in the German Socio-Economic Panel <i>Dr David Richter (German Socio-Economic Panel Study - SOEP)</i> <i>Professor Richard Lucas (Michigan State University)</i> <i>Professor Jürgen Schupp (German Socio-Economic Panel Study)</i>
	5. Feasibility study to recruit young women in a social media site (Facebook) to assess knowledge, attitude and behavior related to HPV-vaccination in Germany <i>Mr Patrick Schmich (Robert Koch-Institut)</i> <i>Mr Dietmar Walter (Robert Koch-Institut)</i> <i>Mr Matthias Wetzstein (Robert Koch-Institut)</i> <i>Mr Ole Wichmann (Robert Koch-Institut)</i>		5. "In which month and year?" versus "When?" - How does question wording affect the quality of reported dates of events? <i>Dr Annette Jäckle (ISER, University of Essex)</i> <i>Dr Emanuela Sala (Dipartimento di Sociologia e Ricerca Sociale, University)</i>

Thursday 18th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Weighting: approach and sources 1</p> <p>Convenor: Mrs Kim De Cuyper (GfK EU3C) Coordinator 1: Mrs Sara Gysen (GfK EU3C)</p>	<p>Social Desirability Bias in Sensitive Surveys: Theoretical Explanations and Data Collection Methods 4</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ivar Krumpal (University of Leipzig) Coordinator 1: Professor Ben Jann (University of Bern) Coordinator 2: Professor Mark Trappmann (Institute for Employment Research Nürnberg)</p>	<p>Mixed Methods in Migration Research: Challenges, Innovations and Applications 3</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Rossalina Latcheva (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights)</p>	<p>Combining cross-nation and longitudinal perspectives in substantial social research</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Jaak Billiet (KU Leuven)</p>
<p>1. Weighting challenges in a dual-frame nation-wide study: A case study in R <i>Dr Birgit Schroedle (DemoSCOPE Research & Marketing, Adligenswil, Switzerland)</i> <i>Mr Stefan Klug (DemoSCOPE Research & Marketing, Adligenswil, Switzerland)</i> <i>Dr Max Mueller (IBSF Institut für Begleit- und Sozialforschung, Zurich, Switzerland)</i></p>	<p>1. Measurability of desirability: bias-control with social desirability scales <i>Ms Susanne Ehrlich (University of Passau)</i> <i>Professor Horst-alfred Heinrich (University of Passau)</i></p>	<p>1. Return Intentions of North African Migrants in Italy <i>Miss Angela Paparusso (Unesco Chair in Population, Migrations and Development)</i> <i>Dr Cristina Giudici (Sapienza University of Rome-MEMOTEF Dept. Unesco Chair in Population, Migrations and Development)</i> <i>Dr Elena Ambrosetti (Sapienza University of Rome-MEMOTEF Dept.-Unesco Chair in Population, Migrations and Development)</i></p>	<p>1. Patterns of Immigrant Inter-marriage: Explaining Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Differences in the United States from 1880 to 2011 <i>Mr Christoph Spoerlein (University of Cologne)</i> <i>Professor Elmar Schlueter (University of Giessen)</i> <i>Professor Frank Van Tubergen (Utrecht University)</i></p>
<p>2. Weighting in cross-national surveys. Eurofound's weighting approach illustrated using results from the European Quality of Life Survey <i>Ms Eszter Sandor (Eurofound)</i></p>	<p>2. The Effects of Social Distance and Question Reading on Social Desirability Response Bias <i>Mrs Marieke Haan (University of Groningen)</i> <i>Dr Yfke Ongena (University of Groningen)</i> <i>Professor Kees De Gloppe (University of Groningen)</i></p>	<p>2. Eliciting Illegal migration rates through list randomization <i>Dr Melissa Siegel (Maastricht University)</i> <i>Dr David Mckenzie (World Bank, BREAD, CEPR, CREAM, and IZA)</i></p>	<p>2. CHURCH ATTENDANCE AND RELIGIOUS CHANGE IN EUROPE (1970-2011). THEORETICAL DEBATE, METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES AND EMPIRICAL RESULTS <i>Dr Ferruccio Biolcati-rinaldi (University of Milan)</i> <i>Dr Cristiano Vezzoni (University of Trento)</i></p>
<p>3. Non-response adjustment by registers and estimation of variance by replicate weights <i>Mr Peter Linde (Statistics Denmark)</i></p>	<p>3. Evaluating the psychometric properties of the Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale in internet format using Item Response Theory (IRT) and intensive individual interviews. <i>Ms Vaka Vésteinsdóttir (University of Iceland)</i> <i>Professor Ulf-dietrich Reips ((1) University of Deusto, Spain (2) IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Spain)</i> <i>Professor Adam Joinson (UWE Bristol)</i> <i>Dr Fanney Þórsdóttir (University of Iceland)</i></p>	<p>3. Local estimates of the number of descendants of immigrants (second generation migrants) <i>Mr Yves Breem (Secrétariat Général à l'Immigration)</i> <i>Yannick Croguennec (Secrétariat Général à l'Immigration)</i></p>	<p>3. Changing attitudes towards immigration in times of economic crisis. A cross-national and over-time comparison <i>Professor Bart Meuleman (University of Leuven)</i> <i>Professor Eldad Davidov (University of Zurich)</i> <i>Professor Jaak Billiet (University of Leuven)</i></p>
<p>4. Comparison of post-stratification weighting and propensity score adjustment as methods of reducing the item non-response bias <i>Dr Piotr Jabkowski (Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznan, Poland)</i></p>		<p>4. A Mixed-Method Analysis of Ethnic Diversity Attitudes during the 2011 England Riots <i>Dr Oriane Sarrasin (Social Science Research Center Berlin)</i> <i>Ms Nicole Fasel (University of Lausanne)</i> <i>Dr Eva G. T. Green (University of Lausanne)</i> <i>Dr Eric Mayor (University of Neuchâtel)</i></p>	

Thursday 18th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 21	Room No. 15
<p>Attitudes: Methodology Ontology Impact 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Mark Elliot (University of Manchester)</p>	<p>The evaluation of interviewer effects on different components of the total survey error</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Geert Loosveldt (Dept Sociology, K.U.Leuven) Coordinator 1: Professor Patrick Sturgis (School of Social Sciences, University of Southampton)</p>	<p>Cognition in surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Naomi Kamoen (Utrecht University) Coordinator 1: Dr Bregje Holleman (Utrecht University)</p>	<p>Generalized Latent Variable Modeling</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Dominik Becker (Technical University of Dortmund)</p>
<p>1. Two Decades of Measuring Environmental Attitudes: An Analysis of the ISSP Environmental Modules 1993, 2000, and 2010 <i>Professor Axel Franzen (University of Bern) Mr Dominikus Vogl (University of Bern)</i></p>	<p>1. Item Nonresponse in Face-to-Face Interviews with Children <i>Dr Sigrid Haunberger (University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland)</i></p>	<p>1. Mechanisms of Reporting to Dependent Questions in Panel Surveys <i>Dr Stephanie Eckman (IAB) Dr Annette Jaeckle (ISER)</i></p>	<p>1. Cross-national measurement equivalence in generalized latent variable modelling: Sensitivity analysis <i>Dr Jouni Kuha (London School of Economics) Dr Irini Moustaki (London School of Economics)</i></p>
<p>2. Analysing environmental concern over time: results from the British National Child Development Study <i>Miss Gabriella Melis (CCSR - University of Manchester) Dr Mark Elliot (CCSR - University of Manchester)</i></p>	<p>2. Explaining interviewer effects on interviewer fieldwork performance indicators <i>Professor Geert Loosveldt (University of Leuven) Mr Koen Beullens (University of Leuven) Mr Dries Tirry (University of Leuven)</i></p>	<p>2. Survey Satisficing and Response Accuracy of Proxy Reports in Survey Interviews <i>Professor Volker Stocké (University of Kassel, Germany) Dr Tobias Stark (Stanford University, USA)</i></p>	<p>2. Empirical models for the analysis of reading comprehension skills in PIRLS-Transfer: A method comparison <i>Miss Ann Cathrice George (Research School Education and Capabilities, TU Dortmund University) Dr Jürgen Groß (Department of Statistics, TU Dortmund University) Dr Rainer Alexandrowicz (Institute for Psychology, Alpen-Adria-University Klagenfurt) Mr Alexander Robitzsch (Bundesinstitut für Innovation und Entwicklung des österreichischen Schulwesens)</i></p>
<p>3. Structure and Dimensions of Environmental Concern <i>Miss Rebecca Rhead (PhD Student - University of Manchester) Mr Mark Elliot</i></p>	<p>3. Do Interviewers influence respondent propensity to 'satisfice'? <i>Ms Gosia Turner (University of Southampton) Professor Patrick Sturgis (University of Southampton) Professor David Martin (University of Southampton) Professor Chris Skinner (London School of Economics)</i></p>	<p>3. The use of tailoring aided recall methods to respondent characteristics and the difficulty of the recall task <i>Dr Wander Van Der Vaart (University of Humanistic Studies, Utrecht, Netherlands)</i></p>	<p>3. Multiple-group comparisons vs. differential item functioning: Comparing two tests for measurement equivalence <i>Mr Dominik Becker (Technical University of Dortmund) Mrs Jasmin Schwanenberg (Technical University of Dortmund)</i></p>
	<p>4. Interviewer effects on permission rates for secondary respondents in a multi-actor survey. <i>Mrs Inge Pasteels (University of Antwerp)</i></p>	<p>4. Why are negative questions difficult to answer? On the processing of contrastive questions in attitude surveys <i>Dr Naomi Kamoen (Utrecht University) Dr Bregje Holleman (Utrecht University) Professor Huub Van Den Bergh (Utrecht University)</i></p>	
		<p>5. Individual Differences of Survey Response Time <i>Dr Levente Littvay (Central European University) Dr Peter Hatemi (The Pennsylvania State University)</i></p>	

Thursday 18th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Data Archiving</p> <p>Chair: Mr Sebastian Kocar (Social Science Data Archives)</p>	<p>Surveys and compositional data</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Germa Coenders (University of Girona) Coordinator 1: Dr Valentina Hlebec (University of Ljubljana)</p>	<p>Surveying immigrants in the absence of a sample frame 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Yana Leontiyeva (Institute of Sociology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic) Coordinator 1: Dr Agata Gorny (Centre of Migration Research, University of Warsaw) Coordinator 2: Ms Joanna Napierala (Centre of Migration Research, University of Warsaw)</p>	<p>Measurement in panel surveys: methodological issues 2</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Nicole Watson (University of Melbourne) Coordinator 1: Dr Noah Uhrig (University of Essex)</p>
<p>1. Leveraging data in African countries: Curating Government microdata for research <i>Ms Lynn Woolfrey (DataFirst, University of Cape Town)</i></p>	<p>1. Analyzing Predictors and Outcomes of Social Network Compositions with a Compositional Structural Equation Model <i>Professor Tina Kogovšek (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Arts/Faculty of Social Sciences)</i> <i>Professor Valentina Hlebec (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>1. Sampling of Hard-to-Reach Populations <i>Mr Christian Hoops (IPSOS Observer/Public Affairs)</i> <i>Professor Kai-uwe Schnapp (University of Hamburg)</i></p>	<p>1. Measuring employment in panel surveys: A comparison of reliability estimates in HILDA and BHPS <i>Dr Sc Noah Uhrig (Institute for Social & Economic Research)</i> <i>Ms Nicole Watson (The Melbourne Institute for Applied Economic and Social Research)</i></p>
<p>2. Cooperation of an archive and an NSI to add value to detailed non-anonymised microdata: the Slovenian good practice <i>Mr Sebastian Kočar (Slovene Social Science Data Archives)</i></p>	<p>2. Latent classes of low-cost tourists by expenditure composition <i>Mrs Berta Ferrer-rosell (Department of Economics, University of Girona)</i> <i>Dr Esther Martínez-garcia (Department of Economics, University of Girona)</i></p>	<p>2. Centre sampling technique in foreign migration surveys: Methodology, application and operational aspects <i>Professor Gian Carlo Blangiardo (Milan Bicocca University)</i> <i>Dr Gianluca Baio (Milan Bicocca University)</i> <i>Dr Marta Blangiardo (Imperial College London)</i></p>	<p>2. Do branched rating scales have better test-retest reliability than unbranched scales? Experimental evidence from a three-wave panel survey <i>Miss Emily Gilbert (ISER, University of Essex)</i></p>
<p>3. Data archive of surveys on 'language problem' in Ukraine: flexible structure of meta-data, automated search of similar questions and linking survey data to text of laws and publications <i>Mr Eugen Bolshov (Kyiv International Institute of Sociology)</i> <i>Mr Igor Reshetny (Kyiv International Institute of Sociology)</i></p>	<p>3. Lost in translation? The consequences of adapting adult survey questions for children <i>Dr Paula Devine (Queen's University Belfast)</i> <i>Dr Katrina Lloyd (Queen's University Belfast)</i></p>	<p>3. Surveying persons with migration background using the Center Sampling technique. Experiences with a survey among Bulgarian migrants in Hamburg <i>Mrs Vesela Kovacheva (Hamburg Institute of International Economics (HWWI))</i></p>	<p>3. Hedonic Price Models with Omitted Variables and Measurement Errors: A Constrained Autoregression - Structural Equation Modeling Approach with Application to Urban Indonesia <i>Mr Yusep Suparman (Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia)</i> <i>Professor Henk Folmer (Groningen University, the Netherlands)</i> <i>Dr Johan Oud (Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands)</i></p>
	<p>4. Language and ethnicity: cognitive interviews and measures of cognitive ability <i>Dr Stephanie Mcfall (University of Essex)</i> <i>Dr S. C. Noah Uhrig (University of Essex)</i> <i>Ms Joanne D'ardenne (National Centre for Social Research)</i> <i>Ms Michelle Gray (National Centre for Social Research)</i></p>	<p>4. A Spatial-Cluster based RDD-Sampling Technique for Rare Populations <i>Professor Rainer Schnell (University of Duisburg-Essen)</i></p>	<p>4. Measuring real change or something else? Mechanisms and consequences of panel conditioning in a short-term campaign panel <i>Mr Michael Bergmann (University of Mannheim)</i></p>

Thursday 18th July, 11:00 – 12:30				
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 19	Room No. 20
<p>Weighting: approach and sources 2</p> <p>Convenor: Mrs Kim De Cuyper (GfK EU3C) Coordinator 1: Mrs Griet Gys (Significant GfK) Coordinator 2: Mrs Christine Tresignie (GfK EU3C)</p>	<p>Measuring values in society 1</p> <p>Convenor: Mr David Vannette (Stanford University) Coordinator 1: Professor Yphtach Lelkes (University of Amsterdam)</p>	<p>Methodological advances in Latent Class Models for Surveys</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Daniel Oberski (Tilburg University) Coordinator 1: Dr Milos Kankaras (Tilburg University)</p>	<p>Multi-level Relationships and Social Mechanisms</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Juergen Friedrichs (University of Cologne) Coordinator 1: Dr Alexandra Nonnenmacher (University of Hanover)</p>	<p>Combining cross-nation and longitudinal perspectives in substantial social research</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Jaak Billiet (KU Leuven)</p>
<p>1. Developing weights for wage-related probability and non-probability web surveys - and exploration for the Netherlands <i>Dr Stephanie Steinmetz (University of Amsterdam)</i> <i>Dr Annamaria Bianchi (University of Bergamo)</i> <i>Professor Silvia Biffignandi (University of Bergamo)</i></p>	<p>1. Accounting for the Interaction of Value Meaning and Value Importance <i>Dr Ernest Albert (University of Zürich, Institute of Sociology)</i></p>	<p>1. Latent Class Models to investigate the trade-off between measurement and attrition errors <i>Dr Peter Lugtig (Methods and Statistics, Utrecht University and Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex)</i></p>	<p>1. Career VS Children : the effects of institutional background on females' subjective well-being across Europe <i>Dr Tatiana Karabchuk (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</i></p>	<p>1. Economic Inequality and Demand for Redistribution: Combining Cross-sectional and Longitudinal Evidence <i>Mr Alexander Schmidt (Chair for Empirical Social and Economic Research - University of Cologne)</i></p>
<p>2. Comparing Outbound vs. Inbound Census-balanced Web Panel Samples <i>Dr Linchiat Chang (LinChiat Chang Consulting)</i></p>	<p>2. The impact of partisanship salience on the perception of ideological distance <i>Ms Rebecca Weiss (Stanford University)</i></p>	<p>2. The analysis of multiple attitudinal Likert items - latent classes and relative orderings <i>Professor Brian Francis (Lancaster University)</i> <i>Dr Regina Dittrich (Wirtschaftsuniversität Wien)</i></p>	<p>2. The frogs and props of the social sciences: A plea for the advancement of mechanism-type explanations in (multilevel) educational and survey research <i>Mr Dominik Becker (Technical University of Dortmund)</i> <i>Dr Tilo Beckers (Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf)</i> <i>Dr Klaus Birkelbach (University of Duisburg-Essen)</i> <i>Dr Ulf TraHOW (Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf)</i></p>	<p>2. Is the concept of social trust stable? A five wave longitudinal study using ESS data for Spain and Norway <i>Dr Lluís Coromina Soler (University of Girona)</i> <i>Dr Edurne Bartolomé (University of Deusto)</i></p>
<p>3. Microdata Imputations and Macrodata Implications: Evidence from the Ifo Business Survey <i>Mr Christian Seiler (Ifo Institute)</i> <i>Dr Christian Heumann (Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich)</i></p>	<p>3. How well the Portrait Value Questionnaire works in ESS <i>Mr Laur Lilleoja (Tallinn University)</i></p>	<p>3. Measuring HIV Knowledge in China: Simple Score and Latent Class Approaches <i>Dr Olga Maslovskaia (University of Southampton)</i> <i>Professor Peter Smith (University of Southampton)</i> <i>Dr Sabu Padmadas (University of Southampton)</i></p>	<p>3. A cross-national comparison of the effects of participation in voluntary activities on subjective well-being <i>Mrs Cristina Oarga (GK Socliffe, University of Cologne)</i> <i>Mrs Olga Stavrova</i> <i>Professor Detlef Fetchenhauer</i></p>	<p>3. Combining longitudinal and cross-national measurement invariance for social trust. An example of social trust with ESS data. <i>Dr Lluís Coromina (University of Girona)</i></p>
		<p>4. Using background variables with missing information for the estimation of plausible values in the NEPS starting cohorts: A data augmented gibbs sampling approach <i>Dr Christian Aßmann (NEPS OFU Bamberg)</i> <i>Professor Claus Carstensen (NEPS OFU Bamberg)</i> <i>Dr Steffi Pohl (NEPS OFU Bamberg)</i> <i>Mr Christoph Gaasch (NEPS OFU Bamberg)</i></p>	<p>4. Constructing Aggregated Context Indicators to Use Repeated Cross-Sectional Cross-Cultural Surveys <i>Dr Davide Morselli (University of Lausanne)</i></p>	

Thursday 18th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 15	Room No. 21
<p>Attitudes: Methodology Ontology Impact 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Mark Elliot (University of Manchester)</p>	<p>Use of Paradata for Production Survey Management</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Katherine Mcgonagle (Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan) Coordinator 1: Dr Jason Fields (U.S. Census Bureau)</p>	<p>Privacy Preserving Record-Linkage Techniques</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Rainer Schnell (University of Duisburg-Essen) Coordinator 1: Mr Stefan Bender (German Record Linkage Center)</p>	<p>Quality of Life, Quality of Society</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Eric Harrison (City University London) Coordinator 1: Dr Ineke Stoop (SCP, Netherlands)</p>
<p>1. The effect of intergenerational contact on attitudes towards young people across Europe <i>Miss Yvette Prestage (City University London)</i> <i>Dr Sarah Butt (City University London)</i></p>	<p>1. The Dynamic Use of Paradata to Monitor Data Collection on a Longitudinal Panel Study: A Flexible Dashboard Approach <i>Ms Heidi Guyer (University of Michigan, Survey Research Center)</i> <i>Mr Piotr Dworak (University of Michigan, Survey Research Center)</i> <i>Ms Wen Chang (University of Michigan, Survey Research Center)</i> <i>Ms Mary Beth Ofstedal (University of Michigan, Survey Research Center)</i></p>	<p>1. Evaluation of privacy preserving record linkage techniques with a large scale database <i>Professor Rainer Schnell (University of Duisburg-Essen)</i> <i>Miss Anke Richter (Institute for Cancer Epidemiology, University Lübeck)</i></p>	<p>1. Children's well-being in a 24h economy: A comparison of children's wellbeing within Finnish, British and Dutch families <i>Dr Anna Ronka (JAMK University of Applied Sciences)</i> <i>Mrs Kaisa Malinen (JAMK University of Applied Sciences)</i> <i>Mrs Melissa Verhoef (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Dr Vanessa May (University of Manchester)</i></p>
<p>2. Can Q methodology measure subjective representations of view? <i>Dr Jarl Kampen (Wageningen University)</i> <i>Dr Peter Tamas (Wageningen University)</i></p>	<p>2. Paradata: the Tools and Plans for monitoring the Survey Income and Program Participation <i>Dr Jason Fields (US Census Bureau)</i> <i>Dr Matthew Marlay (US Census Bureau)</i></p>	<p>2. Privacy-preserving record linkage with large files <i>Mr Manfred Antoni (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i></p>	<p>2. Can a change in values buffer the negative effect of economic downturn on well-being? <i>Dr Ela Polek (University College Dublin)</i></p>
<p>3. The structural coherence of gender role attitudes across Europe <i>Miss Claire Shepherd (University of Manchester)</i> <i>Dr Mark Elliot (University of Manchester)</i></p>	<p>3. Monitoring the Quality of Interviewing at Statistics Canada <i>Mr Duncan Wrighte (Statistics Canada)</i></p>		<p>3. The Long Term Effect of Having Children on Parental Subjective Well-Being <i>Ms Karoline Harzenetter (GESIS)</i></p>
<p>4. The Value of Tolerance <i>Dr Eric Harrison (City University London)</i> <i>Dr Sarah Butt (City University London)</i></p>	<p>4. Using Paradata for Responsive Design and Interviewer Data Quality Monitoring <i>Ms Nicole Kirgis (University of Michigan)</i></p>		

Thursday 18th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Natural Experiments in Survey Research</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Henning Best (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Gerrit Bauer (LMU Munich)</p>	<p>Mixed Mode Surveys - Reports from the Field Work 1</p> <p>Chair: Mr Patrick Schmich (Robert Koch-Institute)</p>	<p>Data Management and Data Analysis in Quantitative Historical Social Research</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ronald Gebauer (Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena) Coordinator 1: Dr Axel Salheiser (Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena)</p>	<p>Measurement in panel surveys: methodological issues 3</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Nicole Watson (University of Melbourne) Coordinator 1: Dr Noah Uhrig (University of Essex)</p>
<p>1. Studying Natural Experiments Using the American Community Survey: Migration, Health, and Well-Being Following Hurricane Katrina Dr Narayan Sastry (University of Michigan)</p>	<p>1. Mixing modes of administration in a survey to municipalities Mr Joan Font (IESA-CSIC) Mrs Carolina Galais (University of Montreal) Mr Pau Alarcon (IESA-CSIC)</p>	<p>1. The Belgian HISSTAT project: reconstructing and documenting the 1961 census sample Dr Wouter Ronsijn (VUB)</p>	<p>1. Fake it so you make it: The prevalence and implications of fieldworker fraud in large South African surveys Mr Arden Finn (University of Cape Town) Dr Vimal Ranchhod (University of Cape Town)</p>
<p>2. Machine learning techniques for the estimation of the propensity score: evaluating the robustness to irrelevant covariates and the utility of different imbalance measures Dr Bruno Arpino (universitat pompeu fabra) Dr Massimo Cannas (Università do Cagliari)</p>	<p>2. What's mode got to do with it? The effect of mode of collection on individual response to sensitive topics among a third level student population: a cross-sectional survey Mr Martin P. Davoren (Department of Epidemiology & Public Health, University College Cork, Cork.) Dr Frances Shiely (Department of Epidemiology & Public Health, University College Cork, Cork.) Dr Michael Byrne (Student Health Department, University College Cork, Cork) Professor Ivan J. Perry (Department of Epidemiology & Public Health, University College Cork, Cork.)</p>	<p>2. GDR Petitions - A sunken treasure for Social and Historical Sciences? Dr Marian Krawietz (University of Potsdam)</p>	<p>2. Do we need the "neutral weather survey"? Measurement effects of the weather at interview day Dr Claudia Schmiedeberg (Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich) Dr Jette Schröder (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences für Sozialwissenschaften)</p>
<p>3. Religiosity, Inequality, and Secularization Dr Malcolm Fairbrother (University of Bristol)</p>	<p>3. A mixed-mode survey tackling against an increasing rate of nonresponse Mrs Marika Jokinen (Statistics Finland)</p>	<p>3. Don't Knows in Online and Telephone Surveys Mrs Steve Schwarzer (TNS) Mrs Eva Thalhammer (MeSoS University of Vienna) Mr Dylan Connor (Department of Geography, UCLA)</p>	<p>3. The Methodology of a Dwelling Panel Professor Jörg Blasius (University of Cologne) Jürgen Friedrichs</p>
<p>4. Merging strategic action fields: The reunification of Germany as a natural experiment Mr Tobias Gummer (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>4. Incentives in mixed-mode surveys Professor Hans Schmeets (Statistics Netherlands / Maastricht University) Dr Karolijne Van Der Houwen (Statistics Netherlands)</p>	<p>4. A Split Questionnaire Design based on NEPS Data with Block Structure Correlation Matrix Mrs Sara Bahrami (NEPS, Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg, Germany) Dr Christian Aßmann (NEPS, Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg, Germany) Dr Florian Meinfelder (Lehrstuhl für Statistik und ökonometrie, Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg, Germany) Professor Susanne Rässler (Lehrstuhl für Statistik und ökonometrie, Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg, Germany)</p>	

Thursday 18th July, 14:00 – 15:30				
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20	Room No. 12
<p>Web data collection for probability-based general population surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex) Coordinator 1: Ms Lisa Calderwood (Institute of Education, University of London) Coordinator 2: Ms Gerry Nicolaas (NatCen Social Research)</p>	<p>Measuring values in society 2</p> <p>Convenor: Mr David Vannette (Stanford University) Coordinator 1: Professor Yphtach Lelkes (University of Amsterdam)</p>	<p>The Use of Probing Questions to Evaluate Items in Intercultural Research</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Michael Braun (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Dorothee Behr (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Fieldwork in interview surveys - professional guidelines and field observations</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Wojciech Jablonski (University of Lodz)</p>	<p>Envisioning the "survey" of the future: the role of smartphones and other technologies in advancing the practice of survey research 1</p> <p>Convenor: Miss Femke De Keulenaer (Gallup Europe) Coordinator 1: Mr Robert Manchin (Gallup Europe) Coordinator 2: Mr Henk Fernee (The Netherlands Institute for Social Research/SCP)</p>
<p>1. An online survey for the general population: offline households in the German Internet Panel <i>Ms Dayana Bossert (University of Mannheim)</i> <i>Ms Annette Holthausen (University of Mannheim)</i> <i>Mr</i></p>	<p>1. Strength-related dimensions of attitude: a methodological exploration. <i>Miss Vasiliki-maria Agalioti-sgomppou (Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex)</i></p>	<p>1. Probing in cross-cultural survey research - status quo and outlook <i>Dr Dorothee Behr (GESIS)</i></p>	<p>1. Detecting interviewers' deviant behaviour - a statistical approach and an analysis of the effect of payment scheme <i>Professor Peter Winker (Justus-Liebig-University Giessen)</i> <i>Dr Natalja Menold (GESIS, Mannheim)</i> <i>Mr Sascha Brede (Justus-Liebig-University Giessen)</i></p>	<p>1. Mobile Web Survey in the Real World <i>Ms Marika De Bruijne (CenterERdata / Tilburg University)</i> <i>Mr Arnaud Wijnant (CenterERdata / Tilburg University)</i></p>
<p>2. Recruitment of a Probability-based Internet Panel in France: the ELIPSS Pilot Study <i>Ms Anne Cornilleau (Sciences Po)</i> <i>Ms Anne-sophie Cousteaux (Sciences Po)</i></p>	<p>2. Slovenian Consumers and Social Responsibility <i>Professor Irena Ograjenšek (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Economics)</i> <i>Ms Lejla Perviz (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Economics)</i></p>	<p>2. Integrating cognitive interviewing and DIF analysis to uncover causes of non-equivalence in cross-cultural research: A mixed methods research approach <i>Dr Jose-luis Padilla (University of Granada)</i> <i>Dr Isabel Benitez (University of Granada)</i></p>	<p>2. In-field Resolution of Interviewer Falsification- A Case Study <i>Mrs Louise De Villiers (University of Cape Town)</i></p>	<p>2. Challenges of smartphones to traditional online panel based research <i>Dr Robert Pinter (eNet Internet Research and Consulting Ltd.)</i></p>
<p>3. Pushing the U.S. general Public to the Web in Household surveys: Is it Worth the Effort? <i>Dr Don Dillman (Washington State University)</i> <i>Miss Michelle Edwards (Washington State University)</i> <i>Dr Benjamin Messer (Washington State University)</i></p>	<p>3. Attitudes of Slovenian consumers towards eco products and environment-friendly behaviour: in search of the big picture <i>Professor Irena Ograjenšek (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Economics)</i> <i>Professor Vesna Zabkar (University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Economics)</i></p>	<p>4. What do respondents mean when they indicate to be "citizens of the world"? Using probing questions to elucidate international differences in cosmopolitanism <i>Professor Michael Braun (GESIS)</i> <i>Dr</i></p>	<p>3. Improving survey participation: cost effectiveness of call-backs to refusals and increased call attempts in a national telephone survey in France <i>Dr Stéphane Legleye (Ined, Inserm U669)</i> <i>Miss Geraldine Charrance (Ined)</i> <i>Dr</i></p>	<p>3. Time-use data collection by means of smartphones <i>Mr Henk Fernee (The Netherlands Institute for Social Research/SCP)</i> <i>Miss Nathalie Sonck (The Netherlands Institute for Social Research/SCP)</i></p>
<p>4. Findings from an international network on using the web to survey the general population <i>Ms Gerry Nicolaas (NatCen Social Research)</i> <i>Ms Lisa Calderwood (Institute of Education)</i> <i>Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex)</i> <i>Dr Caroline Roberts (University of Lausanne)</i></p>			<p>4. How does interviewer control affect response rates? Findings based on field reports and aggregated paradata of German population studies <i>Mr Benjamin Gedon (University of Munich Department of Sociology)</i></p>	<p>4. You got a message from Iliit - Getting young children's perspective to daily family life via mobile diary <i>Dr Eija Sevon (University of Jyväskylä)</i> <i>Dr Anna Rönkä (JAMK University of Applied Sciences)</i> <i>Mr Timo Hintikka (JAMK University of Applied Sciences)</i></p>

Thursday 18th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 15	Room No. 21
<p>Survey research in developing countries 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Evelyn Ersanilli (University of Oxford) Coordinator 1: Dr Melissa Siegel (Maastricht Graduate School of Governance)</p>	<p>The Contribution of Paradata in Analysing Unit Nonresponse Processes and Nonresponse Bias</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Verena Halbherr (ESS, GESIS-Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Ms Johanna Bristle (SHARE, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Coordinator 2: Professor Annelies Blom (GIP, Mannheim University)</p>	<p>Psychological short scales for survey research - advantages and potential limitations</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Beatrice Rammstedt (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Constanze Beierlein (GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Multi-Level, Multi-Source Survey Designs</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Tom Smith (NORC)</p>
<p>1. Africa's Voices: Reflections on a pilot study using mobile phones and interactive radio to survey public opinions in Africa <i>Dr Claudia Abreu Lopes (University of Cambridge, Department of Politics and International Studies)</i></p>	<p>1. Using paradata and interviewer observations to gain insight in response bias. Examples from the 5th European Working Conditions Survey and 3rd European Quality of Life Survey <i>Dr Gijs Van Houten (Eurofound)</i> <i>Mr Adriaan Oostveen (Eurofound)</i></p>	<p>1. Robustness issues of a shortened scale for locus of control in the context of varying foreign language proficiency <i>Dr Jarl Kampen (Wageningen University)</i> <i>Dr Hilde Tobi (Wageningen University)</i></p>	<p>1. Construction of indicators for use in models assessing the relationship between ADL disability and socio-economic deprivation <i>Dr Georgia Casanova (National Institute for Research and Care of Elderly (INRCA), Ancona, Italy)</i> <i>Dr Roberto Lillini (Vita e Salute" San Raffaele University, Milan)</i></p>
<p>2. Experience of open collaboration research in Russia: Methodology and Analysis <i>Dr Vladimir Zvonovsky (Samara University of Economics)</i></p>	<p>2. Assessing the relative importance of current and previous interviewers on wave nonresponse in longitudinal surveys <i>Ms Rebecca Vassallo (University of Southampton)</i> <i>Professor Peter W F Smith (University of Southampton)</i> <i>Dr Gabriele B Durrant (University of Southampton)</i></p>	<p>2. Psychometrical Scale vs One Item: Comparative Study of the Results Obtained Using Different Instruments <i>Professor Gediminas Merkys (member of ESRA)</i> <i>Dr Daiva Bubeliene</i></p>	<p>2. What do we know about all sample units. Auxiliary data and nonresponse in the European Social Survey <i>Dr Ineke Stoop (SCP)</i></p>
<p>3. Comparing data from different survey modes for eight developing countries <i>Professor Kea Tijdens (University of Amsterdam)</i> <i>Dr Stephanie Steinmetz (University of Amsterdam)</i></p>	<p>3. How to leave the path of least resistance: reducing nonresponse bias through case prioritization in telephone surveys <i>Mr Jan Eric Blumenstiel (University of Mannheim)</i></p>	<p>3. A German Need for Cognition Short Scale (NFC-K) <i>Miss Hanna Beisert (German Institute for International Educational Research)</i> <i>Miss Meike Koehler (Utrecht University)</i></p>	<p>3. Asking Survey Respondents about Motivations for their Behavior: A Split Ballot Experiment from Ethiopia <i>Dr Charles Lau (RTI International)</i> <i>Gretchen Mchenry (RTI International)</i></p>
<p>4. Combining predictive modeling and operational insights for effective online and face-to-face recruitment and panel maintenance in urban and rural China <i>Mr Yu-chieh Lin (UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN)</i> <i>Ms Teressa Jin (THE NIELSEN COMPANY)</i> <i>Ms Shu Duan (THE NIELSEN COMPANY)</i> <i>Ms Jennie Lai (THE NIELSEN COMPANY)</i></p>	<p>4. Use of paradata in non-response bias adjustment: application of calibrated weights to work, family and well-being module <i>Dr Hideko Matsuo (Centre for Sociological Research - KU Leuven)</i></p>		

Thursday 18th July, 14:00 – 15:30			
Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Surveying immigrants in the absence of a sample frame 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Yana Leontiyeva (Institute of Sociology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic) Coordinator 1: Dr Agata Gorny (Centre of Migration Research, University of Warsaw) Coordinator 2: Ms Joanna Napierala (Centre of Migration Research, University of Warsaw)</p>	<p>Mixed Mode Surveys - Reports from the Field Work 2</p> <p>Chair: Mr Patrick Schmich (Robert Koch-Institute)</p>	<p>Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys 1</p> <p>Chair: Dr Ana Villar (City University London)</p>	<p>Attrition in Panel Surveys - Prevention and Correction 2</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Ulrich Krieger (MEA, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Coordinator 1: Dr Peter Lugtig (Department of Methods and Statistics, Utrecht) Coordinator 2: Dr Galit Gordon (Israel Central Bureau of Statistics)</p>
<p>1. The Immigrant Citizens Survey - Sampling without sample frames <i>Dr David Reichel (ICMPD)</i> <i>Dr Laura Morales (University of Leicester)</i></p>	<p>1. Incentive Strategies for Minorities: Results of an Experiment Using Combined Conditional and Unconditional Cash Incentives <i>Mr Patrick Fick (University of Goettingen, Germany)</i> <i>Professor Claudia Diehl (University of Goettingen, Germany)</i></p>	<p>1. Examples of cost calculation for various mixed mode approaches: Cost drivers and their effectiveness <i>Mr Stefan Klug (DemoSCOPE Research & Marketing)</i> <i>Ms Birgit Schrödle (DemoSCOPE Research & Marketing)</i></p>	<p>1. Using response propensity models to target fieldwork interventions: evidence from an experiment with interviewer incentives on the Millennium Cohort Study <i>Mr Andrew Cleary (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Ms Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Department of Quantitative Social Science, Institute of Education)</i> <i>Mr Giulio Flore (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Professor Richard Wiggins (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Department of Quantitative Social Science, Institute of Education)</i></p>
<p>2. Usage of quota sampling in Czech migration surveys <i>Dr Josef Bernard (Institute of Sociology, AS CR)</i> <i>Dr Yana Leontiyeva (Institute of Sociology, AS CR)</i></p>	<p>2. Does mixing modes lead to cost savings? <i>Mrs Mari Toomse Smith (NatCen Social Research)</i></p>	<p>2. Mixed mode solutions to coverage and nonresponse error: Evaluation of the cost-error trade-off <i>Dr Caroline Roberts (University of Lausanne)</i> <i>Dr Michèle Ernst Staehli Ernst Staehli (FORS)</i> <i>Professor Dominique Joye (University of Lausanne)</i></p>	<p>2. Measuring mode preferences: issues and possibilities <i>Dr Olena Kaminska (ISER, University of Essex)</i></p>
<p>3. Sampling a hidden population without a sampling frame: A practical application of Network Sampling with Memory <i>Mr Ted Mouw (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill)</i> <i>Mr Ashton Verdery (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill)</i> <i>Mr Sergio Chavez (Rice University)</i> <i>Mrs Heather Edelblute (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill)</i></p>	<p>3. Tales from the 2011 Italian population census. The use of a multi-mode data collection system: lessons learnt and future challenges <i>Dr Donatella Zindato (Istat)</i> <i>Dr Federico Benassi (Istat)</i> <i>Dr Raffaele Ferrara (Istat)</i> <i>Dr Giuseppe Sindoni (Istat)</i></p>	<p>3. Total Survey Error in Web and Mail Interviews Among the Elderly <i>Dr Denise Sassenroth (DIW Berlin)</i> <i>Professor Martin Kroh</i></p>	<p>3. Auxiliary Observations? The Utility of Cross-Sectional Data to Adjust Estimates in Panel Data Subject to Attrition <i>Ms Veronica Roth (Penn State University)</i> <i>Professor David Johnson (Penn State University)</i></p>
<p>4. Sampling Recently Arrived Immigrants in the UK: Exploring the effectiveness of Respondent Driven Sampling <i>Dr Renee Luthra (ISER)</i> <i>Mr Andrew Cleary (Ipsos Mori)</i> <i>Mr Tom Frere-smith (Ipsos Mori)</i></p>	<p>4. A study design for a mixed-mode health survey - field experiences from a methodological study <i>Ralph Schilling ()</i> <i>Jennifer Allen</i> <i>Patrick Schmich</i> <i>Cornelia Lange</i></p>	<p>4. Web questionnaire in a mixed-mode design - results from a methodological study within the German Health Update (GEDA) <i>Mrs Jennifer Allen (Robert Koch Institute)</i> <i>Mrs Franziska Jentsch (Robert Koch Institute)</i> <i>Mr Max Prohl (Robert Koch Institute)</i> <i>Mrs Cornelia Lange (Robert Koch Institute)</i></p>	<p>4. Strategies for sustaining panel participation: Lessons from the Longitudinal Study of American Youth <i>Dr Jon Miller (University of Michigan, USA)</i></p>

Thursday 18th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Web data collection for probability-based general population surveys 2</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex) Coordinator 1: Ms Lisa Calderwood (Institute of Education, University of London) Coordinator 2: Ms Gerry Nicolaas (NatCen Social Research)</p>	<p>Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ana Villar (City University London) Coordinator 1: Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex)</p>	<p>Multilevel analysis in comparative research</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Elmar Schlueter (University of Cologne) Coordinator 1: Professor Bart Meuleman (University of Leuven)</p>	<p>The use of respondent incentives in face-to-face surveys: Effects on response rates, survey error and survey costs</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Klaus Pffor (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Mr Ulrich Krieger (MEA, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Coordinator 2: Mr Michael Blohm (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>
<p>1. How well do volunteer web panels measure sensitive behaviours in the general population, and can they be improved? A comparison with the third British National Survey of Sexual Attitudes & Lifestyles (Natsal3). <i>Mr Bob Erens (UCL & LSHTM)</i> <i>Sarah Burkill (UCL)</i> <i>Andrew Copas (UCL)</i> <i>Mick Couper (University of Michigan)</i></p>	<p>1. An Experimental Evaluation of How Mode Sequence for offering Internet, Mail and Telephone Options Affects Responses to a National Survey of College Graduates <i>Mr John Finamore (National Science Foundation)</i> <i>Dr Don Dillman (Washington State University)</i></p>	<p>1. Uncovering Societal and Individual Determinants of Power- and Achievement-Values. An Application of Multilevel Confirmatory Factor Analysis with Covariates <i>Mr Dennis Koethemann (Osnabrueck University)</i></p>	<p>1. Respondent incentives as one measure to increase response rates: The example of PIAAC in Germany <i>Mrs Silke Martin (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Mannheim (Germany))</i> <i>Mrs Susanne Helmschrott (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Mannheim (Germany))</i></p>
<p>2. The use of an internet panel to monitor sexual and reproductive health (SRH) in the general population : replication of the telephone random survey FECOND on an online volunteers panel. <i>Miss Géraldine Charrance (Ined)</i> <i>Dr Stéphane Legleye (Ined)</i></p>	<p>2. Does Internet Use Improve Surveys?: Studies of Costs, Response Rates and Coverage. <i>Dr Virginia Lesser (Oregon State University)</i> <i>Ms Lydia Newton (Oregon State University)</i> <i>Dr Daniel Yang (Bureau of Labor Statistics)</i></p>	<p>2. Influential Cases in Multilevel Modelling <i>Mr Alexander Schmidt (GK SOCLIFE - University of Cologne)</i> <i>Mrs Katja Möhring (GK SOCLIFE - University of Cologne)</i></p>	<p>2. Optimizing incentives for Face to Face surveys in Switzerland <i>Mrs Michele Ernst Staehli (FORS, Université de Lausanne)</i> <i>Mr Dominique Joye (Université de Lausanne)</i> <i>Mr Dorian Kessler (FORS, Université de Lausanne)</i></p>
<p>3. Nonresponse in Probability Web Surveys. A Validation Study <i>Ms Antje Kirchner (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i> <i>Miss Barbara Felderer (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i></p>	<p>3. Mixed-mode and the European Social Survey (ESS): evidence from the UK <i>Ms Alison Park (NatCen Social Research)</i> <i>Mr Alun Humphrey (NatCen Social Research)</i> <i>Ms Maya Agur (NatCen Social Research)</i></p>	<p>3. Radical Right-Wing Populist Preferences - Disentangling Person-level and Contextual Effects of Anti-Immigrant Sentiments <i>Mr Carl Berning (University of Cologne)</i></p>	<p>3. Effects of incentives on response at subsequent panel waves - statistical matching evidence from the NEPS adult survey <i>Dr Corinna Kleinert (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i> <i>Miss Barbara Erdel (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i></p>
<p>4. Web surveys and official statistics: the case of the Italian Economic Censuses <i>Mr Alessandro Valentini (Istat)</i> <i>Mr Luca Faustini (Istat)</i> <i>Mrs Alessandra Rodolfi (Istat)</i> <i>Mrs Sabina Giampaolo (Istat)</i></p>	<p>4. Survey errors and costs - comparison of mixed-mode and face - to face ESS surveys in Estonia <i>Dr Mare Ainsaar (Tartu University)</i> <i>Kaur Lumiste</i> <i>Laur Lilleoja</i> <i>Ave Roots</i></p>	<p>4. Sexual Prejudice towards homosexuals in Context: Direct and Cross-level Interaction Effects of State Policies <i>Ms Anabel Kuntz (University of Cologne)</i></p>	<p>4. Influences of Incentives on Response Rates and Sample Selection - Evidence from the SOEP <i>Professor Juergen Schupp (SOEP/DIW-Berlin)</i> <i>Professor Martin Kroh (DIW BERLIN)</i> <i>Dr Denise Sassenroth (DIW Berlin)</i></p>
<p>5. Backstage of Population Census in Italy: fieldwork and paradata to manage data quality collection. The role of Istat in Tuscany <i>Ms Linda Porciani (Istat)</i> <i>Ms Bianca Maria Martelli (Istat)</i> <i>Mr Alessandro Valentini (Istat)</i></p>	<p>5. Assessing data quality with time measurements of responses in the Estonian mixed-mode survey experiment <i>Mr Kaur Lumiste (University of Tartu)</i></p>	<p>5. School effectiveness in cross-national perspective: how do educational systems structure the production of ethnic inequalities? <i>Mr Viktor Emonds (KU Leuven)</i></p>	<p>5. Incentive experiments in the recruitment of a probability based online panel - Experiences from the German Internet Panel <i>Professor Annelies Blom (Mannheim University)</i> <i>Mr Ulrich Krieger (Mannheim University)</i></p>

Thursday 18th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 21	Room No. 14
<p>Envisioning the "survey" of the future: the role of smartphones and other technologies in advancing the practice of survey research 2</p> <p>Convenor: Miss Femke De Keulenaer (Gallup Europe) Coordinator 1: Mr Robert Manchin (Gallup Europe) Coordinator 2: Mr Henk Fernee (The Netherlands Institute for Social Research/SCP)</p>	<p>Survey research in developing countries 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Evelyn Ersanilli (University of Oxford) Coordinator 1: Dr Melissa Siegel (Maastricht Graduate School of Governance)</p>	<p>Hierarchical data, what to do? Comparing multi-level modelling, cluster-robust standard errors, and two-step approaches</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Merlin Schaeffer (Social Science Research Center Berlin) Coordinator 1: Professor Johannes Giesecke (University of Bamberg) Coordinator 2: Mr Jan Paul Heisig (Social Science Research Center Berlin)</p>	<p>Latent variable modeling of survey (measurement) errors and multiple groups</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Joop Hox (Utrecht University) Coordinator 1: Professor Bengt Muthén (University of California, Los Angeles)</p>
<p>1. The challenges and lessons learned from the design of a mobile online questionnaire <i>Mr Arnaud Wijnant (CentERdata/Tilburg University)</i> <i>Ms Marika De Bruijne (CentERdata/Tilburg University)</i></p>	<p>1. The Use of Random Geographic Cluster Sampling to Survey Pastoralists <i>Ms Kristen Himelein (World Bank)</i> <i>Dr Stephanie Eckman (Institute for Employment Research)</i> <i>Ms Siobhan Murray (World Bank)</i></p>	<p>1. Advantages, pitfalls and trade-offs: Comparing random intercept and slope models, cluster-robust standard errors, and two-step approaches with Monte-Carlo simulations <i>Mr Jan Paul Heisig (Social Science Research Center Berlin)</i> <i>Professor Johannes Giesecke (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Dr Merlin Schaeffer (Social Science Research Center Berlin)</i></p>	<p>1. Categorical Multiple-Group CFA as a Diagnostic Tool for Mode Effects on Random and Systematic Measurement Error <i>Mr Thomas Klausch (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Professor Joop Hox (Utrecht University)</i> <i>Dr Barry Schouten (Statistics Netherlands / Utrecht University)</i></p>
<p>2. New roles of smartphones and other technologies in face-to-face interviewing <i>Dr Femke De Keulenaer (Gallup Europe)</i> <i>Mr Robert Manchin (Gallup Europe)</i> <i>Mr Gergely Hideg (Gallup Hungary)</i></p>	<p>2. The Practicalities and Innovative Techniques of Conducting Surveys in Informal Contexts: Lessons from South Africa. <i>Mr Tesfalem Araia (University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, African Centre for Migration and Society)</i></p>	<p>2. Estimating the change of a higher level variable when lower level units change clusters <i>Mr Andreas Haupt (Karlsruhe Institute of Technology)</i></p>	<p>2. Evaluating partial measurement invariance by examining its consequences for conclusions of interest <i>Dr Daniel Oberski (Tilburg University)</i></p>
<p>3. The doctor is in (...the web): a proposal for ICT patient-doctor communication <i>Professor Maria Francesca Romano (Scuola Superiore Sant Anna)</i> <i>Dr Maria Vittoria Sardella (Scuola Superiore Sant Anna)</i> <i>Dr Fabrizio Alboni (Scuola Superiore Sant Anna)</i></p>	<p>3. Applying the Total Survey Error Approach to Post-Disaster Surveys in Haiti <i>Professor Thomas Craemer (DPP, University of Connecticut)</i> <i>Professor Jennifer Necci Dineen (DPP, University of Connecticut)</i></p>	<p>3. Balanced repeated replication or multi-level modeling: Some evidence from the fifth and ninth grader sample of the German National Educational Panel Study <i>Dr Sabine Zinn (University Bamberg, National Educational Panel Study)</i></p>	
<p>4. Predicting the likelihood of contact in a mobile phone survey <i>Dr Paula Vicente (Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), Business Research Unit (BRU-IUL))</i> <i>Dr Catarina Marques (Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), Business Research Unit (BRU-IUL))</i></p>			
<p>5. Response Rate and Nonresponse in a Web Surveys When Using Text Message Invitations: Result of an Experiment with Traditional E-mail Invitations <i>Mr Matthias Emde (Darmstadt University of Technology)</i> <i>Professor Marek Fuchs (Darmstadt University of Technology)</i></p>			

Thursday 18th July, 16:00 – 17:30			
Room No. 15	Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Hierarchical data analysis with few upper level units: Solutions and applications beyond multi-level modeling</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Celine Teney (Social Science Research Center Berlin (WZB)) Coordinator 1: Mr Heiko Giebler (Social Science Research Center Berlin (WZB)) Coordinator 2: Dr Onawa Promise Lacewell (Social Science Research Center Berlin (WZB))</p>	<p>Using Paradata to Improve Survey Data Quality</p> <p>Chair: Miss Anna Isenhardt (University of Fribourg)</p>	<p>Survey effects in secondary analysis of pooled data</p> <p>Convenor: Mr Cristiano Vezzoni (University of Trento) Coordinator 1: Mr Ferruccio Biolcati-rinaldi (University of Milan)</p>	<p>Longitudinal surveys - Field logistics in panel studies</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Jutta Von Maurice (NEPS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Joanne Corey (Australian Bureau of Statistics)</p>
<p>1. Randomization Tests and Inference with Grouped Data <i>Dr Kelly Rader (Yale University)</i></p>	<p>1. Improving Operational Efficiencies and Survey Management of the American Community Survey in the United States <i>Ms Deborah Griffin (US Census Bureau)</i></p>	<p>1. Environmental Concern in Europe. Proposing Measurement Instruments and Comparing Results Using ISSP and WVVS data. <i>Dr Enzo Loner (Università di Trento)</i></p>	<p>1. Managing and monitoring fieldwork in a decentralized European survey infrastructure <i>Dr Frederic Malter (Max Planck Institute)</i> <i>Mr Gregor Sand (Max Planck Institute)</i></p>
<p>2. Bias in multilevel modeling with small level-two sample size: a simulation study <i>Mr Julien Danhier (ULB - GERME)</i> <i>Miss Morgane Giladi (ULB - GERME)</i> <i>Mr Yoann Veny (ULB - GERME)</i></p>	<p>2. Obtaining information on non-responders: a development of the basic question approach for surveys of individuals <i>Dr Patten Smith (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Mr Richard Harry (Sport Wales)</i> <i>Mr Colin Gardiner (Ipsos MORI)</i></p>	<p>2. Choosing and harmonizing data from different sources and different levels of analysis <i>Dr Alexia Katsanidou (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Dr Zoe Lefkofridi (University of Vienna)</i></p>	<p>2. Ways Into and out of School: Following Participants in the National Educational Panel Study in Changing Environments <i>Ms Ina-sophie Ristau (National Educational Panel Study)</i> <i>Dr Jutta Von Maurice (National Educational Panel Study)</i></p>
<p>3. Small Area Estimation of prevalences in Germany using nationally representative health surveys <i>Dr Lars Kroll (RKI)</i> <i>Dr Thomas Lampert (RKI)</i></p>	<p>3. Other Specified Coding and Interviewer Performance <i>Ms Tricia Mccarthy (NORC at the University of Chicago)</i></p>	<p>3. Establishing Invariance of Political Trust in the Global Barometer Surveys. A Multigroup Confirmatory Factor Analysis <i>Ms Wiebke Breustedt (University of Duisburg-Essen)</i></p>	<p>3. Panel field logistics getting it on-quality and on-time - NIDS, a South African Case Study <i>Mr Michael Brown (University Of Cape Town)</i></p>
	<p>4. Analysing nonresponse in a questionnaire survey of Swiss correctional staff <i>Miss Isenhardt Anna (University of Fribourg, Switzerland)</i></p>		<p>4. Illuminating the hidden foundations of successful panel survey management: the logistical principles and policies of the German Socio-economic Panel Study <i>Dr Nico A. Siegel (TNS Infratest Sozialforschung)</i> <i>Professor Jürgen Schupp (Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung)</i></p>

Friday 19th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Big hall	Room No. 1	Room No. 7	Room No. 20
<p>Web data collection for probability-based general population surveys 3</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex) Coordinator 1: Ms Lisa Calderwood (Institute of Education, University of London) Coordinator 2: Ms Gerry Nicolaas (NatCen Social Research)</p>	<p>Is it worth mixing modes? New evidence on costs and survey error on mixed-modes surveys 3</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Ana Villar (City University London) Coordinator 1: Professor Peter Lynn (University of Essex)</p>	<p>Measuring trust and quality of society in cross national surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Tadas Leoncikas (Eurofound) Coordinator 1: Dr Ellen Claes (K.U.Leuven)</p>	<p>Effect of nonresponse on results of statistical models 1</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Christof Wolf (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Professor Dominique Joye (University Lausanne/FORS)</p>
<p>1. General population surveys on the web: new findings from the UK <i>Mr Joel Williams (TNS BMRB)</i></p>	<p>1. On the Relative Advantage of Mixed-Mode Surveys <i>Mr Jorre Vannieuwenhuyze (KU Leuven)</i></p>	<p>1. Subjective indicators of societal functioning - towards a multidimensional measurement <i>Dr Wolfgang Aschauer (University of Salzburg)</i></p>	<p>1. On the Robustness of the Balance Statistics with respect to Nonresponse <i>Mr Christian Seiler (Ifo Institute)</i></p>
<p>2. Mode and incentive effects in an individual register frame based Swiss election study <i>Dr Oliver Lipps (FORS, Switzerland)</i></p>	<p>2. Costs, timeliness, response and measurement errors: a review of mixed mode data collection in official population surveys <i>Mrs Annemieke Luiten (Statistics Netherlands)</i></p>	<p>2. Life in Transition: Trust in institutions and society before and after the crisis <i>Miss Ruth Lightfoot (Ipsos MORI)</i> <i>Dr Douglas Dalziel (Ipsos MORI)</i></p>	<p>2. Investigating the impact of attrition bias on the relation between variables <i>Dr Marieke Voorpostel (FORS)</i> <i>Ms Martina Rothenbühler (FORS)</i> <i>Dr Dr Caroline Vandenplas (University of Lausanne)</i></p>
<p>3. Going online with a face-to-face household panel: results from an experiment on the UK Household Longitudinal Study Innovation Panel <i>Dr Annette Jäckle (ISER, University of Essex)</i> <i>Professor Peter Lynn (ISER, University of Essex)</i> <i>Dr Jon Burton (ISER, University of Essex)</i></p>	<p>3. Case study Consumer Survey 2012: Does mixed-mode improve response rates and quality of data? <i>Mrs Tara Junes (Statistics Finland)</i> <i>Mr Matti Simpanen (Statistics Finland)</i></p>	<p>3. Can we trust trust measures? A multiple group confirmatory factor analysis to detect cross-time invariance of political trust. <i>Miss Aurélie Smets (University of Leuven)</i> <i>Professor Marc Hooghe (University of Leuven)</i> <i>Dr Ellen Quintelier (University of Leuven)</i></p>	<p>3. Item Non-Response and the Propensity-to-Vote Questions <i>Dr David Johann (University of Vienna)</i> <i>Professor Sylvia Kritzing (University of Vienna)</i> <i>Dr Eva Zeglovits (University of Vienna)</i></p>
	<p>4. Mixed-mode surveys- a comparison of sequential and parallel designs <i>Dr Elena Von Der Lippe (Robert Koch Institute)</i> <i>Mr Jens Hoebel (Robert Koch Institute)</i> <i>Mr Stephan Mueters (Robert Koch Institute)</i> <i>Dr Cornelia Lange (Robert Koch Institute)</i></p>	<p>4. Cross-national differences and trends in "quality of society" and "quality of life in society" in Europe: exploratory evidence from the Gallup World Poll <i>Dr Femke De Keulenaer (Gallup Europe)</i> <i>Mr Robert Manchin (Gallup Europe)</i></p>	
		<p>5. The effects of quality of society on a two-dimensional conception of trust <i>Miss Daniela Braun (University of Munich)</i></p>	

Friday 19th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Room No. 12	Room No. 13	Room No. 14	Room No. 21
<p>Explanatory and independent variables in social surveys across countries 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Uwe Warner (CEPS/INSTEAD) Coordinator 1: Professor Juergen H.p. Hoffmeyer-zlotnik (University Giessen)</p>	<p>Survey research in developing countries 3</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Evelyn Ersanilli (University of Oxford) Coordinator 1: Dr Melissa Siegel (Maastricht Graduate School of Governance)</p>	<p>Explaining Interviewer Effects in Interviewer-Mediated Surveys 1</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Annelies Blom (University of Mannheim) Coordinator 1: Ms Julie Korbmacher (Munich Center for the Economics of Aging (MEA), Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy)</p>	<p>Public Attitudes Towards Science and Technology 1</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Nick Allum (University of Essex)</p>
<p>1. Concepts, constructs, indicators and items for explanatory variables in comparative social surveys Professor Peter Ph Mhler (Mannheim University & COMPASS)</p>	<p>1. Visual approaches for conducting research with respondents with low literacy in developing countries Miss Leila Tavakoli (Ipsos MORI) Miss Kate Duxbury (Ipsos MORI) Miss Emily Gray (Ipsos MORI) Ms Ana Wheelock (Imperial College London)</p>	<p>1. Interviewer Effects on a Network Size Filter Question Professor Mark Trappmann (Institute for Employment Research (IAB)) Michael Josten</p>	<p>1. Is Intensified science communication re-enchanting science in public? Professor Martin Bauer (Ise)</p>
<p>2. Quality Assessment of ISSP BV Dr Evi Scholz (GESIS) Mrs Regina Jutz (GESIS)</p>	<p>2. Attitude questions and social desirability bias: Experience from perception surveys in a developing country Mrs Syeda Salina Aziz (Institute of Governance Studies, BRAC University)</p>	<p>2. Interviewer Behavior and Survey Data Quality: The Case of Social Network Data Professor Josef Bruederl (University of Munich, Department of Sociology) Mrs Bernadette Huyer-may (University of Munich, Department of Sociology) Dr Claudia Schmiedeberg (University of Munich, Department of Sociology)</p>	<p>2. The influence of core political values on attitudes towards contentious science Dr Nick Allum (University of Essex) Professor Patrick Sturgis (University of Southampton) Miss Rebekah Luff (University of Southampton)</p>
<p>3. Socio-economic variables from EU-SILC - cross-country comparisons Dr Jolanta Perek-bialas (Warsaw School of Economics/Jagiellonian University)</p>	<p>3. ACASI Implementation across Languages and Cultures Ms Nicole Kirgis (University of Michigan) Dr Zeina Mneimneh (University of Michigan) Mr Yu-chieh (Jay) Lin (University of Michigan)</p>	<p>3. Interviewers' influence on consent to the collection of biomarker Ms Julie Korbmacher (Max Planck Institute For Social Law and Social Policy, Munich)</p>	<p>3. Scientific literacy, attitude to science and paranormal belief Dr Yuh-yuh Li (National Sun Yat-Sen University) Professor Tai-chu Huang (National Sun Yat-Sen University)</p>
<p>4. Cumulative frequency analysis of scale points for categorical variables: A new technique to assess media and event effects in surveys with long fieldwork periods Mr Kaur Lumiste (University of Tartu)</p>	<p>4. Conducting Surveys in Developing Countries: What We Know and What We Still Have to Learn Ms Jennifer Kelley (University of Michigan) Ms Gina-qian Cheung (University of Michigan) Ms Beth-ellen Pennell (University of Michigan)</p>	<p>4. Cognitive and Affective Sources of Interviewer Effects in Face-To-Face Surveys Professor Martin Kroh (DIW Berlin) Dr Denise Sassenroth (DIW Berlin)</p>	

Friday 19th July, 09:00 – 10:30			
Room No. 15	Room No. 16	Room No. 17	Room No. 22
<p>Linking Survey and Administrative Records: Processes and selectivities of consent 1</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Julie Korbmacher (Max Planck Institute For Social Law and Social Policy, Munich) Coordinator 1: Dr Joseph Sakshaug (Institute for Employment Research, Nuremberg and Department of Statistics, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich) Coordinator 2: Dr Gundi Knies (ISER, University of Essex)</p>	<p>Continuous Time Modeling in Panel Research (N large) and Time-Series Analysis (N = 1 or small) 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Johan Oud (Radboud University Nijmegen) Coordinator 1: Dr Manuel Voelkle (Max Planck Institute for Human Development Berlin)</p>	<p>Fieldwork in Interview Surveys - Professional Guidelines and Field Observations</p> <p>Chair: Professor Ivan Rimac (University of Zagreb)</p>	<p>Longitudinal surveys - Tracking in panel studies</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Jutta Von Maurice (NEPS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Joanne Corey (Australian Bureau of Statistics)</p>
<p>1. Please Sign Here: Asking for Consent in Self-Administered Surveys Mrs Bettina Lamla (Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy) Dr Michela Coppola (Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy)</p>	<p>1. Fitting Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equation Models with Random Effects Using the Stochastic Approximation Expectation-Maximization (SAEM) Algorithm Dr Sy-miin Chow (Pennsylvania State University) Dr Zhaohua Lu (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) Dr Andrew Sherwood (Duke University) Dr Hongtu Zhu (University of North Carolina)</p>	<p>1. Sociological Approach to the Cognitive Survey Methodology on the Example of Public Opinion Research Dr Katarzyna M. Staszynska (Kozminski University)</p>	<p>1. Individual Retracking in the School Cohorts of the National Educational Panel Study Dr Michaela Sixt (NEPS University of Bamberg) Mr Martin Goy (NEPS Technical University of Dortmund) Mr Georg Besuch (IEA DPC)</p>
<p>2. Administrative data linkage on the English Longitudinal Survey of Ageing (ELSA) Mr Andrew Phelps (NatCen Social Research)</p>	<p>2. Model based monitoring in continuous time Dr Johan H.I. Oud (Behavioural Science Institute, Radboud University Nijmegen)</p>	<p>2. Contact's strategies: is there only one way that lead to interview? Mr Alexandre Pollien (FORS)</p>	<p>2. Using administrative data for tracking in longitudinal surveys: evidence from the UK Millennium Cohort Study and the 1970 British Cohort Study Ms Lisa Calderwood (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education) Mr Matt Brown (Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education) Mrs Angela Thompson (Ipsos MORI) Ms Hannah Carpenter (TNS BMRB)</p>
<p>3. CONSENT TO HEALTH RECORD LINKAGE ON LONGITUDINAL STUDIES: COMMONALITIES AND DIFFERENCES ACCROSS UK COHORT AND HOUSEHOLD PANEL STUDIES Dr Gundi Knies (ISER University of Essex) Dr Jonathan Burton (ISER University of Essex)</p>	<p>3. Psychometric analysis of psychological and educational test data in continuous time Dr Efi Fitriana (Padjadjaran University Indonesia) Dr Han Oud (Radboud University Nijmegen)</p>	<p>3. Multi-level Analysis of Survey Data Falsification Dr Ivan Rimac (University of Zagreb) Miss Jelena Ogresta (University of Zagreb)</p>	<p>3. How to deal with non-contacts in panel studies - Efforts and success of tracking in PASS Panel Study Ms Birgit Jesske (infas)</p>
<p>4. Determinants of Consent in the German SOEP Establishment Survey 2012 Mr Michael Weinhardt (SOEP, DIW Berlin) Ms Alexia Meyermann (Bielefeld University) Professor Stefan Liebig (Bielefeld University) Professor Juergen Schupp (SOEP, DIW Berlin)</p>	<p>4. On the relationship between latent change score and continuous time models Dr Manuel Voelkle (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)</p>		<p>4. The effectiveness of asking panel members to update their contact details using a web form for an in-between wave of The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children. Ms Joanne Corey (Australian Bureau of Statistics) Ms Jennifer Gallagher (Australian Bureau of Statistics)</p>


Friday 19th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Big hall	Room No. 20	Room No. 12	Room No. 13
<p>The Trouble with Logit and Probit: Teaching and Presenting Nonlinear Probability Models</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Henning Best (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) Coordinator 1: Dr Klaus Pforr (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>Effect of nonresponse on results of statistical models 2</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Christof Wolf (GESIS) Coordinator 1: Professor Dominique Joye (University Lausanne/FORS)</p>	<p>Explanatory and independent variables in social surveys across countries 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Uwe Warner (CEPS/INSTEAD) Coordinator 1: Professor Juergen H.p. Hoffmeyer-zlotnik (University Giessen)</p>	<p>Survey research in developing countries 4</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Evelyn Ersanilli (University of Oxford) Coordinator 1: Dr Melissa Siegel (Maastricht Graduate School of Governance)</p>
<p>1. Comparing Logit and Probit Coefficients Across Groups: Problems, Solutions, & Problems with the Solutions Professor Richard Williams (Sociology, University of Notre Dame)</p>	<p>1. Transformation of skewed variables with missing values in a multivariate setting Mr Tobias Enderle (University of Trier) Mr Ralf Muennich (University of Trier)</p>	<p>1. Cross-Survey Comparability of the ESS, ISSP, and EVS Dr Juergen H.p. Hoffmeyer-zlotnik (University of Giessen) Dr Uwe Warner (CEPS/INSTEAD)</p>	<p>1. Surveying security, justice and small arms in post-conflict settings: value for money? Dr Anna Alvazzi Del Frate (Small Arms Survey)</p>
<p>2. Correlations and Non-Linear Probability Models Professor Anders Holm (University of Copenhagen) Breen Richard (Yale University) Kristian Bernt Karlson (Aarhus University)</p>	<p>2. Coverage and nonresponse errors in an individual register frame based Swiss telephone election study Dr Oliver Lipps (FORS, Switzerland) Mr Nicolas Pekari (FORS, Switzerland)</p>	<p>2. Assessing cross-national validity of the Global Activity Limitation Indicator (GALI) Mr Nicolas Berger (Belgian Institute of Public Health - WIV-ISP) Professor Herman Van Oyen (of Public Health - WIV-ISP)</p>	<p>2. Conducting research in conflict zones: the challenge of fieldwork Miss Ruth Lightfoot (Ipsos MORI) Mr Andrew Johnson (Director at Ipsos MORI)</p>
<p>3. Comparing logit coefficients between nested models: results from a monte carlo simulation. Dr Henning Best (GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>3. Survey nonresponse adjustments for estimates of CFA parameter Dr Liang-ting Tsai (Cognitive NeuroMetrics Laboratory Graduate School of Educational Measurement & Statistics National Taichung University, Taiwan) Professor Chih-chien Yang (Cognitive NeuroMetrics Laboratory Graduate School of Educational Measurement & Statistics National Taichung University, Taiwan)</p>	<p>3. Patterns of first contact in a panel survey: how consistent are they? Dr Jana Brix (TNS Infratest)</p>	<p>3. Our eyes and ears have minds as well. The sense surveyors make of the field Mr Peter Tamas (Wageningen University) Mr Khogyani Tamim (CAPU Afghanistan)</p>
<p>4. How to interpret the logistic regression with fixed effects Mr Klaus Pforr (GESIS -- Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences)</p>	<p>4. Estimation of impact of nonresponse on the sample representativeness by a simple structural equation Professor Andrei Veikher (National Research University Higher School of Economics)</p>	<p>4. Life-phases in country-comparisons: Cross-sectional variables for a longitudinal phenomenon? Dr Kathrin Komp (Department of Sociology, Umea University)</p>	<p>4. Cultural Aspects of Survey Research Method in India: Technological Ease and Social Barriers Ms Jagriti Tanwar (Goettingen University, Germany) Dr Rajbeer Singh (Delft University of Technology, Netherlands)</p>
<p>5. The Problem of Rare Events in Maximum Likelihood Logistic Regression - Assessing Potential Remedies Mr Heinz Leitgöb (University of Linz, Austria)</p>			

Friday 19th July, 11:00 – 12:30			
Room No. 14	Room No. 15	Room No. 21	Room No. 16
<p>Explaining Interviewer Effects in Interviewer-Mediated Surveys 2</p> <p>Convenor: Professor Annelies Blom (University of Mannheim) Coordinator 1: Ms Julie Korbmacher (Munich Center for the Economics of Aging (MEA), Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy)</p>	<p>Linking Survey and Administrative Records: Processes and selectivities of consent 2</p> <p>Convenor: Ms Julie Korbmacher (Max Planck Institute For Social Law and Social Policy, Munich) Coordinator 1: Dr Joseph Sakshaug (Institute for Employment Research, Nuremberg and Department of Statistics, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich) Coordinator 2: Dr Gundi Knies (ISER, University of Essex)</p>	<p>Public Attitudes Towards Science and Technology 2</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Nick Allum (University of Essex)</p>	<p>Data Collection and Analysis - Other</p> <p>Chair: Ms Margarida Albuquerque (ESADE)</p>
<p>1. Interviewer Characteristics and Response Behavior: Do Characteristics of Interviewers Affect Responses on Questions that are Sensitive with Respect to Data Privacy? <i>Dr Frank Reichert (National Educational Panel Study, University of Bamberg)</i></p>	<p>1. Enhancing the current knowledge on linking survey data to administrative records. Evidence from the Innovation Panel of the UK Household Longitudinal Study <i>Dr Emanuela Sala (Dipartimento di Sociologia e Ricerca Sociale, Università)</i> <i>Dr Jonathan Burton (ISER, University of Essex)</i> <i>Dr Gundi Knies (ISER, University of Essex)</i></p>	<p>1. Public Attitudes towards Global Environmental Change across Time and Countries <i>Dr Tom W. Smith (NORC)</i></p>	<p>1. The influence of respondent incentives on item nonresponse and measurement error in a web survey <i>Mr Barbara Felderer (Institute for Employment Research)</i> <i>Professor Frauke Kreuter (Institute for Employment Research)</i> <i>Professor Joachim Winter (University of Munich)</i></p>
<p>2. Interviewer and Incentive Effects in Recruitment Interviews for a Probability-based Online Panel <i>Ms Ines Schaurer (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Ms Bella Struminskaya (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Mr Lars Kaczmirek (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i> <i>Mr Wolfgang Bandilla (GESIS - Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences)</i></p>	<p>2. To Link or to Match? Comparing two Methods of Combining Survey Data with Administrative Records <i>Dr Mathis Schroeder (DIW Berlin)</i> <i>Dr Anika Rasner (DIW Berlin)</i></p>	<p>2. Comparing local level attitudes towards science and technology (S&T) to National and European data: Tracking the effects of Living-Lab <i>Professor Ahmet Suerdem (istanbul bilgi university)</i> <i>Mr Murat Unanoglu (istanbul bilgi university)</i></p>	<p>2. Regional price observatory <i>Miss Francesca Paradisi (Istat)</i> <i>Miss Cristina Carbonari (Istat)</i> <i>Miss Bianca Maria Martelli (Istat)</i></p>
	<p>3. Interviewer-Level Influences of Data Linkage Consent in the Panel Study "Labour Market and Social Security" <i>Mr Joe Sakshaug (Institute for Employment Research)</i></p>	<p>3. Assessing the measurement of science literacy in the Wellcome Trust Monitor Surveys <i>Ms Andreea Moldovan (University of Essex)</i></p>	<p>3. The emotionally competent highway from IQ to performance: Testing an interaction effect model. <i>Ms Margarida Albuquerque (ESADE, Universitat Ramon Llull (Spain))</i> <i>Dr Joan Manuel Batista-foguet (ESADE, Universitat Ramon Llull (Spain))</i> <i>Professor Ricard Serlavos (ESADE, Universitat Ramon Llull (Spain))</i> <i>Dr Richard Boyatzis (Case Western Reserve University (United States))</i></p>
	<p>4. Selectivity of consent from a longitudinal point of view <i>Dr Britta Matthes (Institute for Employment Research (IAB))</i></p>		

Friday 19th July, 11:00 – 12:30		
Room No. 17	Room No. 18	Room No. 22
<p>Innovations in Measurement Instrument Construction for Web-based Surveys</p> <p>Chair: Mr Ozan Kuru (University of Michigan)</p>	<p>Participation rates and recruitment methods for health examination surveys</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Hanna Tolonen (National Institute for Health and Welfare)</p>	<p>Longitudinal surveys - Special challenges and innovative solutions in panel studies</p> <p>Convenor: Dr Jutta Von Maurice (NEPS) Coordinator 1: Mrs Joanne Corey (Australian Bureau of Statistics)</p>
<p>1. What type of questions cause an interruption of a self administered web-survey <i>Dr Mare Ainsaar (senior research fellow)</i> <i>Mr Laur Lilleoja (research fellow)</i></p>	<p>1. Comparison of participation rates in health examination surveys in five European countries <i>Dr Jennifer Mindell (UCL (University College London), England)</i> <i>Dr Simona Giampaoli (ISS, Italy)</i> <i>Dr Monique Verschuren (RIVM, Netherlands)</i> <i>Ms Karen Morgan (royal College of Surgeons in Ireland)</i></p>	<p>1. Determinants of Passive Non-Response in Longitudinal Studies and its Relevance for Survey Economics <i>Mr Christoph Homuth (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Dr Monja Schmitt (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Mr Daniel Mann (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Dr Christian Lorenz (University of Bamberg)</i></p>
<p>2. Measuring Facebook Activity through Surveys <i>Mr Ozan Kuru (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Professor Joshua Pasek (University of Michigan)</i></p>	<p>2. Continued and On-Time Participation in a Weekly Online Survey <i>Professor Jennifer Barber (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Dr Yasamin Kusunoki (University of Michigan)</i> <i>Ms Heather Gatny (University of Michigan)</i></p>	<p>2. Measurement error in a mobile phone survey panel. <i>Mr Yamil Nares (Essex University)</i> <i>Mr Rene Bautista (NORC at the University of Chicago)</i></p>
	<p>3. Variation in health survey participation by time of day and day of week of fieldwork <i>Dr Jennifer Mindell (UCL (University College London), England)</i> <i>Ms Maria Aresu (Imperial College London, England)</i> <i>Dr Laia Becares (University of Manchester, England)</i> <i>Dr Hanna Tolonen (KTL, Finland)</i></p>	<p>3. E-Appointments in empirical field research <i>Dr Christian Lorenz (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Mr Christoph Homuth (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Mrs Claudia Karwath (University of Bamberg)</i> <i>Mrs Hanna Ackermann (University of Bamberg)</i></p>
	<p>4. EHES Pilot survey in Finland - feedback from survey participants on examination time and invitation process <i>Dr Hanna Tolonen (National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland)</i> <i>Ms Anna Aistrich (National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland)</i> <i>Dr Katja Borodulin (National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland)</i> <i>Dr Satu Mannisto (National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland)</i></p>	<p>4. Modular Online Time Use Survey (MOTUS): Modularity Holds All The Trumps <i>Mr Theun Pieter Van Tienoven (Free University of Brussels (VUB))</i> <i>Mr Ignace Glorieux (Free University of Brussels (VUB))</i> <i>Mr Joeri Minnen (Free University of Brussels (VUB))</i> <i>Mr Djiwo Weenas (Free University of Brussels (VUB))</i></p>
	<p>5. Can we do everything at the same time? Combining a health examination research survey with population health questionnaires and preventive work at primary health care centres. <i>Dr Marika Wenemark (Linköping University)</i></p>	



Explore. Understand. Share.



FORS is a national centre of expertise in the social sciences. Its primary activities consist of:


production of survey data, including national and international surveys

dissemination of data for use in secondary analysis

research in empirical social sciences, with focus on survey methodology

consulting services for researchers in Switzerland and abroad

FORS is supported by



FORS

c/o Université de Lausanne
Bâtiment Vidy
CH-1015 Lausanne
Tél. +41 (0) 21 692 37 30
Fax +41 (0) 21 692 37 35

www.fors.unil.ch

Are you

- searching for data, relevant literature and information for your research topic?
- planning a survey?
- conducting a survey?
- requiring specific methods to analyze your data?
- interested in having your research data and results published and presented for discussion in the scientific community?

www.gesis.org

We support you in all phases of your research with our data archive, portals and our open access repository, our consulting and comprehensive service

- Research: GESIS offers information on data, literature, research projects, important institutions and conferences
- Study planning: GESIS offers consultation and services for planning and conducting a survey
- Data collection: GESIS offers consultation and services during the data collection phase
- Data analysis: GESIS offers support, consultation and data for secondary analysis and reference, analyzing tools
- Archiving and registering: GESIS offers longterm permanent archiving and registration of data and publications

As the largest German infrastructure institute for the social sciences, GESIS – Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences, with its research-based expertise and services, stands ready to advise researchers at all levels with the newest scientific methods, high quality data and research information.

SOEP

SOEP is funded by the Federal Government (BMBF)
and the State Governments via the Leibniz Gemeinschaft

Socio-Economic Panel
SOEP | DIW Berlin
Mohrenstraße 58
D-10117 Berlin
+49 -30-8 97 89-292
soepmail@diw.de

www.diw.de/soep

The Socio-Economic Panel – A Portrait of Changes in German Society

SOEP is a representative longitudinal survey of more than 25,000 individuals in over 13,000 households in Germany that provides the basis for a wide range of novel scientific analyses.

Features

- individual longitudinal data surveyed annually since 1984
- data on household composition (adults and children), living situation
- possibilities for regional comparison
- oversampling of immigrants and high-income households
- SOEP data are integrated into internationally comparable panel data sets such as the CNEF (Cross National Equivalent File), which contains comparable panel data for Australia, Germany, Great Britain, Russia, South Korea, Switzerland, and the United States

Topics include

- personality traits
- birth, childhood, and youth
- labor market participation and occupational mobility
- biography and intergenerational mobility
- social participation and time allocation
- physical and mental health
- housing and regional mobility
- income dynamics
- life satisfaction
- survey methodology

The SOEP data are available to researchers in Germany and abroad in SPSS, SAS, and STATA formats for immediate use. They are disseminated in different packages, either alone or in combination with international data. Extensive documentation in English and German is available online.

For details please refer to our website:
www.diw.de/soep



and visit us at
<http://www.facebook.com/SOEPnet.de>
<http://www.youtube.com/user/SOEPstudie>